

ON STRONGLY CEZ`ARO SUMMABLE SEQUENCES

AHMAD H. A. BATAINEH

Department of Mathematics, Al al-Bayt University, P.O. Box: 130095
Mafraq, Jordan

Received November, 14, 2016, Accepted November, 25, 2017

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. 40A05, 40C05, 40A45.
E-mail address: ahabf2003@yahoo.ca

ABSTRACT. The object of this paper is to study the sequence spaces : $\Delta W(A, M, \bar{q}, p, u, s)$, $\Delta W_0(A, M, \bar{q}, p, u, s)$ and $\Delta W_\infty(A, M, \bar{q}, p, u, s)$ associated with strongly Cezàro summable sequences and discuss some topological properties of these spaces and other related results.

1. DEFINITIONS AND NOTATIONS

Let w denote the set of all sequences $x = (x_n)$, real or complex, let $p = (p_n), q = (q_n)$ and $\bar{q} = (\bar{q}_n)$ denote the sequences of positive real numbers and the sequence $\bar{Q} = (\bar{Q}_n)$ is such that :

$$\bar{Q}_n = \bar{q}_1 + \bar{q}_2 + \bar{q}_3 + \dots + \bar{q}_n \neq 0.$$

For a sequence $x = (x_n)$, we write

$$\bar{t}_n(x) = \frac{1}{\bar{Q}_n} \sum_{k=1}^n \bar{q}_k |x_k|^{p_k}.$$

An Orlicz function is a function $M : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ which is continuous, nondecreasing, and convex with $M(0) = 0$, $M(x) > 0$ for $x > 0$ and $M(x) \rightarrow \infty$, as $x \rightarrow \infty$, (see Krasnoselskii and Rutickii [6]).

If convexity of M is replaced by $M(x + y) \leq M(x) + M(y)$, then it is called a modulus function, defined and studied by Nakano [10], Ruckle [12], Maddox [9] and others.

An Orlicz function M is said to satisfy the Δ_2 -condition for all values of l , if there exist a constant $K > 0$ such that $M(2l) \leq KM(l) (l \geq 0)$ (see Krasnoselskii and Rutickii [6]).

Lindenstrauss and Tzafriri [7] defined the Orlicz sequence space :

$$l_M = \{x \in w : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} M(\frac{|x_k|}{\rho}) < \infty, \text{ for some } \rho > 0\},$$

which is a Banach space with the norm :

$$\|x\|_M = \inf\{\rho > 0 : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} M(\frac{|x_k|}{\rho}) \leq 1\}.$$

Different Orlicz sequence spaces were studied by several mathematicians as Bilgen [1], Güngör et al [4], Tripathy and Mahanta [14], Esi and Et [2], Parashar and Choudhary [11] and many others.

A paranorm on a linear topological space X is a function $g : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ which satisfies the following axioms :

for any $x, y, x_0 \in X$ and $\lambda, \lambda_0 \in \mathbb{C}$,

(i) $g(\theta) = 0$, where $\theta = (0, 0, 0, \dots)$, the zero sequence,

(ii) $g(x) = g(-x)$,

(iii) $g(x + y) \leq g(x) + g(y)$ (subadditivity),

and

(iv) the scalar multiplication is continuous, that is,

$$\lambda \rightarrow \lambda_0, x \rightarrow x_0 \text{ imply } \lambda x \rightarrow \lambda_0 x_0 ;$$

in other words,

$$|\lambda - \lambda_0| \rightarrow 0, g(x - x_0) \rightarrow 0 \text{ imply } g(\lambda x - \lambda_0 x_0) \rightarrow 0.$$

A paranormed space is a linear space X with a paranorm g and is written (X, g) .

Any function g which satisfies all the conditions (i)-(iv) together with the condition :

(v) $g(x) = 0$ if and only if $x = \theta$,

is called a total paranorm on X , and the pair (X, g) is called a total paranormed space, (see Maddox [8]).

Let $A = (a_{ik})$ be an infinite matrix of complex numbers and let $(E, \| \cdot \|)$ be a Banach space over the complex field. We write $A(\Delta_x^u) = (A_i(\Delta_x^u))$ where $A_i(\Delta_x^u) = A_i(u_k \Delta x_k) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{ik}(u_k x_k - u_{k+1} x_{k+1})$ which converges for each i .

Now, Let $u = (u_i)$ be any sequence such that $u_i \neq 0$ for each i and s is any real number such that $s \geq 0$, then we define the following sequence spaces :

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta W(A, M, \bar{q}, p, u, s) &= \{x \in w : \frac{1}{Q_n} \sum_{k=1}^n k^{-s} \bar{q}_k [M(\frac{\|A(\Delta_x^u - L_i e_i)\|}{\rho})]^{p_k} \rightarrow 0, \\ &\text{as } n \rightarrow \infty, \text{ for some } \rho > 0, L = (L_1, L_2, L_3, \dots) \in E, L_i \in \mathbb{C}\}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta W_0(A, M, \bar{q}, p, u, s) &= \{x \in w : \frac{1}{Q_n} \sum_{k=1}^n k^{-s} \bar{q}_k [M(\frac{\|A(\Delta_x^u)\|}{\rho})]^{p_k} \rightarrow 0 \\ &\text{as } n \rightarrow \infty, \text{ for some } \rho > 0\}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\Delta W_\infty(A, M, \bar{q}, p, u, s) = \{x \in w : \sup_n \frac{1}{Q_n} \sum_{k=1}^n k^{-s} \bar{q}_k [M(\frac{\|A(\Delta_x^u)\|}{\rho})] < \infty, \text{ for some } \rho > 0\}$$

where

$$e_i = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{at the } i\text{-th place} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

For more details on Cezàro sequences, one may see (Khan and Rahman[5], Etgin[3] and Shiue[13]).

2. MAIN RESULTS

In this section, we prove the following theorems :

Theorem 2.1. *Let $p = (p_n)$ be bounded. Then $\Delta W(A, M, \bar{q}, p, u, s)$, $\Delta W_0(A, M, \bar{q}, p, u, s)$ and $\Delta W_\infty(A, M, \bar{q}, p, u, s)$ are linear spaces over the complex field \mathbb{C} .*

Proof. Let $x, y \in \Delta W(A, M, \bar{q}, p, u, s)$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$. Then there exists some positive numbers ρ_1 and ρ_2 such that :

$$\frac{1}{Q_n} \sum_{k=1}^n k^{-s} \bar{q}_k [M(\frac{\|A(\Delta_x^u - L_i e_i)\|}{\rho_1})]^{p_k} \rightarrow 0, \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

where $L = (L_1, L_2, L_3, \dots) \in E, L_i \in \mathbb{C}$,

and

$$\frac{1}{Q_n} \sum_{k=1}^n k^{-s} \bar{q}_k [M(\frac{\|A(\Delta_y^u - l_i e_i)\|}{\rho_2})]^{p_k} \rightarrow 0, \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

where $l = (l_1, l_2, l_3, \dots) \in E, l_i \in \mathbb{C}$.

Define $\rho_3 = \max(2|\alpha| \rho_1, 2|\beta| \rho_2)$. Then since M is nondecreasing and convex, we see that :

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{1}{\bar{Q}_n} \sum_{k=1}^n k^{-s} \bar{q}_k \left[M \left(\frac{\| A(\alpha \Delta_x^u + \beta \Delta_y^u - (L_i e_i + l_i e_i) \|)}{\rho_3} \right) \right]^{p_k} \\
 \leq & \frac{1}{\bar{Q}_n} \sum_{k=1}^n k^{-s} \bar{q}_k \left[M \left(\frac{\| A(\alpha \Delta_x^u - L_i e_i) \|}{\rho_3} \right) \right]^{p_k} \\
 & + \frac{1}{\bar{Q}_n} \sum_{k=1}^n k^{-s} \bar{q}_k \left[M \left(\frac{\| A(\beta \Delta_y^u - l_i e_i) \|}{\rho_3} \right) \right]^{p_k} \\
 \leq & \frac{1}{\bar{Q}_n} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{2} k^{-s} \bar{q}_k \left[M \left(\frac{\| A(\alpha \Delta_x^u - L_i e_i) \|}{\rho_1} \right) \right]^{p_k} \\
 & + \frac{1}{\bar{Q}_n} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{2} k^{-s} \bar{q}_k \left[M \left(\frac{\| A(\beta \Delta_y^u - l_i e_i) \|}{\rho_2} \right) \right]^{p_k} \\
 \rightarrow & 0, \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.
 \end{aligned}$$

This shows that $\alpha x + \beta y \in \Delta W(A, M, \bar{q}, p, u, s)$.

Similarly, it can be proved that $\Delta W_0(A, M, \bar{q}, p, u, s)$ and $\Delta W_\infty(A, M, \bar{q}, p, u, s)$ are also linear spaces. \square

Theorem 2.2. *Let M be an Orlicz function which satisfies the Δ_2 -condition. Then*

$$\Delta W(A, \bar{q}, u, s) \subseteq \Delta W(A, M, \bar{q}, u, s),$$

$$\Delta_0 W(A, \bar{q}, u, s) \subseteq \Delta_0 W(A, M, \bar{q}, u, s)$$

$$\text{and } \Delta_\infty W(A, \bar{q}, u, s) \subseteq \Delta_\infty W(A, M, \bar{q}, u, s).$$

Proof. Let $x \in \Delta W(A, \bar{q}, u, s)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{1}{\bar{Q}_n} \sum_{k=1}^n k^{-s} \bar{q}_k \left[\| A(\Delta_x^u - L_i e_i) \| \right] \rightarrow 0, \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty, \\
 & \text{where } L = (L_1, L_2, L_3, \dots) \in E, L_i \in \mathbb{C}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Now, if $\epsilon > 0$ is given, one can choose δ such that $0 < \delta < 1$ and $M(t) < \epsilon$, for $0 \leq t \leq \delta$. Let $y_k = \| A(\Delta_x^u - L_i e_i) \|$ and $\sum_{k=1}^n \bar{q}_k \left[M \left(\frac{y_k}{\rho} \right) \right] = \sum_1 + \sum_2$,

where \sum_1 over $y_k \leq \delta$ and \sum_2 is over $y_k > \delta$. Then using the continuity of M we get that $\sum_1 < \bar{Q}_n \epsilon$ and for $y_k > \delta$ we use the inequality $y_k < \frac{y_k}{\delta} < 1 + \frac{y_k}{\delta}$.

But M is nondecreasing and convex which implies that :

$$M\left(\frac{y_k}{\rho}\right) < M\left(1 + \frac{y_k}{\delta}\right) < \frac{1}{2}M(2) + \frac{1}{2}M\left(\frac{2y_k}{\delta}\right).$$

Since M satisfies the Δ_2 -condition, we see that :

$$M\left(\frac{y_k}{\rho}\right) < \frac{1}{2}L\left(\frac{y_k}{\delta}\right)M(2) + \frac{1}{2}L\left(\frac{y_k}{\delta}\right)M(2) = L\left(\frac{y_k}{\delta}\right)M(2).$$

This yields that :

$$\sum_2 \bar{q}_k M\left(\frac{y_k}{\delta}\right) \leq \frac{L}{\delta} M(2) \bar{Q}_n \left[\frac{1}{\bar{Q}_n} \sum_{k=1}^n k^{-s} \bar{q}_k \left(\frac{\|A(\Delta_x^u - L_i e_i)\|}{\rho} \right) \right]$$

Hence $\Delta W(A, \bar{q}, u, s) \subseteq \Delta W(A, M, \bar{q}, u, s)$. A similar proof can be done for the other two inclusions. \square

Theorem 2.3. (i) Let $0 < \inf_k p_k \leq p_k < 1$. Then

$$\Delta W(A, M, \bar{q}, p, u, s) \subseteq \Delta W(A, M, \bar{q}, u, s).$$

(ii) Let $1 \leq p_k \leq \sup_k p_k < \infty$. Then

$$\Delta W(A, M, \bar{q}, u, s) \subseteq \Delta W(A, M, \bar{q}, p, u, s).$$

Proof. (i) Let $x \in \Delta W(A, M, \bar{q}, p, u, s)$. Then since $0 < \inf_k p_k \leq p_k < 1$ we conclude that :

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{\bar{Q}_n} \sum_{k=1}^n k^{-s} \bar{q}_k \left[M\left(\frac{\|A(\Delta_x^u - L_i e_i)\|}{\rho}\right) \right] \\ & \leq \frac{1}{\bar{Q}_n} \sum_{k=1}^n k^{-s} \bar{q}_k \left[M\left(\frac{\|A(\Delta_x^u - L_i e_i)\|}{\rho}\right) \right]^{p_k}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $x \in \Delta W(A, M, \bar{q}, u, s)$.

(ii) Let $x \in \Delta W(A, M, \bar{q}, p, u, s)$. Then for all ϵ such that $0 < \epsilon < 1$, there exists a positive integer N such that :

$$\frac{1}{\bar{Q}_n} \sum_{k=1}^n k^{-s} \bar{q}_k \left[M\left(\frac{\|A(\Delta_x^u - L_i e_i)\|}{\rho}\right) \right] \leq \epsilon < 1, \text{ for all } n \geq N.$$

Now since $1 \leq p_k \leq \sup_k p_k < \infty$, we have :

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{\bar{Q}_n} \sum_{k=1}^n k^{-s} \bar{q}_k \left[M\left(\frac{\|A(\Delta_x^u - L_i e_i)\|}{\rho}\right) \right]^{p_k} \\ & \leq \frac{1}{\bar{Q}_n} \sum_{k=1}^n k^{-s} \bar{q}_k \left[M\left(\frac{\|A(\Delta_x^u - L_i e_i)\|}{\rho}\right) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $x \in \Delta W(A, M, \bar{q}, p, u, s)$ and this completes the proof. \square

Theorem 2.4. Let $H = \sup_k p_k \leq p_k$. Then $\Delta W_0(A, M, \bar{q}, p, u, s)$ is a linear topological space paranormed by h definrd as :

$$h(x) = \inf_n \{ \rho^{\frac{pn}{H}} : (\frac{1}{Q_n} \sum_{k=1}^n k^{-s} \bar{q}_k [M(\frac{\|A(\Delta_x^u)\|}{\rho})]^{p_k})^{\frac{1}{H}} \leq 1, n = 1, 2, 3, \dots \}$$

Proof. Clearly $h(-x) = h(x)$. For $\alpha = \beta = 1$ and using the linearity of $\Delta W_0(A, M, \bar{q}, p, u, s)$, we get that :

Then since $0 < \inf_k p_k \leq p_k < 1$ we conclude that :

$$h(\Delta_x^u + \Delta_y^u) \leq h(\Delta_x^u) + h(\Delta_y^u).$$

Since $M(0) = 0$, we see that $\inf_n \rho^{\frac{pn}{H}} = 0$, for $x = 0$.

Conversely, suppose that $h(x) = 0$, then

$$(\inf_n \{ \rho^{\frac{pn}{H}} : (\frac{1}{Q_n} \sum_{k=1}^n k^{-s} \bar{q}_k [M(\frac{\|A(\Delta_x^u)\|}{\rho})]^{p_k})^{\frac{1}{H}} \leq 1 \}) = 0.$$

This yields that for a given $\epsilon > 0$, there exists some $\rho_\epsilon (0 < \rho_\epsilon < \epsilon)$ such that :

$$(\frac{1}{Q_n} \sum_{k=1}^n k^{-s} \bar{q}_k [M(\frac{\|A(\Delta_x^u)\|}{\rho_\epsilon})]^{p_k})^{\frac{1}{H}} \leq 1.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} & (\frac{1}{Q_n} \sum_{k=1}^n k^{-s} \bar{q}_k [M(\frac{\|A(\Delta_x^u)\|}{\epsilon})]^{p_k})^{\frac{1}{H}} \\ & \leq (\frac{1}{Q_n} \sum_{k=1}^n k^{-s} \bar{q}_k [M(\frac{\|A(\Delta_x^u)\|}{\rho_\epsilon})]^{p_k})^{\frac{1}{H}} \leq 1. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose $x_{n_m} \neq 0$, for some m . Let $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, then $\| \frac{A_i(u_{n_m} \Delta x_{n_m})}{\rho} \| \rightarrow \infty$, which is a contradiction.

Hence $x_{n_m} = 0$, for each m . Finally, we prove that scalar multiplication is continuous. Let λ be any number. Then

$$h(\lambda x) = \inf_n \{ \rho^{\frac{pn}{H}} : (\frac{1}{Q_n} \sum_{k=1}^n k^{-s} \bar{q}_k [M(\frac{\|A(\lambda \Delta_x^u)\|}{\rho})]^{p_k})^{\frac{1}{H}} \leq 1, n = 1, 2, 3, \dots \}$$

and therefore

$$h(\lambda x) = \inf_n \{ (\lambda r)^{\frac{pn}{H}} : (\frac{1}{Q_n} \sum_{k=1}^n k^{-s} \bar{q}_k [M(\frac{\|A(\lambda \Delta_x^u)\|}{\rho})]^{p_k})^{\frac{1}{H}} \leq 1, n = 1, 2, 3, \dots \},$$

where $r = \frac{\rho}{\lambda}$.

Since $|\lambda|^{p_k} \leq \max(1, |\lambda|^H)$, we get that $|\lambda|^{\frac{p_k}{H}} \leq (\max(1, |\lambda|^H))^{\frac{1}{H}}$. This implies that :

$$\begin{aligned} h(\lambda x) &\leq (\max(1, |\lambda|^H))^{\frac{1}{H}} \inf_n \left\{ (r)^{\frac{p_n}{H}} : \left(\frac{1}{Q_n} \sum_{k=1}^n k^{-s} \bar{q}_k \left[M \left(\frac{\|A(\lambda \Delta_x^u)\|}{\rho} \right) \right]^{p_k} \right)^{\frac{1}{H}} \right\} \\ &\leq 1, n = 1, 2, 3, \dots \} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } h(x) \rightarrow 0 \text{ in } \Delta W_0(A, M, \bar{q}, p, u, s). \end{aligned}$$

Now, let $\lambda \rightarrow 0$ and $x \in \Delta W_0(A, M, \bar{q}, p, u, s)$, then for $\epsilon > 0$, let N be a positive integer such that :

$$\frac{1}{Q_N} \sum_{k=1}^N k^{-s} \bar{q}_k \left[M \left(\frac{\|A(\Delta_x^u)\|}{\rho} \right) \right]^{p_k} < \frac{\epsilon}{2}, \text{ for some } \rho > 0.$$

Therefore

$$\frac{1}{Q_N} \sum_{k=1}^N k^{-s} \bar{q}_k \left[M \left(\frac{\|A(\Delta_x^u)\|}{\rho} \right) \right]^{p_k} < \frac{\epsilon}{2}.$$

Now, if $0 < |\lambda| < 1$ and using the convexity of M , we see that :

$$\frac{1}{Q_N} \sum_{k=1}^N k^{-s} \bar{q}_k \left[M \left(\frac{\|A(\Delta_x^u)\|}{\rho} \right) \right]^{p_k} < \frac{1}{Q_N} \sum_{k=1}^N k^{-s} \bar{q}_k [|\lambda| M \left(\frac{\|A(\Delta_x^u)\|}{\rho} \right)]^{p_k} < \left(\frac{\epsilon}{2}\right)^H.$$

Since M is continuous everywhere in $[0, \infty]$, we have $f(t) = \frac{1}{Q_N} \sum_{k=1}^N k^{-s} \bar{q}_k \left[M \left(\frac{\|A(t \Delta_x^u)\|}{\rho} \right) \right]^{p_k}$ is continuous at 0 and so there exists $\delta (0 < \delta < 1)$ such that $|f(t)| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$, for $0 < t < \delta$.

Let K be such that $|\lambda_n| < \delta$, for $n > K$. Then for $n > K$,

$$\left(\frac{1}{Q_n} \sum_{k=1}^n k^{-s} \bar{q}_k \left[M \left(\frac{\|A(\lambda_n \Delta_x^u)\|}{\rho} \right) \right]^{p_k} \right)^{\frac{1}{H}} < \frac{\epsilon}{2}.$$

Thus

$$\left(\frac{1}{Q_n} \sum_{k=1}^n k^{-s} \bar{q}_k \left[M \left(\frac{\|A(\lambda_n \Delta_x^u)\|}{\rho} \right) \right]^{p_k} \right)^{\frac{1}{H}} < \epsilon, \text{ for } n > K.$$

This completes the proof of the theorem. □

REFERENCES

- [1] Bilgin, T., *Some new difference sequence spaces defined by an Orlicz function*, Filomat, 17(2003), 1-8.
- [2] Esi, A. and Et., M., *Some new sequence spaces defined by a sequence of Orlicz functions*, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math., 31(8)(2000), 967-972.
- [3] Etgin, M., *On some generalized Cesàro difference sequence spaces*, Istanbul Univ. fen. fak. Mat. Dergisi, 55-56(1996-1997), 221-229.

- [4] Güngör, M. , Et., M. and Altin, Y., *Strongly $(V_\sigma; \lambda; q)$ -summable sequences defined by Orlicz function*, Appl. Math. Comput., 157(2004), 561-571.
- [5] Khan, F. M. and Rahman, M. F., *Infinite matrices and Cezàro sequence spaces*, Analysis Mathematica, 23(1997),3-11.
- [6] Krasnoselskii, M. A. and Rutickii, Ya. b., *Convex Functions and Orlicz Spaces*, Groning, the Netherlands, 1961 (Trnslated from the first Russian Edition, by : Leo F. Boron).
- [7] Lindenstrauss, J. and Tzafriri, L., *On Orlicz sequence spaces*, Israel J. Math., 10(3)(1971), 379-390.
- [8] Maddox, I. J., *Elements of functional analysis*, 2nd Edition, Cambridge University Press, 1970.
- [9] Maddox,I. J., *Sequence spaces defined by a modulus*, Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc., 100(1986), 161-166.
- [10] Nakano,H., *Concave modulus*, J. Math. Soc. Japan 5(1953), 29-49.
- [11] Parashar, S. D. and Choudhary, B., *Sequence spaces defined by Orlicz functions*, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math., 25(1994), 419-428.
- [12] Ruckle, W. H., *FK space in which the sequenc of coordinate vectors is bounded*, Can. J. Math., 25(5)(1973), 973-978.
- [13] Shiue, J. S., *On the Cezàro sequence spaces*, Tamkang J. Math., 1(1970), 19-25.
- [14] Tripathy, B. C. and Mahanta, S., *On a class of sequences related to the space defined by Orlicz functions*, Soochow J. Math., 29(4)(2003), 379-391.