

## On the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias Stability of the Cauchy Linear Functional Equation\*

Bouikhalene Belaid<sup>†</sup>

*University of Ibn Tofail, Faculty of Sciences,  
Department of Mathematics, Kenitra, Morocco*

and

Elqorachi Elhoucien<sup>‡</sup>

*Department of Mathematics Faculty of Sciences,  
University Ibn Zohr Agadir, Morocco*

Received February 10, 2006, Accepted March 22, 2006.

### Abstract

In this paper, we obtain the general solution and we provide a proof of the functional stability in the spirit of Hyers-Ulam, Th. M. Rassias and P. Găvruta for the Cauchy linear functional equation

$$f(x + y + a) = f(x) + f(y),$$

where  $f : E_1 \longrightarrow E_2$  and  $a$  is an arbitrary element in  $E_1$ .

**Keywords and Phrases:** *Quadratic equation, Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability.*

---

\*2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 39B52, 39B72.

<sup>†</sup>E-mail: bbouikhalene@yahoo.fr

<sup>‡</sup>E-mail: elqorachi@hotmail.com

## 1. Introduction

The main purpose of this paper is to generalize the results obtained in [4], [8] and [2] for the Cauchy linear functional equation

$$f(x + y + a) = f(x) + f(y), \quad x, y \in E_1. \quad (1)$$

A particular case of this linear functional equation is:

$$f(x + y) = f(x) + f(y), \quad x, y \in E_1. \quad (2)$$

If  $f$  is a solution of (2) it is said to be additive or satisfies the Cauchy equation. In [9] S. M. Ulam posed the question of the stability of Cauchy equation: If a function  $f$  approximately satisfies Cauchy's functional equation (2) when does there exist an exact solution of (2) which  $f$  approximates. The problem has been considered for many different types of spaces by a number of writers including D. H. Hyers [4,5,6], Th. M. Rassias [6,8], Z. Gajda [1] and P. Găvrută [3]. The interested reader should refer to the book by D. H. Hyers, G. Isac and Th. M. Rassias [7].

This paper is organized as follows: In the first section after this introduction we establish the general solution of (1). In the other section we prove the stability problem in the sense Hyers-Ulam, Th. M. Rassias and P. Găvrută for the Cauchy linear functional equation (1).

## 2. Solution of (1)

In this section we present the general solution of (1).

**Theorem 2.1** *A function  $f : E_1 \rightarrow E_2$  satisfies the functional equation (1) if and only if  $f(x) = A(x + a)$  for all  $x \in G$ , where  $A$  is an additive function.*

**Proof.** Let  $f : E_1 \rightarrow E_2$  satisfy the functional equation (1). Putting  $x = y = 0$  in (1), we get  $f(a) = 2f(0)$ . Set  $x = -a, y = 0$  in (1) to get  $f(-a) = 0$ . Letting  $x = -2a, y = 0$  in (1), we obtain that  $f(-2a) + f(0) =$

$f(-2a + a) = f(-a) = 0$ , so  $f(-2a) = -f(0)$ .

Replacing  $y$  by  $-2a$  in (1) yields

$$f(x - a) = f(x - 2a + a) = f(x) + f(-2a) = f(x) - f(0), \quad x \in E_1.$$

Now let  $A : E_1 \rightarrow E_2$  given by  $A =: f - f(0)$ . For all  $x, y \in E_1$ ,  $A$  satisfies the Cauchy equation (2). In fact

$$\begin{aligned} A(x + y) &= f(x + y) - f(0) \\ &= f(x - a + y + a) - f(0) \\ &= f(x - a) + f(y) - f(0) \\ &= f(x) - f(0) + f(y) - f(0) \\ &= A(x) + A(y). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $f(a) = 2f(0)$  it follows that  $A(a) = f(a) - f(0) = 2f(0) - f(0) = f(0)$ . Hence  $f(x) = A(x + a)$  for all  $x \in G$ .

### 3. Hyers-Ulam Stability of (1)

In this section, we give the Hyers-Ulam stability for the linear Cauchy functional equation (1). The results obtained here extend the ones obtained by D. H. Hyers in [4].

**Theorem 3.1** *Let  $G$  be an abelian group and let  $E$  be a Banach space. If a function  $f : G \rightarrow E$  satisfies the functional inequality*

$$\|f(x + y + a) - f(x) - f(y)\| \leq \delta, \quad x, y \in G \tag{3}$$

for some  $\delta > 0$ , then the limit

$$T(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^n} f(2^n x + (2^n - 1)a) \tag{4}$$

exists for all  $x \in G$  and  $T : G \rightarrow E$  is the unique function such that

$$T(x + y + a) = T(x) + T(y) \tag{5}$$

and

$$\|f(x) - T(x)\| \leq \delta$$

for any  $x, y \in G$ .

**Proof.** By letting  $x = y$  in (3), one obtain the inequality

$$\|f(2x + a) - 2f(x)\| \leq \delta \quad (6)$$

from which we get by replacing  $x$  by  $2x + a$  that

$$\|f(4x + 3a) - 2f(2x + a)\| \leq \delta. \quad (7)$$

Now, make the inductive assumption

$$\|f(x) - \frac{1}{2^n}f(2^n x + (2^n - 1)a)\| \leq \delta(1 - \frac{1}{2^n}) \quad (8)$$

for some positive integer  $n$ . Clearly the inductive assumption is true for the case  $n = 1$ , since replacing  $n$  by 1 in (8) would give (6). for  $n + 1$  we get by using (8) and (6) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|f(x) - \frac{1}{2^{n+1}}f(2^{n+1}x + (2^{n+1} - 1)a)\| \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2^{n+1}}\|f(2^{n+1}x + (2^{n+1} - 1)a) - 2f(2^n x + (2^n - 1)a)\| \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{2^n}\|f(2^n x + (2^n - 1)a) - 2^n f(x)\| \\ & \leq \frac{\delta}{2^{n+1}} + \delta(1 - \frac{1}{2^n}) = \delta(1 - \frac{1}{2^{n+1}}). \end{aligned}$$

We claim that the sequence  $T_n(x) = \frac{1}{2^n}\{f(2^n x + (2^n - 1)a)\}$  is a Cauchy sequence. Indeed for all positive integer  $n$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|T_{n+1}(x) - T_n(x)\| \\ & = \|\frac{1}{2^{n+1}}f(2^{n+1}x + (2^{n+1} - 1)a) - \frac{1}{2^n}f(2^n x + (2^n - 1)a)\| \\ & = \frac{1}{2^{n+1}}\|2f(2^n x + (2^n - 1)a) - f(2^{n+1}x + (2^{n+1} - 1)a)\| \\ & \leq \frac{\delta}{2^{n+1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\frac{1}{2} < 1$ , then a small computation shows that  $(T_n(x))_n$  is a Cauchy sequence. Now,  $E$  is a Banach space, consequently the limit of this sequence exists and is in  $E$ . Define  $T : G \longrightarrow E$  by

$$T(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^n}f(2^n x + (2^n - 1)a).$$

First, inequality (8) implies that  $\|f - T\| \leq \delta$ .

For all  $x, y \in G$  and for all positive integer  $n$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|T_n(x + y + a) - T_n(x) - T_n(y)\| \\ = & \left\| \frac{1}{2^n} f(2^n x + 2^n y + (2^{n+1} - 1)a) - \frac{1}{2^n} f(2^n x + (2^n - 1)a) - \frac{1}{2^n} f(2^n y + (2^n - 1)a) \right\| \\ \leq & \frac{\delta}{2^n} \end{aligned}$$

As  $n \rightarrow +\infty$  it follows that  $T(x + y + a) = T(x) + T(y)$  for all  $x, y \in G$  which establishes (5).

Suppose now that there exists an other mapping  $T' : G \rightarrow E$  solution of (5) which satisfies the inequality  $\|f - T'\| \leq \delta$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|T(x) - T'(x)\| &= \left\| \frac{1}{2^n} T(2^n x + (2^n - 1)a) - \frac{1}{2^n} T'(2^n x + (2^n - 1)a) \right\| \\ &\leq \left\| \frac{1}{2^n} T(2^n x + (2^n - 1)a) - \frac{1}{2^n} f(2^n x + (2^n - 1)a) \right\| \\ &\quad \left\| \frac{1}{2^n} f(2^n x + (2^n - 1)a) - \frac{1}{2^n} T'(2^n x + (2^n - 1)a) \right\| \\ &\leq \frac{\delta}{2^n} + \frac{\delta}{2^n} = \frac{\delta}{2^{n-1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $\|T(x) - T'(x)\| = 0$  for any  $x \in G$ , which implies  $T(x) = T'(x)$  for all  $x \in G$ . This completes the proof of theorem.

## 4. Rassias Stability

In this section, we give the Rassias stability for the linear Cauchy functional equation (1). The results obtained here extend the ones obtained by Th. M. Rassias in [8].

**Theorem 4.1** *Let  $G$  be a normed space and let  $E$  be a Banach space. If a function  $f : G \rightarrow E$  satisfies the functional inequality*

$$\|f(x + y + a) - f(x) - f(y)\| \leq \theta(\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p), \quad x, y \in G \tag{9}$$

*for some  $\theta > 0$  and  $p \in [0, 1[$  for all  $x, y \in G$ , then there exists a unique function  $T : G \rightarrow E$  such that*

$$T(x + y + a) = T(x) + T(y) \tag{10}$$

and

$$\|f(x) - T(x)\| \leq \theta \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} 2^{k(p-1)} \|x + (1 - \frac{1}{2^k})a\|^p \quad (11)$$

for any  $x, y \in G$ .

**Proof.** By letting  $x = y$  in (9), one obtain the inequality

$$\|f(2x + a) - 2f(x)\| \leq 2\theta \|x\|^p \quad (12)$$

from which we get by replacing  $x$  by  $2x + a$  that

$$\|f(4x + 3a) - 2f(2x + a)\| \leq 2\theta \|2x + a\|^p. \quad (13)$$

By induction we prove

$$\|f(x) - \frac{1}{2^n} f(2^n x + (2^n - 1)a)\| \leq \theta \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{2^k} \|2^k x + (2^k - 1)a\|^p \quad (14)$$

for any positive integer  $n$ . Clearly the inductive assumption is true for the case  $n = 1$ . For  $n + 1$  we get by using (14) and (12) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|f(x) - \frac{1}{2^{n+1}} f(2^{n+1}x + (2^{n+1} - 1)a)\| \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2^{n+1}} \|f(2^{n+1}x + (2^{n+1} - 1)a) - 2f(2^n x + (2^n - 1)a)\| \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{2^n} \|f(2^n x + (2^n - 1)a) - 2^n f(x)\| \\ & \leq \theta \frac{1}{2^n} \|2^n x + (2^n - 1)a\|^p + \theta \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{2^k} \|2^k x + (2^k - 1)a\|^p \\ & = \theta \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{1}{2^k} \|2^k x + (2^k - 1)a\|^p. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the inequality (14) is true for any  $x \in G$  and for any positive integer  $n$ .

Put  $T_n(x) = \frac{1}{2^n} \{f(2^n x + (2^n - 1)a)\}$ , where  $n$  is a positive integer and  $x \in G$ .

According to (14) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \| T_{n+1}(x) - T_n(x) \| &= \left\| \frac{1}{2^{n+1}} f(2^{n+1}x + (2^{n+1} - 1)a) - \frac{1}{2^n} f(2^n x + (2^n - 1)a) \right\| \\ &= \frac{1}{2^{n+1}} \| 2f(2^n x + (2^n - 1)a) - f(2^{n+1}x + (2^{n+1} - 1)a) \| \\ &= \frac{\theta}{2^n} \| 2^n x + (2^n - 1)a \|^p = 2^{n(p-1)} \theta \| x + (1 - \frac{1}{2^n})a \|^p. \\ &\leq 2^{n(p-1)} \theta (\| x \| + \| a \|)^p. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $p < 1$  and  $\frac{1}{2} < 1$ ,  $(T_n(x))_n$  is a Cauchy sequence for each  $x \in G$ . As  $E$  is complete we can define  $T : G \rightarrow E$  by

$$T(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^n} f(2^n x + (2^n - 1)a).$$

For all  $x, y \in G$  and for all positive integer  $n$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\| T_n(x + y + a) - T_n(x) - T_n(y) \| \\ &= \left\| \frac{1}{2^n} f(2^n x + 2^n y + (2^{n+1} - 1)a) - \frac{1}{2^n} f(2^n x + (2^n - 1)a) - \frac{1}{2^n} f(2^n y + (2^n - 1)a) \right\| \\ &\leq \frac{\theta}{2^n} (\| 2^n x + (2^n - 1)a \|^p + \| 2^n y + (2^n - 1)a \|^p) \\ &= 2^{n(p-1)} \theta (\| x + (1 - \frac{1}{2^n})a \|^p + \| y + (1 - \frac{1}{2^n})a \|^p). \end{aligned}$$

As  $n \rightarrow +\infty$  it follows that  $T(x + y + a) = T(x) + T(y)$  for all  $x, y \in G$  which establishes (10).

The inequality (11) immediately follows from (14).

The uniqueness of the mapping  $T$  can be obtained, by using the similar proof used in the precedent paragraph. This completes the proof of theorem.

## 5. Găvruta Stability

In this section, we give the Găvruta stability (see [2,3]), for the linear Cauchy functional equation (1). This result generalizes and modifies the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability.

**Theorem 5.1** *Let  $G$  be an abelian group and let  $E$  be a Banach space and let  $\varphi : G \times G \longrightarrow [0, +\infty[$  be a function satisfying*

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{k+1}} \varphi(2^k x + (2^k - 1)a, 2^k y + (2^k - 1)a) < +\infty \quad (15)$$

for all  $x, y \in G$ . If a function  $f : G \longrightarrow E$  satisfies the functional inequality

$$\|f(x + y + a) - f(x) - f(y)\| \leq \varphi(x, y), \quad x, y \in G, \quad (16)$$

then there exists a unique function  $T : G \longrightarrow E$  solution of the functional equation

$$T(x + y + a) = T(x) + T(y) \quad (17)$$

and

$$\|f(x) - T(x)\| \leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{k+1}} \varphi(2^k x + (2^k - 1)a, 2^k x + (2^k - 1)a) \quad (18)$$

for any  $x, y \in G$ .

**Proof.** By letting  $x = y$  in (16) yields

$$\|f(2x + a) - 2f(x)\| \leq \varphi(x, x) \quad (19)$$

from which we get by replacing  $x$  by  $2x + a$  that

$$\|f(4x + 3a) - 2f(2x + a)\| \leq \varphi(2x + a, 2x + a). \quad (20)$$

Now, make the induction assumption

$$\|f(x) - \frac{1}{2^n} f(2^n x + (2^n - 1)a)\| \leq \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{2^{k+1}} \varphi(2^k x + (2^k - 1)a, 2^k x + (2^k - 1)a) \quad (21)$$

for any positive integer  $n$ . By considering (19) it follows that the inductive assumption is true for the case  $n = 1$ . For  $n + 1$  we have by using (21) and



(19) that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|f(x) - \frac{1}{2^{n+1}}f(2^{n+1}x + (2^{n+1} - 1)a)\| \\
\leq & \frac{1}{2^{n+1}}\|f(2^{n+1}x + (2^{n+1} - 1)a) - 2f(2^n x + (2^n - 1)a)\| \\
& + \frac{1}{2^n}\|f(2^n x + (2^n - 1)a) - 2^n f(x)\| \\
\leq & \frac{1}{2^{n+1}}\varphi(2^n x + (2^n - 1)a, 2^n x + (2^n - 1)a) \\
& + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{2^{k+1}}\varphi(2^k x + (2^k - 1)a, 2^k x + (2^k - 1)a) \\
= & \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{1}{2^{k+1}}\varphi(2^k x + (2^k - 1)a, 2^k x + (2^k - 1)a)
\end{aligned}$$

which ends the proof of (21). For any positive integer  $p$  and  $x \in G$  we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \frac{1}{2^{p+1}}f(2^{p+1}x + (2^{p+1} - 1)a) - \frac{1}{2^p}f(2^p x + (2^p - 1)a) \right| \\
= & \frac{1}{2^p}\|f(2^p x + (2^p - 1)a) - \frac{1}{2}f(2^{p+1}x + (2^{p+1} - 1)a)\| \\
\leq & \frac{1}{2^{p+1}}\varphi(2^p x + (2^p - 1)a, 2^p x + (2^p - 1)a).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence for  $n > m$  we get by using the triangular inequality

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left\| \frac{1}{2^n}f(2^n x + (2^n - 1)a) - \frac{1}{2^m}f(2^m x + (2^m - 1)a) \right\| \\
\leq & \sum_{k=m}^n \frac{1}{2^{k+1}}\varphi(2^k x + (2^k - 1)a, 2^k x + (2^k - 1)a).
\end{aligned}$$

Taking the limit as  $m \rightarrow +\infty$  and considering (15) it follows that the sequence  $T_n(x) = \frac{1}{2^n}\{f(2^n x + (2^n - 1)a)\}$  is a Cauchy sequence for each  $x \in G$ . As  $E$  is complete we can define  $T : G \rightarrow E$  by

$$T(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^n}f(2^n x + (2^n - 1)a). \quad (22)$$

For all  $x, y \in E$  and for all positive integer  $n$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|T_n(x + y + a) - T_n(x) - T_n(y)\| \\ = & \left\| \frac{1}{2^n} f(2^n x + 2^n y + (2^{n+1} - 1)a) - \frac{1}{2^n} f(2^n x + (2^n - 1)a) - \frac{1}{2^n} f(2^n y + (2^n - 1)a) \right\| \\ \leq & \frac{1}{2^n} \varphi(2^n x + (2^n - 1)a, 2^n y + (2^n - 1)a). \end{aligned}$$

From (15) and (21) it follows that  $T(x + y + a) = T(x) + T(y)$  for all  $x, y \in G$ . Taking the limit in (20) we obtain the inequality (18).

It remains to show that  $T$  is uniquely defined. Let  $T' : G \rightarrow E$  be an other solution of (17) and (18). Then for all  $x \in G$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|T(x) - T'(x)\| &= \left\| \frac{1}{2^n} T(2^n x + (2^n - 1)a) - \frac{1}{2^n} T'(2^n x + (2^n - 1)a) \right\| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2^n} \|T(2^n x + (2^n - 1)a) - f(2^n x + (2^n - 1)a)\| \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2^n} \|T'(2^n x + (2^n - 1)a) - f(2^n x + (2^n - 1)a)\| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^k} \varphi(2^{k+n} x + (2^{k+n} - 1)a, 2^{k+n} x + (2^{k+n} - 1)a) \\ &= 2 \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{k+1}} \varphi(2^k x + (2^k - 1)a, 2^k x + (2^k - 1)a). \end{aligned}$$

Taking the limit as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$  we get  $T(x) = T'(x)$  for all  $x \in G$ . This ends the proof.

## References

- [1] Z. Gajda, On stability of additive mappings, *Internat. J. Math. Sci.* **14** (1991), 431-434.
- [2] P. Găvruta, A generalization of the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of approximately additive mappings, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **184** (1994), 431-436.

- [3] P. Găvruta, An answer to a question of John M. Rassias concerning the stability of Cauchy equation, in: *Advances in Equations and Inequalities*, in: *Hadronic Math. Ser.* (1999), 67-71.
- [4] D. H. Hyers, On the stability of the linear functional equation, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **27** (1941), 222-224.
- [5] D. H. Hyers, The stability of homomorphisms and related topics, in *Global Analysis-Analysis on Manifolds*, in: *Teubner-Texte Math.* **75** (1983), pp 140-153.
- [6] D. H. Hyers and Th. M. Rassias, Approximate homomorphisms, *Aequationes Math.* **44** (1992), 125-153.
- [7] D. H. Hyers, G. I. Isac and Th. M. Rassias, *Stability of Functional Equations in Several Variables*, Birkhauser, Basel. 1998.
- [8] Th. M. Rassias, On the stability of linear mappings in Banach spaces, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **72** (1978), 297-300.
- [9] S. M. Ulam, *A Collection of Mathematical Problems*, Interscience Publ. New York, 1961. *Problems in Modern Mathematics*, Wiley, New York 1964.