

Applications of Differential Subordination on The Erdelyi-Kober Type Integral

R. M. El-Ashwah
E-mail:r_elashwah@yahoo.com

W. Y. Kota
E-mail:wafaa_kota@yahoo.com

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of science, Damietta
University New Damietta, Egypt

Edited April 6, 2016
Received April 11, 2016 Accepted December 14, 2017

Abstract

In this paper, we defined subclasses of p -valent meromorphic functions defined by using integral operator. We investigate several interesting subordination properties for this subclasses of multivalent meromorphic functions. Relevant connections of the results which are presented in this paper with various known results are also considered.

Keywords: Multivalent meromorphic functions, differential subordination, linear operator.

1 Introduction

Let $\Sigma_{p,n}$ denote the class of multivalent meromorphic functions of the form:

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{z^p} + \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} a_k z^k \quad (p \in \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}; n > -p), \quad (1)$$

which are analytic in the punctured unit disk $\mathbb{U}^* = \{z : z \in \mathbb{C}, \text{ and } 0 < |z| < 1\} = \mathbb{U} \setminus \{0\}$. For convenience, we write $\Sigma_{p,-p+1} = \Sigma_p$.

Definition 1.1 For two functions $f(z)$ and $g(z)$, analytic in \mathbb{U} , we say that the function $f(z)$ is subordinate to $g(z)$ in \mathbb{U} , written $f \prec g$ or $f(z) \prec g(z)$, if there exists a Schwarz function $\omega(z)$ which is analytic in \mathbb{U} , satisfying the following conditions:

$$\omega(0) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad |\omega(z)| < 1; \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}),$$

such that

$$f(z) = g(\omega(z)); \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

Indeed it is known that

$$f(z) \prec g(z) \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}) \implies f(0) = g(0) \quad \text{and} \quad f(\mathbb{U}) \subset g(\mathbb{U}).$$

In particular, if the function $g(z)$ is univalent in \mathbb{U} , we have the following equivalence (see [10], [15], [16]):

$$f(z) \prec g(z) \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}) \iff f(0) = g(0) \quad \text{and} \quad f(\mathbb{U}) \subset g(\mathbb{U}).$$

For $\mu > 0$, $a, c \in \mathbb{R}$ be such that $(c - a) \geq 0$, $a \geq \mu p$ ($p \in \mathbb{N}$) and $f(z) \in \Sigma_{p,n}$ given by (1), the modified an Erdelyi-Kober type integral operator $J_{p,\mu}^{a,c} : \Sigma_{p,n} \rightarrow \Sigma_{p,n}$ (see [9]).

- For $(c - a) > 0$ by

$$J_{p,\mu}^{a,c} f(z) = \frac{\Gamma(c - \mu p)}{\Gamma(a - \mu p)\Gamma(c - a)} \int_0^1 t^{a-1} (1-t)^{c-a-1} f(zt^\mu) dt; \quad (2)$$

- For $a = c$ by

$$J_{p,\mu}^{a,a} f(z) = f(z). \quad (3)$$

Using (2) and (3), the operator $J_{p,\mu}^{a,c} f(z)$ can be expressed as follows

$$J_{p,\mu}^{a,c} f(z) = z^{-p} + \frac{\Gamma(c - \mu p)}{\Gamma(a - \mu p)} \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(a + \mu k)}{\Gamma(c + \mu k)} a_k z^k, \quad (4)$$

where $\mu > 0$, $a, c \in \mathbb{R}$, $(c - a) \geq 0$, $a \geq \mu p$ ($p \in \mathbb{N}$).

It is readily verified from (4) that

$$z(J_{p,\mu}^{a,c} f(z))' = \frac{c - \mu p - 1}{\mu} J_{p,\mu}^{a,c-1} f(z) - \frac{c - 1}{\mu} J_{p,\mu}^{a,c} f(z), \quad ((c - a - 1) > 0), \quad (5)$$

$$z(J_{p,\mu}^{a,c} f(z))'' = \frac{c - \mu p - 1}{\mu} (J_{p,\mu}^{a,c-1} f(z))' - \frac{c + \mu - 1}{\mu} (J_{p,\mu}^{a,c} f(z))' \quad ((c - a - 1) > 0). \quad (6)$$

We also note that the operator $J_{p,\mu}^{a,c} f(z)$ generalizes several previously studied familiar operators, and we will show some of the interesting particular cases as follows

- (i) $J_{1,\mu}^{a,c} f(z) = I_\mu(a, c) f(z)$ ($a, c \in \mathbb{C}$, $\mu > 0$, $\text{Re}(a) > \mu$, $\text{Re}(c - a) \geq 0$) (see [6]),
- (ii) $J_{p,1}^{a+p,c+p} f(z) = \ell_p(a, c) f(z)$ ($a \in \mathbb{R}$, $c \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_0^-, \mathbb{Z}_0^- = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$, $p \in \mathbb{N}$) (see [13]),
- (iii) $J_{p,1}^{n+2p,p+1} f(z) = D^{n+p-1} f(z)$ (n is an integer, $n > -p$, $p \in \mathbb{N}$) (see [1], [3], [23]).
- (iv) $J_{p,1}^{a,a+1} f(z) = J_p^a f(z)$ ($\text{Re}(a) > p$, $p \in \mathbb{N}$) (see [11]).

Now, we introduce a new subclasses of functions in $\Sigma_{p,n}$, by making use of the linear operator $J_{p,\mu}^{a,c}$ as follows.

Definition 1.2 A function $f \in \Sigma_{p,n}$ is said to be in the class $\Sigma_{p,\mu}^{*a,c}(\alpha; A, B)$, if it satisfies

$$\frac{-1}{p-\alpha} \left(\frac{z(J_{p,\mu}^{a,c}f(z))'}{J_{p,\mu}^{a,c}f(z)} + \alpha \right) \prec \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz},$$

($a, c \in \mathbb{R}; \mu > 0; (c-a) > 0; a > \mu p; 0 \leq \alpha < p; -1 \leq B < A \leq 1; z \in \mathbb{U}$).

In particular, for $A = 1$ and $B = -1$, then

$$\Sigma_{p,\mu}^{*a,c}(\alpha; 1, -1) = \left\{ f \in \Sigma_{p,n} : -\operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{z(J_{p,\mu}^{a,c}f(z))'}{J_{p,\mu}^{a,c}f(z)} \right) > \alpha, z \in \mathbb{U} \right\}.$$

Definition 1.3 For fixed parameters A and B ($-1 \leq B < A \leq 1$), we say that a function $f \in \Sigma_{p,n}$ is in the class $\Sigma C_{p,\mu}^{a,c}(A, B)$ if it satisfies the following subordination condition:

$$-\frac{z^{p+1}}{p} (J_{p,\mu}^{a,c}f(z))' \prec \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz}$$

($a, c \in \mathbb{R}; \mu > 0; (c-a) > 0; a > \mu p; 0 \leq \alpha < p; z \in \mathbb{U}$).

(7)

In view of the definition of differential subordination, (7) is equivalent to the following condition:

$$\left| \frac{z^{p+1}(J_{p,\mu}^{a,c}f(z))' + p}{Bz^{p+1}(J_{p,\mu}^{a,c}f(z))' + pA} \right| < 1.$$

We note that $\Sigma C_{p,\mu}^{a,c} \left(1 - \frac{2\alpha}{p}, -1 \right) = \Sigma C_{p,\mu}^{a,c}(\alpha)$, ($0 \leq \alpha < p$).

Meromorphically multivalent functions have been extensively studied by Liu and Srivastava [13], Cho and Kim [4], Cho et al. [5], El-Ashwah et al. [8] and others (see [2], [20], [21]).

In this paper, we investigate some properties of subclasses of multivalent meromorphic functions which are defined by the linear operator $J_{p,\mu}^{a,c}$.

2 Preliminary lemmas

To prove our results, we need the following lemmas.

Lemma 2.1 ([15]) Let a function h be analytic and convex (univalent) in U with $h(0) = 1$. Suppose that the function ϕ given by

$$\phi(z) = 1 + b_{n+p}z^{n+p} + b_{n+p+1}z^{n+p+1} + \dots$$
(8)

is analytic in \mathbb{U} . If

$$\phi(z) + \frac{z\phi'(z)}{\nu} \prec h(z) \quad (\operatorname{Re}\{\nu\} \geq 0, \nu \neq 0),$$
(9)

then

$$\phi(z) \prec \psi(z) = \frac{\nu}{n+p} z^{-\frac{\nu}{n+p}} \int_0^z t^{\frac{\nu}{n+p}-1} h(t) dt \prec h(z),$$

where ψ is the best dominant of (9).

Lemma 2.2 ([19]) *Let the function ϕ given by (8) be in the class $P(\gamma)$. Then*

$$\operatorname{Re}\{\phi(z)\} \geq 2\gamma - 1 + \frac{2(1-\gamma)}{1+|z|} \quad (0 \leq \gamma < 1, z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

Lemma 2.3 ([22]) *For $0 \leq \gamma_1 < \gamma_2 < 1$,*

$$P(\gamma_1) * P(\gamma_2) \subset P(\gamma_3), \text{ where } \gamma_3 = 1 - 2(1 - \gamma_1)(1 - \gamma_2).$$

The result is the best possible.

For any real or complex numbers a, b and c ($c \neq \mathbb{Z}_0^- := \{0, -1, -2, \dots\}$), the Gaussian hypergeometric function is defined by

$${}_2F_1(a, b; c; z) = 1 + \frac{ab}{c} \frac{z}{1!} + \frac{a(a+1)b(b+1)}{c(c+1)} \frac{z^2}{2!} + \dots$$

Lemma 2.4 ([24]) *For any real or complex numbers a, b, c ($c \neq \mathbb{Z}_0^-$), we have*

$$\int_0^1 t^{b-1}(1-t)^{c-b-1}(1-zt)^{-a} dt = \frac{\Gamma(b)\Gamma(c-b)}{\Gamma(c)} {}_2F_1(a, b; c; z), \quad (\operatorname{Re}(c) > \operatorname{Re}(b) > 0),$$

$${}_2F_1(a, b; c; z) = (1-z)^{-a} {}_2F_1\left(a, c-b; c; \frac{z}{z-1}\right),$$

$${}_2F_1(a, b; c; z) = {}_2F_1(a, b-1; c; z) + \frac{az}{c} {}_2F_1(a+1, b; c+1; z),$$

$${}_2F_1\left(a, b; \frac{a+b+1}{2}; \frac{1}{2}\right) = \frac{\sqrt{\pi}\Gamma\left(\frac{a+b+1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{a+1}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{b+1}{2}\right)},$$

$${}_2F_1\left(1, 1; 2; \frac{z}{z+1}\right) = \frac{z}{z+1} \ln(1+z).$$

Lemma 2.5 [17] *Let ϕ be analytic in \mathbb{U} with $\phi(0) = 1$ and $\phi(z) \neq 0$ for $0 < |z| < 1$ and let $A, B \in \mathbb{C}$ with $A \neq B, |B| \leq 1$.*

(i) *Let $B \neq 0$ and $\tau \in \mathbb{C}^* = \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ satisfy either $\left|\frac{\tau(A-B)}{B} - 1\right| \leq 1$ or $\left|\frac{\tau(A-B)}{B} + 1\right| \leq 1$. If ϕ satisfies*

$$1 + \frac{z\phi'(z)}{\tau\phi(z)} \prec \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz}, \tag{10}$$

then

$$\phi(z) \prec (1 + Bz)^{\tau\left(\frac{A-B}{B}\right)},$$

and this is best dominant.

(ii) *Let $B = 0$ and $\tau \in \mathbb{C}^* = \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ be such that $|\tau A| < \pi$. If ϕ satisfies (10), then*

$$\phi(z) \prec e^{\tau Az}$$

and this is the best dominant.

Lemma 2.6 ([12]) *Let $\lambda \neq 0$ be a real number, $\frac{a}{\lambda} > 0$ and $0 \leq \beta < 1$. Let $g(z) = 1 + c_n z^n + c_{n+1} z^{n+1} + \dots$, be analytic in \mathbb{U} and*

$$g(z) \prec 1 + \frac{aMz}{n\lambda + a} \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}),$$

where

$$M = \frac{(1 - \beta)|\lambda|(1 + \frac{n\lambda}{a})}{|1 - \lambda + \lambda\beta| + \sqrt{1 + (1 + \frac{n\lambda}{a})^2}}.$$

If $P(z) = 1 + d_n z^n + d_{n+1} z^{n+1} + \dots$ is analytic in \mathbb{U} and satisfies the subordination relation

$$g(z)\{1 - \lambda + \lambda[(1 - \beta)P(z) + \beta]\} \prec 1 + Mz,$$

then $Re(P(z)) > 0$ for $z \in \mathbb{U}$.

3 Subordination properties of $J_{p,\mu}^{a,c}$

Unless otherwise mentioned, we assume throughout this paper that $\beta > 0$, $a, c \in \mathbb{R}$, $a > \mu p$, $\mu > 0$, $(c - 1 - a) > 0$, $-1 \leq B < A \leq 1$, $p \in \mathbb{N}$.

Theorem 3.1 *Let $-1 \leq B_j < A_j \leq 1$, $j = 1, 2$. If $f_j \in \Sigma_{p,n}$ satisfy the following subordination condition*

$$z^p\{(1 - \beta)J_{p,\mu}^{a,c}f_j(z) + \beta J_{p,\mu}^{a,c-1}f_j(z)\} \prec \frac{1 + A_j z}{1 + B_j z}, \quad (11)$$

then

$$z^p\{(1 - \beta)J_{p,\mu}^{a,c}F(z) + \beta J_{p,\mu}^{a,c-1}F(z)\} \prec \frac{1 + (1 - 2\delta)z}{1 - z},$$

where $F = J_{p,\mu}^{a,c}(f_1 * f_2)$ and

$$\delta = 1 - \frac{4(A_1 - B_1)(A_2 - B_2)}{(1 - B_1)(1 - B_2)} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2} {}_2F_1\left(1, 1; \frac{c - \mu p - 1}{\beta\mu} + 1; \frac{1}{2}\right)\right). \quad (12)$$

The result is the best possible when $B_1 = B_2 = -1$.

Proof. Let $f_j \in \Sigma_{p,n}$, $j = 1, 2$, satisfy the subordination condition (11). Then, by setting

$$\phi_j(z) = z^p\{(1 - \beta)J_{p,\mu}^{a,c}f_j(z) + \beta J_{p,\mu}^{a,c-1}f_j(z)\} \prec \frac{1 + A_j z}{1 + B_j z}, \quad j = 1, 2, \quad (13)$$

we have

$$\phi_j \in P(\gamma_j), \quad \gamma_j = \frac{1 - A_j}{1 - B_j}, \quad j = 1, 2.$$

By making use of (5) and (13), we obtain

$$J_{p,\mu}^{a,c}f_j(z) = \frac{c - \mu p - 1}{\beta\mu} z^{-p - \frac{c - \mu p - 1}{\beta\mu}} \int_0^z t^{\frac{c - \mu p - 1}{\beta\mu} - 1} \phi_j(z) dt, \quad j = 1, 2. \quad (14)$$

Now, if we let $F = J_{p,\mu}^{a,c}(f_1 * f_2)$, then by using (14) and the fact that

$$J_{p,\mu}^{a,c}F(z) = J_{p,\mu}^{a,c}f_1(z) * J_{p,\mu}^{a,c}f_2(z),$$

a simple computation shows that

$$J_{p,\mu}^{a,c}F(z) = \frac{c - \mu p - 1}{\beta\mu} z^{-p - \frac{c - \mu p - 1}{\beta\mu}} \int_0^z t^{\frac{c - \mu p - 1}{\beta\mu} - 1} \phi_0(t) dt,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_0(z) &= z^p \{ (1 - \beta) J_{p,\mu}^{a,c}F(z) + \beta J_{p,\mu}^{a,c-1}F(z) \} \\ &= \frac{c - \mu p - 1}{\beta\mu} z^{-\frac{c - \mu p - 1}{\beta\mu}} \int_0^z t^{\frac{c - \mu p - 1}{\beta\mu} - 1} (\phi_1 * \phi_2)(t) dt. \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

Since $\phi_j \in P(\gamma_j)$, $j = 1, 2$, it follows from Lemma 2.3 that

$$\phi_1 * \phi_2 \in P(\gamma_3), \text{ where } \gamma_3 = 1 - 2(1 - \gamma_1)(1 - \gamma_2),$$

and the bound γ_3 is the best possible. Using Lemma 2.2 in (15), we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re}\{\phi_0(z)\} &= \frac{c - \mu p - 1}{\beta\mu} \int_0^1 u^{\frac{c - \mu p - 1}{\beta\mu} - 1} \operatorname{Re}(\phi_1 * \phi_2)(uz) du \\ &\geq \frac{c - \mu p - 1}{\beta\mu} \int_0^1 u^{\frac{c - \mu p - 1}{\beta\mu} - 1} \left(2\gamma_3 - 1 + \frac{2(1 - \gamma_3)}{1 + u|z|} \right) du \\ &= \frac{c - \mu p - 1}{\beta\mu} \int_0^1 u^{\frac{c - \mu p - 1}{\beta\mu} - 1} \left(2\gamma_3 - 1 + \frac{2(1 - \gamma_3)}{1 + u} \right) du \\ &= 1 - \frac{4(A_1 - B_1)(A_2 - B_2)}{(1 - B_1)(1 - B_2)} \left(1 - \frac{c - \mu p - 1}{\beta\mu} \int_0^1 \frac{u^{\frac{c - \mu p - 1}{\beta\mu} - 1}}{1 + u} du \right) = \delta, \end{aligned}$$

where δ is given by (12).

When $B_1 = B_2 = -1$, we consider the functions $f_j \in \Sigma_{p,n}$ ($j = 1, 2$) which satisfy the hypothesis (11) and are given by

$$J_{p,\mu}^{a,c}f_j(z) = \frac{c - \mu p - 1}{\beta\mu} z^{-p - \frac{c - \mu p - 1}{\beta\mu}} \int_0^z t^{\frac{c - \mu p - 1}{\beta\mu} - 1} \left(\frac{1 + A_j t}{1 - t} \right) dt, \quad j = 1, 2.$$

Since

$$\left(\frac{1 + A_1 z}{1 - z} \right) * \left(\frac{1 + A_2 z}{1 - z} \right) = 1 - (1 + A_1)(1 + A_2) + \frac{(1 + A_1)(1 + A_2)}{1 - z},$$

it follows from (15) that

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_0(z) &= \frac{c - \mu p - 1}{\beta\mu} \int_0^1 u^{\frac{c - \mu p - 1}{\beta\mu} - 1} \left(1 - (1 + A_1)(1 + A_2) + \frac{(1 + A_1)(1 + A_2)}{1 - uz} \right) du \\ &= 1 - (1 + A_1)(1 + A_2) + \frac{(1 + A_1)(1 + A_2)}{1 - z} \times {}_2F_1\left(1, 1; \frac{c - \mu p - 1}{\beta\mu} + 1; \frac{z}{z - 1}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\phi_0(z) \rightarrow 1 - (1 + A_1)(1 + A_2) + \frac{1}{2}(1 + A_1)(1 + A_2) {}_2F_1\left(1, 1; \frac{c - \mu p - 1}{\beta \mu} + 1; \frac{1}{2}\right)$$

as $z \rightarrow -1$, which evidently completes the proof of Theorem 3.1. ■

In its special case when $A_j = 1 - 2\gamma_j$ ($0 \leq \gamma_j < 1$), $B_j = -1$, $j = 1, 2$, Theorem 3.1 yields the following corollary.

Corollary 3.1 *If $f_j \in \Sigma_{p,n}$ satisfy the following condition:*

$$z^p \{(1 - \beta) J_{p,\mu}^{a,c} f_j(z) + \beta J_{p,\mu}^{a,c-1} f_j(z)\} \prec \frac{1 + (1 - 2\gamma_j)z}{1 - z} \quad (j = 1, 2, z \in \mathbb{U}),$$

then

$$z^p \{(1 - \beta) J_{p,\mu}^{a,c} F(z) + \beta J_{p,\mu}^{a,c-1} F(z)\} \prec \frac{1 + (1 - 2\delta)z}{1 - z}$$

where $F = J_{p,\mu}^{a,c}(f_1 * f_2)$, $\delta = 1 - 2(1 - \gamma_1)(1 - \gamma_2) \times \left[2 - {}_2F_1\left(1, 1; \frac{c-1-\mu p}{\beta \mu} + 1; \frac{1}{2}\right)\right]$, ($z \in \mathbb{U}$).

Letting $A_j = 1 - 2\zeta_j$ ($0 \leq \zeta_j < p$), $B_j = -1$, ($j = 1, 2$), $a = c$ and $\lambda = \frac{\beta \mu}{c-p-1}$ in Theorem 3.1, yields the following corollary.

Corollary 3.2 *If $f_j(z) \in \Sigma_{p,n}$ satisfies*

$$Re(z^p \{(1 + p\lambda) f_j(z) + \lambda z(f_j(z))'\}) > \zeta_j \quad (j = 1, 2),$$

then

$$Re(z^p \{(1 + p\lambda)(f_1 * f_2)(z) + \lambda z(f_1 * f_2)'(z)\}) > \eta_1 \quad (j = 1, 2),$$

where

$$\eta_1 = 1 - 4 \left(1 - \frac{\zeta_1}{p}\right) \left(1 - \frac{\zeta_2}{p}\right) \left[1 - \frac{1}{2} {}_2F_1\left(1, 1; \frac{\mu}{\lambda} + 1; \frac{1}{2}\right)\right]$$

In the next theorem, we have determined the sufficient condition for the functions $z^p J_{p,\mu}^{a,c} f(z)$, to be a member of the class $P(\rho)$.

Theorem 3.2 *If $f \in \Sigma_{p,n}$ satisfy the following subordination condition*

$$z^p \{(1 - \beta) J_{p,\mu}^{a,c} f(z) + \beta J_{p,\mu}^{a,c-1} f(z)\} \prec \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz}, \tag{16}$$

then

$$Re(z^p J_{p,\mu}^{a,c} f(z)) > \rho \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}),$$

where

$$\rho = \begin{cases} \frac{A}{B} + (1 - \frac{A}{B})(1 - B)^{-1} {}_2F_1\left(1, 1; \frac{c-\mu p-1}{\beta \mu(n+p)} + 1; \frac{B}{B-1}\right), & \text{if } B \neq 0, \\ 1 - \frac{c-\mu p-1}{c-1-\mu p+(n+p)\mu\beta} A, & \text{if } B = 0. \end{cases} \tag{17}$$

The result is the best possible.

Proof. Set

$$h(z) = z^p J_{p,\mu}^{a,c} f(z) \text{ for } f \in \Sigma_{p,n}, \tag{18}$$

then the function h is of the form (8). Differentiating (18) with respect to z and using the identity (5), we obtain

$$z^p J_{p,\mu}^{a,c-1} f(z) = h(z) + \frac{\mu}{c - \mu p - 1} z h'(z) \tag{19}$$

By using (16), (18) and (19), we obtain

$$h(z) + \frac{\beta\mu}{c - \mu p - 1} z h'(z) \prec \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz}.$$

Now, applying Lemma 2.1, we get

$$h(z) \prec g(z) = \frac{c - \mu p - 1}{\beta\mu(n + p)} z^{-\frac{c-\mu p-1}{\beta\mu(n+p)}} \int_0^z t^{\frac{c-\mu p-1}{\beta\mu(n+p)}-1} \left(\frac{1 + At}{1 + Bt} \right) dt.$$

Applying Lemma 2.4, we get

$$g(z) = \begin{cases} \frac{A}{B} + (1 - \frac{A}{B})(1 + Bz)^{-1} {}_2F_1(1, 1; \frac{c-\mu p-1}{\beta\mu(n+p)} + 1; \frac{Bz}{Bz+1}), & \text{if } B \neq 0, \\ 1 + \frac{c-\mu p-1}{c-1-\mu p+(n+p)\mu\beta} Az, & \text{if } B = 0. \end{cases} \tag{20}$$

Now, we will show that

$$\inf\{Re(g(z)) : |z| < 1\} = g(-1). \tag{21}$$

We have $Re\{\frac{1+Az}{1+Bz}\} \geq \frac{1-Ar}{1-Br}$, $|z| = r < 1$, and setting

$$h(s, z) = \frac{1 + Azs}{1 + Bzs} \quad (0 \leq s \leq 1) \text{ and } d(s) = \frac{c - \mu p - 1}{\beta\mu(n + p)} s^{\frac{c-\mu p-1}{\beta\mu(n+p)}-1} ds,$$

which is a positive measure on the closed interval $[0, 1]$, we get

$$g(z) = \int_0^1 h(s, z) d(s),$$

so that

$$Re(g(z)) \geq \int_0^1 \frac{1 - Asr}{1 - Bsr} d(s) = g(-r) \quad |z| = r < 1. \tag{22}$$

As $r \rightarrow 1^-$ in (22), we obtain (21).

Now, by using (20) and (21), we get

$$Re\{z^p J_{p,\mu}^{a,c} f(z)\} > \rho,$$

where ρ is given by (17).

To show the estimate (17) is the best possible, we consider the following function $f \in \Sigma_{p,n}$ defined by

$$z^p J_{p,\mu}^{a,c} f(z) = \frac{c - \mu p - 1}{\beta\mu(n + p)} \int_0^1 u^{\frac{c-\mu p-1}{\beta\mu(n+p)}-1} \left(\frac{1 + Au}{1 + Bu} \right) du,$$

For the above function, we find that

$$z^p \{ (1 - \beta) J_{p,\mu}^{a,c} f(z) + \beta J_{p,\mu}^{a,c-1} f(z) \} = \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz}$$

and

$$z^p J_{p,\mu}^{a,c} f(z) \rightarrow \frac{c - \mu p - 1}{\beta \mu (n + p)} \int_0^1 u^{\frac{c - \mu p - 1}{\beta \mu (n + p)} - 1} \left(\frac{1 - Au}{1 - Bu} \right) du$$

$$\rho = \begin{cases} \frac{A}{B} + (1 - \frac{A}{B})(1 - B)^{-1} {}_2F_1(1, 1; \frac{c - \mu p - 1}{\beta \mu (n + p)} + 1; \frac{B}{B-1}), & \text{if } B \neq 0, \\ 1 - \frac{c - \mu p - 1}{c - 1 - \mu p + (n + p)\mu\beta} A, & \text{if } B = 0, \end{cases}$$

which completes the proof of Theorem 3.2. ■

In its special case when $A = 1 - 2\gamma$, ($0 \leq \gamma < 1$) $B = -1$ and $\beta = 1$, Theorem 3.2 yields the following corollary.

Corollary 3.3 *If $f \in \Sigma_{p,n}$ satisfy the following condition:*

$$z^p J_{p,\mu}^{a,c-1} f(z) \prec \frac{1 + (1 - 2\gamma)z}{1 - z} \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}),$$

then

$$\operatorname{Re}(z^p J_{p,\mu}^{a,c} f(z)) > \gamma + (1 - \gamma) \left[{}_2F_1(1, 1; \frac{c - \mu p - 1}{\mu(n + p)} + 1; \frac{1}{2}) - 1 \right] \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

The result is the best possible.

Theorem 3.3 *If $f \in \Sigma_{p,n}$ satisfies the following subordination:*

$$-\frac{z^{p+1}}{p} [(1 - \beta)(J_{p,\mu}^{a,c} f(z))' + \beta(J_{p,\mu}^{a,c-1} f(z))'] \prec \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz}, \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}), \tag{23}$$

then

$$-\frac{z^{p+1}}{p} (J_{p,\mu}^{a,c} f(z))' \prec \zeta(z), \tag{24}$$

where

$$\zeta(z) = \begin{cases} \frac{A}{B} + (1 - \frac{A}{B})(1 + Bz)^{-1} {}_2F_1(1, 1; \frac{c - \mu p - 1}{\mu\beta(n + p)} + 1, \frac{Bz}{1 + Bz}), & B \neq 0, \\ 1 + \frac{c - \mu p - 1}{c - 1 - \mu p + \mu\beta(n + p)} Az, & B = 0, \end{cases}$$

is the best dominant of (24). Furthermore,

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ -\frac{z^{p+1}}{p} (J_{p,\mu}^{a,c} f(z))' \right\} > \zeta_1, \tag{25}$$

where

$$\zeta_1 = \begin{cases} \frac{A}{B} + (1 - \frac{A}{B})(1 - B)^{-1} {}_2F_1(1, 1; \frac{c - \mu p - 1}{\mu\beta(n + p)} + 1, \frac{B}{B-1}), & B \neq 0, \\ 1 - \frac{c - \mu p - 1}{c - 1 - \mu p + \mu\beta(n + p)} A, & B = 0, \end{cases}$$

The result is best possible.

Proof. Set

$$h(z) = -\frac{z^{p+1}}{p} (J_{p,\mu}^{a,c} f(z))', \quad f \in \Sigma_{p,n}, \quad (26)$$

then the function $h(z)$ is of the form (8) and is analytic in \mathbb{U} . Differentiating (26) with respect to z and using the identity (6), we obtain

$$h(z) + \frac{\mu\beta}{c - \mu p - 1} z h'(z) = -\frac{z^{p+1}}{p} [(1 - \beta)(J_{p,\mu}^{a,c} f(z))' + \beta(J_{p,\mu}^{a,c-1} f(z))'] \prec \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz}.$$

Applying Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.4, we have

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{z^{p+1}}{p} (J_{p,\mu}^{a,c} f(z))' &\prec \zeta(z) \\ &= \frac{c - \mu p - 1}{\mu\beta(n+p)} z^{-\frac{c-\mu p-1}{\mu\beta(n+p)}} \int_0^z t^{\frac{c-\mu p-1}{\mu\beta(n+p)}-1} \left(\frac{1 + At}{1 + Bt} \right) dt \\ &= \begin{cases} \frac{A}{B} + (1 - \frac{A}{B})(1 + Bz)^{-1} {}_2F_1(1, 1; \frac{c-\mu p-1}{\mu\beta(n+p)} + 1, \frac{Bz}{1+Bz}) & B \neq 0, \\ 1 + \frac{c-\mu p-1}{c-1-\mu p+\mu\beta(n+p)} Az, & B = 0. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

This proves the assertion (24) of Theorem 3.3. Now, we will show that

$$\inf_{|z|<1} \{Re(\zeta(z))\} = \zeta(-1).$$

We have $Re\{\frac{1+Az}{1+Bz}\} \geq \frac{1-Ar}{1-Br}$, $|z| = r < 1$, and setting

$$g(s, z) = \frac{1 + Azs}{1 + Bzs} \quad (0 \leq s \leq 1) \text{ and } d\nu(s) = \frac{c - \mu p - 1}{\mu\beta(n+p)} s^{\frac{c-\mu p-1}{\mu\beta(n+p)}-1} ds,$$

which is a positive measure on the closed interval $[0, 1]$, we get

$$\zeta(z) = \int_0^1 g(s, z) d\nu(s),$$

so that

$$Re(\zeta(z)) \geq \int_0^1 \frac{1 - Asr}{1 - Bsr} d\nu(s) = \zeta(-r) \quad |z| = r < 1. \quad (27)$$

Letting $r \rightarrow 1^-$ in the above inequality, we obtain the assertion (25). Finally, the estimate (25) is the best possible as $\zeta(z)$ is the best dominant of (24), using arguments similar to those detailed above with Theorem 3.2. ■

Taking $\beta = \frac{\sigma(c-\mu p-1)}{\mu}$ in Theorem 3.3, we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 3.4 *If $f \in \Sigma_{p,n}$ satisfies*

$$\frac{-z^{p+1}}{p} ((1 + \sigma(p+1))(J_{p,\mu}^{a,c} f(z))' + \sigma z (J_{p,\mu}^{a,c} f(z))'') \prec \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz},$$

then

$$\frac{-z^{p+1}}{p} (J_{p,\mu}^{a,c} f(z))' \prec g_2(z) \prec \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz}, \quad (28)$$

where the function $g_2(z)$ given by

$$g_2(z) = \begin{cases} \frac{A}{B} + (1 - \frac{A}{B})(1 + Bz)^{-1} {}_2F_1\left(1, 1; \frac{1+\sigma(n+p)}{\sigma(n+p)}; \frac{Bz}{1+Bz}\right) & (B \neq 0) \\ 1 + \frac{1}{1+\sigma(n+p)}Az & (B = 0), \end{cases}$$

is the best dominant of (28). Furthermore,

$$\frac{-z^{p+1}}{p}(J_{p,\mu}^{a,c}f(z))' > \zeta_2 \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}),$$

where

$$\zeta_2 = \begin{cases} \frac{A}{B} + (1 - \frac{A}{B})(1 - B)^{-1} {}_2F_1\left(1, 1; \frac{1+\sigma(n+p)}{\sigma(n+p)}; \frac{B}{B-1}\right) & (B \neq 0) \\ 1 - \frac{1}{1+\sigma(n+p)} & (B = 0), \end{cases}$$

the result is the best possible.

Taking $A = 1 - \frac{2\delta}{p}$ ($0 \leq \delta < p$), $B = -1$, $\beta = \frac{c-\mu p-1}{\mu}$, $n = 2 - p$ in Theorem 3.3 and using (6), we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 3.5 *If $f \in \Sigma_{p,2-p}$ satisfies*

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ -z^{p+1} \left((2+p)(J_{p,\mu}^{a,c}f(z))' + z(J_{p,\mu}^{a,c}f(z))'' \right) \right\} > \delta,$$

then

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ -z^{p+1}(J_{p,\mu}^{a,c}f(z))' \right\} > \delta + (p - \delta)\left(\frac{\pi}{2} - 1\right) \quad 0 \leq \delta < p,$$

the result is the best possible.

Taking $\delta = -\frac{p(\pi-2)}{4-\pi}$ in Corollary 3.5, we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 3.6 *If $f \in \Sigma_{p,2-p}$ satisfies*

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ -z^{p+1} \left((2+p)(J_{p,\mu}^{a,c}f(z))' + z(J_{p,\mu}^{a,c}f(z))'' \right) \right\} > -\frac{p(\pi-2)}{4-\pi}, \quad (0 \leq \delta < p),$$

then

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ -z^{p+1}(J_{p,\mu}^{a,c}f(z))' \right\} > 0,$$

the result is the best possible.

Remark 3.1 *For $a = c$, Corollary 3.6 reduces to the result of Pap [18].*

Taking $A = 1 - \frac{2\delta}{p}$ ($0 \leq \delta < p$), $B = -1$, $\beta = \frac{c-\mu p-1}{\mu}$, $n = 1 - p$ in Theorem 3.3 and using (6), we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 3.7 *If $f \in \Sigma_{p,1-p}$ satisfies*

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ -z^{p+1} \left((2+p)(J_{p,\mu}^{a,c}f(z))' + z(J_{p,\mu}^{a,c}f(z))'' \right) \right\} > \delta,$$

then

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ -z^{p+1}(J_{p,\mu}^{a,c}f(z))' \right\} > \delta + 2(p - \delta)(\ln 2 - 1) \quad 0 \leq \delta < p,$$

the result is the best possible.

Theorem 3.4 Let $f \in \Sigma C_{p,\mu}^{a,c}(\alpha)$, ($0 \leq \alpha < p$,) then

$$Re \left\{ -z^{p+1} \left[(1 - \beta)(J_{p,\mu}^{a,c} f(z))' + \beta(J_{p,\mu}^{a,c-1} f(z))' \right] \right\} > \alpha, \quad (|z| < R),$$

where

$$R = \left\{ \sqrt{1 + \left(\frac{\beta\mu}{c - \mu p - 1} (p + n) \right)^2} - \frac{\beta\mu}{c - \mu p - 1} (p + n) \right\}^{\frac{1}{p+n}}. \quad (29)$$

Proof. Let $f \in \Sigma C_{p,\mu}^{a,c}(\alpha)$, then we write

$$-z^{p+1} (J_{p,\mu}^{a,c} f(z))' = \alpha + (p - \alpha)q(z), \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}), \quad (30)$$

where $q(z)$ is of the form (8) and is analytic in \mathbb{U} . Differentiating (30) with respect to z , we have

$$-\frac{1}{p - \alpha} \left[(1 - \beta)z^{p+1} (J_{p,\mu}^{a,c} f(z))' + \beta z^{p+1} (J_{p,\mu}^{a,c-1} f(z))' + \alpha \right] = q(z) + \frac{\beta\mu}{c - \mu p - 1} zq'(z) \quad (31)$$

Applying the following well knowing estimate [14]:

$$\frac{|zq'(z)|}{Re(q(z))} \leq \frac{2(p + n)r^{p+n}}{1 - r^{2(p+n)}}, \quad (|z| = r < 1),$$

in (31), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & -\frac{1}{p - \alpha} Re \left[(1 - \beta)z^{p+1} (J_{p,\mu}^{a,c} f(z))' + \beta z^{p+1} (J_{p,\mu}^{a,c-1} f(z))' + \alpha \right] \\ & \geq Re\{q(z)\} \left\{ 1 - \frac{2\beta\mu(p + n)r^{p+n}}{(c - \mu p - 1)(1 - r^{2(p+n)})} \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

such that the right hand side of (32) is positive if $r < R$, where R given by (29). In order to show that the bound R is best possible, we consider the function $f \in \Sigma_{p,n}$ defined by

$$-z^{p+1} (J_{p,\mu}^{a,c} f(z))' = \alpha + (p - \alpha) \frac{1 + z^{p+n}}{1 - z^{p+n}}, \quad (0 \leq \alpha < p; z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} & -\frac{1}{p - \alpha} \left[(1 - \beta)z^{p+1} (J_{p,\mu}^{a,c} f(z))' + \beta z^{p+1} (J_{p,\mu}^{a,c-1} f(z))' + \alpha \right] \\ & = \frac{1 - z^{2(p+n)} + 2\frac{\beta\mu}{c - \mu p - 1} (p + n)z^{p+n}}{(1 - z)^{2(p+n)}} = 0, \end{aligned}$$

for $z = Re^{\frac{i\pi}{p+n}}$, the proof of Theorem 3.4 is completed. ■

Putting $\beta = 1$ in Theorem 3.4, we deduce the following corollary.

Corollary 3.8 If $f(z) \in \Sigma_{p,\mu}^{a,c}(\alpha)$ ($0 \leq \alpha < p$), then $f(z) \in \Sigma_{p,\mu}^{a,c-1}(\alpha)$ for $|z| < \check{R}$, where

$$\check{R} = \left\{ \sqrt{1 + \left(\frac{\mu}{c - \mu p - 1} (p + n) \right)^2} - \frac{\mu}{c - \mu p - 1} (p + n) \right\}^{\frac{1}{p+n}}$$

The result is the best possible.

Theorem 3.5 Let $\tau \in \mathbb{C}^* = \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ and $A, B \in \mathbb{C}$ with $A \neq B$ and $|B| \leq 1$. Suppose that

$$\left| \frac{\tau(c - \mu p - 1)(A - B)}{\mu B} - 1 \right| \leq 1 \quad \text{or} \quad \left| \frac{\tau(c - \mu p - 1)(A - B)}{\mu B} + 1 \right| \leq 1 \quad \text{if } B \neq 0,$$

and

$$\left| \frac{\tau(c - \mu p - 1)}{\mu} A \right| \leq \pi, \quad \text{if } B = 0.$$

If $f \in \Sigma_{p,n}$ with $J_{p,\mu}^{a,c} f(z) \neq 0$ for all $z \in \mathbb{U}$, then

$$\frac{J_{p,\mu}^{a,c-1} f(z)}{J_{p,\mu}^{a,c} f(z)} \prec \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz}$$

implies

$$(z^p J_{p,\mu}^{a,c} f(z))^\tau \prec h_1(z),$$

where

$$h_1(z) = \begin{cases} (1 + Bz)^{\frac{\tau}{\mu B}(c - \mu p - 1)(A - B)} & B \neq 0, \\ e^{\frac{\tau(c - \mu p - 1)}{\mu} Az} & B = 0, \end{cases}$$

is the best dominant.

Proof. Let

$$h(z) = (z^p J_{p,\mu}^{a,c} f(z))^\tau \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}), \tag{33}$$

then $h(z)$ is analytic in \mathbb{U} , $h(0) = 1$ and $h(z) \neq 0$. Taking the logarithmic differentiation on both sides of (33) and using the identity (5), we obtain

$$1 + \frac{\mu}{\tau(c - \mu p - 1)} \frac{zh'(z)}{h(z)} = \frac{J_{p,\mu}^{a,c-1} f(z)}{J_{p,\mu}^{a,c} f(z)} \prec \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz}.$$

Now the assertions of Theorem 3.5 follows from Lemma 2.5. ■

Taking $B = -1$ and $A = 1 - 2\delta$, $0 \leq \delta < 1$ in Theorem 3.5, we get the following corollary:

Corollary 3.9 Let $\tau \in \mathbb{C}^*$ satisfies either

$$\left| \frac{2\tau(c - \mu p - 1)(1 - \delta)}{\mu} - 1 \right| \leq 1 \quad \text{or} \quad \left| \frac{2\tau(c - \mu p - 1)(1 - \delta)}{\mu} + 1 \right| \leq 1.$$

If $f \in \Sigma_{p,n}$ with $J_{p,\mu}^{a,c} f(z) \neq 0$ for all $z \in \mathbb{U}$, then

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{J_{p,\mu}^{a,c-1} f(z)}{J_{p,\mu}^{a,c} f(z)} \right\} \prec \frac{1 + (1 - 2\delta)z}{1 - z}$$

implies

$$(z^p J_{p,\mu}^{a,c} f(z))^\tau \prec h_1(z),$$

where

$$h_1(z) = (1 - z)^{\frac{-2\tau}{\mu}(c-\mu p-1)(1-\delta)},$$

is the best dominant.

Remark 3.2 Put $p = \mu = 1$ and $a = c = p + 2$ in Theorem 3.5 we obtain the result obtained by Obradovic and Owa [17] (see also [7]).

4 Sufficient conditions for the class $\Sigma S_{p,\mu}^{*a,c}(\alpha)$

In this section, we obtain the sufficient condition for the function f to be a member of the class $\Sigma S_{p,\mu}^{*a,c}(\alpha)$.

Theorem 4.1 If $f \in \Sigma_{p,n}$ satisfy the following subordination condition:

$$z^p \{(1 - \beta) J_{p,\mu}^{a,c} f(z) + \beta J_{p,\mu}^{a,c-1} f(z)\} \prec 1 + M_1 z, \quad (34)$$

where

$$M_1 = \frac{\zeta \eta}{|1 - \eta| + \sqrt{1 + \zeta^2}}$$

with $\zeta = \frac{c-1-\mu p+(n+p)\mu\beta}{c-\mu p-1}$ and $\eta = \frac{\mu\beta}{c-\mu p-1}(\alpha - p)$. Then $f \in \Sigma S_{p,\mu}^{*a,c}(\alpha)$.

Proof. Set

$$g(z) = z^p J_{p,\mu}^{a,c} f(z), \quad (35)$$

then g is of the form (8) and is analytic in \mathbb{U} . From Theorem 3.2 with $A = M_1$ and $B = 0$, we have

$$g(z) \prec 1 + \frac{c - \mu p - 1}{c - 1 - \mu p + (n + p)\mu\beta} M_1 z,$$

which is equivalent to

$$|g(z) - 1| < \frac{M_1}{\zeta} = N < 1; \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}). \quad (36)$$

If we set

$$P(z) = \frac{-1}{p - \alpha} \left(\frac{z(J_{p,\mu}^{a,c} f(z))'}{J_{p,\mu}^{a,c} f(z)} + \alpha \right), \quad (37)$$

then by using the identity (5) followed by (35), we obtain

$$z^p (J_{p,\mu}^{a,c-1} f(z)) = \left(1 + \frac{\mu}{c - \mu p - 1} (p - \alpha) - \frac{\mu}{c - \mu p - 1} (p - \alpha) P(z) \right) g(z). \quad (38)$$

In view of (38), the hypothesis (34) can be written as follows:

$$|(1 - \eta)g(z) + \eta P(z)g(z) - 1| < M_1 = \zeta N, \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}). \quad (39)$$

We need to show that (39) yields

$$\operatorname{Re}(P(z)) > 0. \tag{40}$$

Suppose that this is false. Since $P(0) = 1$, there exists a point $z_0 \in \mathbb{U}$ such that $P(z_0) = ix$ for some $x \in \mathbb{R}$. To prove (40), it is sufficient to obtain a contradiction from the inequality

$$W = |(1 - \eta)g(z_0) + \eta P(z_0)g(z_0) - 1| \geq M_1. \tag{41}$$

Let $g(z_0) = u + iv$, then by using (36) and the triangle inequality, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} W^2 &= |(1 - \eta)g(z_0) + \eta P(z_0)g(z_0) - 1|^2 \\ &= (u^2 + v^2)(\eta x)^2 + 2vx\eta + |(1 - \eta)g(z_0) - 1|^2 \\ &\geq (u^2 + v^2)\eta^2 x^2 + 2vx\eta + (\eta - |1 - \eta|N)^2, \end{aligned}$$

then

$$\Phi(x) = W^2 - M_1^2 \geq (u^2 + v^2)\eta^2 x^2 + 2vx\eta + (\eta - |1 - \eta|N)^2 - N^2\zeta^2,$$

then (41) holds true if $\Phi(x) \geq 0$, for any $x \in \mathbb{U}$. Since $(u^2 + v^2)\eta^2 > 0$, The inequality $\Phi(x) \geq 0$, holds true if the discriminant $\Delta \leq 0$, that is

$$\Delta = 4\eta^2 \{v^2 - (u^2 + v^2)[(\eta - |1 - \eta|N)^2 - N^2\zeta^2]\} \leq 0,$$

which is equivalent to

$$v^2 \{1 - [(\eta - |1 - \eta|N)^2 + N^2\zeta^2]\} \leq u^2 \{(\eta - |1 - \eta|N)^2 - N^2\zeta^2\}.$$

Putting $\Phi(z_0) - 1 = \rho e^{i\theta}$ for some real $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$, we get

$$\frac{v^2}{u^2} = \frac{\rho^2 \sin^2 \theta}{(1 + \rho \cos \theta)^2},$$

since the above expression attains its maximum value at $\cos \theta = -\rho$, by using (36), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{v^2}{u^2} &\leq \frac{\rho^2}{1 - \rho^2} \leq \frac{N^2}{1 - N^2} \\ &\leq \frac{(\eta - |1 - \eta|N)^2 - N^2\zeta^2}{1 - (\eta - |1 - \eta|N)^2 + N^2\zeta^2}, \end{aligned}$$

which yields $\Delta \leq 0$. Therefore, $W \geq M_1$, which contradicts (39). Hence, $\operatorname{Re}(P(z)) > 0$. This proves that $f \in \Sigma_{p,\mu}^{*a,c}$, which completes the proof of Theorem 4.1. ■

Taking $\beta = 1$ in Theorem 4.1, we obtain the following corollary:

Corollary 4.1

$$z^p \{J_{p,\mu}^{a,c-1} f(z)\} \prec 1 + M_0 z,$$

where

$$M_0 = \frac{\zeta \eta}{|1 - \eta| + \sqrt{1 + \zeta^2}}$$

with $\zeta = \frac{c+n\mu-1}{c-\mu p-1}$ and $\eta = \frac{\mu}{c-\mu p-1}(\alpha - p)$. Then $f \in \Sigma_{p,\mu}^{*a,c}(\alpha)$.

References

- [1] M. K. Aouf, New criteria for multivalent meromorphic starlike functions of order α , Proc. Japan. Acad., 69 (1993), 66-70.
- [2] M. K. Aouf, Certain subclasses of meromorphically multivalent functions associated with generalized hypergeometric function, Comput. Math. Appl., 55 (2008), 494-509.
- [3] M. K. Aouf and Srivastava, A new criterion for meromorphically p -valent convex functions of order α , Math. Sci. Res. Hot-Line, 1 (1997), no. 8, 7-12.
- [4] N. E. Cho and I. H. Kim, Inclusion properties of certain classes of meromorphic functions associated with the generalized hypergeometric function, Appl. Math. Comput., 187 (2007), 115-121.
- [5] N. E. Cho, O. S. Kwon and H. M. Srivastava, Inclusion relationships for certain subclasses of meromorphic functions associated with a family of multiplier Transformations, Integral Transforms Spec. Funct., 16 (2005), 647-659.
- [6] R. M. El-Ashwah, Certain class of meromorphic univalent functions defined by an Erdelyi-Kober type integral operator, Open Sci. J. Math. Appl., 3 (2015), no.1, 7-13.
- [7] R. M. El-Ashwah and M. K. Aouf, Differential sandwich theorems for certain subclasses of analytic functions involving an extended multiplier transformation, Tokyo J. Math., 33 (2010), no.1, 73-88.
- [8] R. M. El-Ashwah, M. K. Aouf and A. M. Abd-Eltawab, Applications of differential subordination on certain subclasses of p -valent meromorphic functions, Fasc. Math., 1 (2013), 125-136.
- [9] R. M. El-Ashwah and A. H. Hassan, Some inequalities of certain subclass of meromorphic functions defined by using new integral operator, Information in Sciences and Comput., 3 (2014), 1-10.
- [10] P. L. Duren, Univalent Functions, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, Band 259 springer-Verlag, NewYork, Berlin, Heidelberg and Tokyo, 1983.
- [11] V. Kumar and S. L. Shukla, Certain integrals for classes of p -valent meromorphic functions, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc., 25 (1982), 85-97.
- [12] M. S. Liu, On certain sufficient condition for starlike functions, Soochow J. Math., 29 (2003), no. 4, 407-412.
- [13] J. L. Liu and H. M. Srivastava, A linear operator and associated families of meromorphically multivalent functions, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 259 (2001), 566-581.
- [14] T. H. McGregor, Radius of univalence of certain analytic functions, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 14 (1963), 514-520.
- [15] S. S. Miller and P. T. Mocanu, Differential Subordination: Theory and Applications, Series on Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics, Vol.225, Marcel Dekker Incorporated, NewYork and Basel, 2000.

- [16] S. S. Miller and P. T. Mocanu, Differential subordinations and univalent functions, *Michigan Math. J.*, 28 (1981), 157-171.
- [17] M. Obradovic and S. Owa, On certain properties for some classes of starlike functions, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 145 (1990), 357-364.
- [18] M. Pap, On certain subclasses of meromorphic m -valent close-to-convex functions, *Pure Math. Appl.*, 9 (1998), 155-163.
- [19] D. Z. Pashkouleva, The starlikeness and spiral-convexity of certain subclasses of analytic functions, in: H. M. Srivastava and S. Owa (Editors), *Current Topics in Analytic Function Theory*, pp. 266-273, World Scientific Publishing Company, Singapore, New Jersey, London and Hongkong, 1992.
- [20] J. Patel and A. K. Palit, On certain subclasses of meromorphically multivalent functions associated with the generalized hypergeometric function, *J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math.*, 10 (2009), no. 1, Art. 13, 1-17.
- [21] H. M. Srivastava and J. Patel, Applications of differential subordination to certain subclasses of meromorphically multivalent functions, *J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math.*, 6 (2005), no. 3, Art.88, 1-15.
- [22] J. Stankiewicz and Z. Stankiewicz, Some applications of the Hadmard convolution in the theory of functions, *Annales Universitatis Mariae Curie-Sklodowska A.*, 40 (1986), 251-265.
- [23] B. A. Uralegaddi and C. Somanatha, Certain classes of meromorphic multivalent functions, *Tamkang J. Math.*, 23 (1992), 223-231.
- [24] E. T. Whittaker and G. N. Watson, *A Course of modern analysis: An introduction to the general theory of infinite process and of analytic functions*, Cambridge Mathematical Library, Cambridge University press, Cambridge, UK, 1992.