Tamsui Oxford Journal of Information and Mathematical Sciences 31(1) (2017) 39-48 Aletheia University

Generalization on uniqueness of non-linear differential polynomials sharing 1-points *

Harina P.Waghamore[†]

Department of Mathematics, Jnanabharathi Campus, Bangalore University, Bengaluru-560 056, INDIA.

and

Husna $V.^{\ddagger}$

Department of Mathematics, Jnanabharathi Campus, Bangalore University,

Bengaluru-560 056, INDIA.

Received January 6, 2016, Accepted April 19, 2016.

Abstract

In this paper, we generalize two theorems on the uniqueness of nonlinear differential polynomials sharing 1-points, which improves a result of Indrajit Lahiri and Pulak Sahoo[14].

Keywords and Phrases: Uniqueness, meromorphic function, non-linear differential polynomial.

^{*2010} Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 30D35.

 $^{^{\}dagger}$ Corresponding author. E-mail: harinapw@gmail.com ,harinapw@bub.ernet.in ‡ E-mail: husnav43@gmail.com , husnav@bub.ernet.in

1. Introduction and main results

Let f and g be two nonconstant meromorphic functions defined in the open complex plane \mathbb{C} . Let k be a positive integer or infinity and $a \in \{\infty\} \cup \mathbb{C}$. We denote by $E_{k}(a; f)$ the set of all a-points of f with multiplicities not exceeding k, where an a-point is counted according to its multiplicity. If for some $a \in \{\infty\} \cup \mathbb{C}$, $E_{\infty}(a; f) = E_{\infty}(a; g)$, then we say that f, g share the value a CM(counting multiplicities).

In [3,5], the problem of uniqueness of meromorphic functions when two linear differential polynomials share the same 1-points was studied. Also in [3] the following question was asked: What can be said if two non-linear differential polynomials generated by two meromorphic functions share 1 CM?

In the meantime some works have been done in this direction (cf.[1,7]). In 2001, Fang and Hong [1] have proved the following result.

Theorem A([1]). Let f and g be two transcendental entire functions and $n(\geq 11)$ be an integer. If $f^n(f-1)f'$ and $g^n(g-1)g'$ share 1 CM, then $f \equiv g$.

In 2005, Indrajit Lahiri and Pulak Sahoo [14] have proved the following result.

Theorem B([14]). Let f and g be two transcendental entire functions and $n(\geq 7)$ be an integer. If $E_{3}(1; f^n(f-1)f') = E_{3}(1; g^n(g-1)g')$, then $f \equiv g$.

Theorem C([14]). Let f and g be two transcendental meromorphic functions such that $\Theta(\infty; f) > 0, \Theta(\infty; g) > 0, \Theta(\infty; f) + \Theta(\infty; g) > \frac{4}{n+1}$, and $n(\geq 11)$ be an integer. If $E_{3}(1; f^n(f-1)f') = E_{3}(1; g^n(g-1)g')$, then $f \equiv g$.

Definition 1.1([4]). For $a \in \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$ we denote by $N(r, a; f \mid = 1)$ the

counting functions of simple a-points of f.

For a positive integer m we denote by $N(r, a; f | \leq m)(N(r, a; f | \geq m))$ the counting function of those a-points of f whose multiplicities are not greater(less) than m, where each a-point is counted according to its multiplicity.

 $\overline{N}(r, a; f \mid \leq m)$ and $\overline{N}(r, a; f \mid \geq m)$ are defined similarly, where in counting the *a*-points of f we ignore the multiplicities.

Also $N(r, a; f \mid < m), N(r, a; f \mid > m), \overline{N}(r, a; f \mid < m)$ and $\overline{N}(r, a; f \mid > m)$ are defined analogously.

Definition 1.2([cf. [12]]). For $a \in \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$ we put

$$N_2(r,a;f) = \overline{N}(r,a;f) + \overline{N}(r,a;f \mid \ge 2).$$

In this paper we prove the following theorems which improves Theorem B and Theorem C.

Theorem 1.1. Let f and g be two transcendental entire functions and $n \geq 5 + 2m$, $m \geq 1$, are integers. If $E_{3}(1; f^n(f^m - 1)f') = E_{3}(1; g^n(g^m - 1)g')$, then $f \equiv g$.

Theorem 1.2. Let f and g be two transcendental meromorphic functions such that $\Theta(\infty; f) > 0, \Theta(\infty; g) > 0, \Theta(\infty; f) + \Theta(\infty; g) > \frac{4}{n+1}$, and $n(\geq 9+2m), m \geq 1$ are integers. If $E_{3}(1; f^n(f^m-1)f') = E_{3}(1; g^n(g^m-1)g')$, then $f \equiv g$.

2. Some Lemmas

We denote by h the function

$$h = \left(\frac{f''}{f'} - \frac{2f'}{f-1}\right) - \left(\frac{g''}{g'} - \frac{2g'}{g-1}\right).$$

Lemma 2.1([8,10]). If f and g share 1 CM, then one of the following cases holds:

 $\begin{aligned} (\mathbf{i})T(r,f) + T(r,g) &\leq 2\{N_2(r,0;f) + N_2(r,0;g) + N_2(r,\infty;f) + N_2(r,\infty;g)\} + \\ S(r,f) + S(r,g), \\ (\mathbf{ii})f &\equiv g, \ (\mathbf{iii})fg \equiv 1. \end{aligned}$

Lemma 2.2([14]). If $E_{3}(1; f) = E_{3}(1; g)$, then the conclusion of Lemma 2.1 holds.

Lemma 2.3([14]). Let f and g be two nonconstant meromorphic functions such that $\Theta(\infty; f) + \Theta(\infty; g) > \frac{4}{n+1}$, where $n(\geq 2)$ is an integer. Then $f^{n+1}(af + b) \equiv g^{n+1}(ag + b)$ implies $f \equiv g$, where a, b are finite nonzero constants and n is an integer.

Lemma 2.4. Let f and g be nonconstant meromorphic functions. Then $f^n(f^m - 1)f'g^n(g^m - 1)g' \not\equiv 1$, where n is an integer.

Proof. If possible, let

$$f^n(f^m - 1)f'g^n(g^m - 1)g' \equiv 1.$$

Let z_0 be an 1-point of f with multiplicity $p(\geq 1)$. Then z_0 is a pole of g with multiplicity $q(\geq 1)$, such that mp + p - 1 = (n + m + 1)q + 1 $(m + 1)p = (n + m + 1)q + 2 \geq n + m + 3$. i.e., $p \geq \frac{n+m+3}{m+1}$. Let z_0 be a zero of f with multiplicity $p(\geq 1)$ and it be a pole of g with multiplicity $q(\geq 1)$. Then

np+p-1 = nq + mq + q + 1

i.e, (n+1)(p-q) = mq + 2

i.e, $p \ge \frac{n+m-1}{m}$.

Since a pole of f is either a zero of g(g-1) or a zero of g', we see that

$$\overline{N}(r,\infty;f) \leq \overline{N}(r,0;g) + \overline{N}(r,1;g) + \overline{N}_0(r,0;g') \\ \leq \frac{m}{n+m-1} N(r,0;g) + \frac{m+1}{n+m+3} N(r,1;g) + \overline{N}_0(r,0;g') \\ \leq (\frac{m}{n+m-1} + \frac{m+1}{n+m+3}) T(r,g) + \overline{N}_0(r,0;g').$$

Now by the second fundamental theorem we obtain

$$T(r,f) \leq \overline{N}(r,0;f) + \overline{N}(r,1;f) + \overline{N}(r,\infty;f) - \overline{N}_0(r,0;f') + S(r,f)$$

$$\leq \frac{m}{n+m-1}N(r,0;f) + \frac{m+1}{n+m+3}N(r,1;f) + \overline{N}(r,\infty;f)$$

$$- \overline{N}_0(r,0;f') + S(r,f)$$

i.e.,

$$(1 - \frac{m}{n+m-1} - \frac{m+1}{n+m+3})T(r,f) \le (\frac{m}{n+m-1} + \frac{m+1}{n+m+3})T(r,g) + \overline{N}_0(r,0;g') - \overline{N}_0(r,0;f') + S(r,f).$$
(1)

Similarly, we get

$$(1 - \frac{m}{n+m-1} - \frac{m+1}{n+m+3})T(r,g) \le (\frac{m}{n+m-1} + \frac{m+1}{n+m+3})T(r,f) + \overline{N}_0(r,0;f') - \overline{N}_0(r,0;g') + S(r,g).$$
(2)

Adding (1) and (2) we get

$$\left(1 - \frac{2m}{n+m-1} - \frac{2(m+1)}{n+m+3}\right)\left\{T(r,f) + T(r,g)\right\} \le S(r,f) + S(r,g).$$

which is a contradiction because

$$1 - \frac{m}{n+m-1} - \frac{m+1}{n+m+3} > 0.$$

This proves the lemma.

Lemma 2.5([9]). Let f be a nonconstant meromorphic function and $P(f) = a_0 + a_1 f + a_2 f^2 + ... + a_n f^n$, where $a_0, a_1, ..., a_n$ are constants and $a_n \neq 0$. Then T(r, P(f)) = nT(r, f) + O(1).

Lemma 2.6([11]). Let f be a nonconstant meromorphic function. Then $N(r, 0; f^{(k)}) \leq k\overline{N}(r, \infty; f) + N(r, 0; f) + S(r, f).$

Lemma 2.7. Let f and g be two nonconstant meromorphic functions and $F = f^{n+1}(\frac{f^m}{n+m+1} - \frac{1}{n+1})$ and $G = g^{n+1}(\frac{g^m}{n+m+1} - \frac{1}{n+1})$, where $n(\geq 5 - m)$ is an integer. Then $F' \equiv G'$ implies $F \equiv G$.

Proof. If $F' \equiv G'$ then F = G + c, where c is a constant. If possible, let $c \neq 0$. Then by the second fundamental theorem and Lemma 2.5 we get

$$(n+m+1)T(r,f) \leq \overline{N}(r,\infty;F) + \overline{N}(r,0;F) + \overline{N}(r,c;F) + S(r,f)$$
$$= \overline{N}(r,\infty;f) + \overline{N}(r,0;f) + \overline{N}(r,\frac{n+m+1}{n+1};f)$$
$$+ \overline{N}(r,0;g) + \overline{N}(r,\frac{n+m+1}{n+1};g) + S(r,f)$$
$$\leq 3T(r,f) + 2T(r,g) + S(r,f).$$

i.e.,

$$(n+m-2)T(r,f) \le 2T(r,g) + S(r,f).$$

Similarly, we get

$$(n+m-2)T(r,g) \le 2T(r,f) + S(r,g).$$

This shows that

$$(n+m-4)T(r,f) + (n+m-4)T(r,g) \le S(r,f) + S(r,g),$$

which is a contradiction.

Therefore c = 0 and so $F \equiv G$.

This proves the Lemma.

3. Proof of Theorems

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Let F and G be defined as in Lemma 2.7. Now in view of the first fundamental theorem and Lemma 2.5 we get

$$\begin{split} T(r,F) &= T(r,\frac{1}{F}) + O(1) \\ &= N(r,\frac{1}{F}) + m(r,\frac{1}{F}) + O(1) \\ &\leq N(r,\frac{1}{F}) + m(r,\frac{F'}{F}) + m(r,\frac{1}{F'}) \\ &= T(r,F') + N(r,0;F) - N(r,0;F') + S(r,F) \\ &= T(r,F') + (n+1)N(r,0,f) + N(r,\frac{n+m+1}{n+1};f^m) \\ &- nN(r,0;f) - N(r,1;f^m) - N(r,0;f') + S(r,f) \\ &= T(r,F') + N(r,0;f) + N(r,\frac{n+m+1}{n+1};f^m) \\ &- N(r,1;f^m) - N(r,0;f') + S(r,f). \end{split}$$

If possible, suppose that

$$T(r, F') + T(r, G') \le 2\{N_2(r, 0; F') + N_2(r, 0; G') + N_2(r, \infty; F') + N_2(r, \infty; G')\} + S(r, F') + S(r, G').$$
(3)

Then we get by Lemma 2.6,

$$\begin{split} T(r,F) + T(r,G) &\leq T(r,F') + T(r,G') + N(r,0;f) + N(r,\frac{n+m+1}{n+1};f^m) \\ &- N(r,1;f^m) - N(r,0;f') + N(r,0;g) + N(r,\frac{n+m+1}{n+1};g^m) \\ &- N(r,1;g^m) - N(r,0;g') + S(r,f) + S(r,g) \\ &\leq 2N_2(r,0;F') + 2N_2(r,0;G') + 2N_2(r,\infty;F') \\ &+ 2N_2(r,\infty;G') + N(r,0;f) + N(r,\frac{n+m+1}{n+1};f^m) \\ &- N(r,1;f^m) - N(r,0;f') + N(r,0;g) + N(r,\frac{n+m+1}{n+1};g^m) \\ &- N(r,1;g^m) - N(r,0;g') + S(r,f) + S(r,g) \end{split}$$

$$\leq 4T(r, f) + 2mT(r, f) + 2T(r, f) + 2N(r, \infty; f) + 4T(r, g) + 2mT(r, g) + 2\overline{N}(r, \infty; g) + 2T(r, g) + 3\overline{N}(r, \infty; f) + 3\overline{N}(r, \infty; g) + S(r, f) + S(r, g) \leq (6 + 2m)T(r, f) + (6 + 2m)T(r, g) + 5\overline{N}(r, \infty; f) + 5\overline{N}(r, \infty; g) + S(r, f) + S(r, g).$$

So by Lemma 2.5 we obtain

$$(n - 4 - 2m)T(r, f) + (n - 4 - 2m)T(r, g) \le 5\overline{N}(r, \infty; f) + 5\overline{N}(r, \infty; g) + S(r, f) + S(r, g).$$
(4)

Let us choose ϵ such that

 $0 < \epsilon < n - 9 - 2m + min\{\Theta(\infty, f), \Theta(\infty, g)\}.$ Then from (4) we get

$$(n-9-2m+\Theta(\infty;f)-\epsilon)T(r,f)+(n-9-2m+\Theta(\infty;g)-\epsilon)T(r,g) \le S(r,f)+S(r,g),$$

which is a contradiction.

Therefore inequality (3) does not hold.

Since $E_{3}(1; F') = E_{3}(1; G')$ by Lemmas 2.2,2.3,2.4 and 2.7 we get $f \equiv g$.

This proves the theorem.

Proof of Theorem 1.1.

If (3) holds, then from (4) we get $(n-4-2m)T(r,f) + (n-4-2m)T(r,g) \leq S(r,f) + S(r,g)$ which is a contradiction. Therefore inequality (3) does not hold. Since $E_{3}(1;F') = E_{3}(1;G')$, by Lemmas 2.2,2.3,2.4 and 2.7 we get $f \equiv g$.

This proves the theorem.

Acknowledgement: The author (HV) is grateful to the University Grants Commission (UGC), New Delhi, India for supporting her research work by providing her with a Maulana Azad National Fellowship (MANF).

References

- M.L.Fang and W.Hong, A unicity theorem for entire functions concerning differential polynomials. Indian J.Pure Appl.Math.32(2001), No.9, 1343-1348.
- [2] W.K.Hayman, Meromorphic functions.Oxford Mathematical Monographs Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1964.
- [3] I.Lahiri, Uniqueness of meromorphic functions when two linear differential polynomials share the same 1-points.Ann.Polon.Math.71(1999), No.2, 113-128.

- [4] I.Lahiri, Value distribution of certain differential polynomials. Int. J. Math. Math. Sci. 28 (2001), No.2, 83-91.
- [5] I.Lahiri, Linear differential polynomials sharing the same 1-points with weight two, Ann. Polon. Math. 79 (2002), No.2, 157-170.
- [6] I.Lahiri, On a question of Hong Xun Yi. Arch. Math. (Brno) 38(2002), No.2, 119-128.
- [7] W.C.Lin, Uniqueness of differential polynomials and a problem of Lahiri. (Chinese) Pure Appl. Math. (Xi'an) 17(2001), No.2, 104-110.
- [8] E.Mues and M.Reinders, Meromorphic functions sharing one value and unique range sets. Kodai. Math. J. 18 (1995), No.3, 515-522.
- C.C.Yang, On deficiencies of differential polynomials II, Math.Z., 125(1972), 107-112.
- [10] C.C.Yang and X.H.Hua, Uniqueness and value sharing of meromorphic functions. Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math. 22(1997), No.2, 395-406.
- [11] H.X.Yi, Uniqueness of meromorphic functions and a question of C.C.Yang .Complex Variables Theory Appl.14(1990), No.1-4, 169-176.
- [12] H.X.Yi, On characteristic function of a meromorphic function and its derivative. Indian J. Math, 33(1991), No.2, 119-133.
- [13] H.X.Yi, Some further results on uniqueness of meromorphic functions. Complex Variables Theory Appl.38(1999), No.4, 375-385.
- [14] I.Lahiri and Pulak Sahoo, Uniqueness of Non-linear differential polynomials sharing 1-points. Georgian Math.J.12(2005), No.1, 131-138.