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#### Abstract

In [2], Frasin and Jahangiri introduced the class $\mathcal{B}(\mu, \alpha)$ of analytic and univalent functions to give some properties for this class. The aim of this paper is to obtain new additional results for functions belonging to this class.
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## 1. Introduction and definitions

Let $\mathcal{A}$ denote the class of functions of the form :

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]which are analytic in the open unit disk $\mathcal{U}=\{z:|z|<1\}$. Further, by $\mathcal{S}$ we shall denote the class of all functions in $\mathcal{A}$ which are univalent in $\mathcal{U}$. A function $f(z)$ belonging to $\mathcal{S}$ is said to be starlike of order $\alpha$ if it satisfies
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\right\}>\alpha \quad(z \in \mathcal{U}) \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

for some $\alpha(0 \leq \alpha<1)$. We denote by $\mathcal{S}^{*}(\alpha)$ the subclass of $\mathcal{A}$ consisting of functions which are starlike of order $\alpha$ in $\mathcal{U}$. Also, a function $f(z)$ belonging to $\mathcal{S}$ is said to be convex of order $\alpha$ if it satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left(1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right)>\alpha \quad(z \in \mathcal{U}) \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $\alpha(0 \leq \alpha<1)$. We denote by $\mathcal{K}(\alpha)$ the subclass of $\mathcal{A}$ consisting of functions which are convex of order $\alpha$ in $\mathcal{U}$. A function $f \in \mathcal{S}$ is said to be in the class $\mathcal{P}(\alpha)$ iff

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re} f^{\prime}(z)>\alpha \quad(z \in \mathcal{U}) \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\arg \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\right|<\frac{\alpha \pi}{2}, \quad(z \in \mathcal{U}) \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $0<\alpha \leq 1$, then $f(z)$ said to be strongly starlike function of order $\alpha$ in $\mathcal{U}$, and this class denoted by $\overline{\mathcal{S}}^{*}(\alpha)$. Further, if $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\arg \left(1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right)\right|<\frac{\alpha \pi}{2}, \quad(z \in \mathcal{U}) \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $0<\alpha \leq 1$, then we say that $f(z)$ is strongly convex function of order $\alpha$ in $\mathcal{U}$, and we denote by $\overline{\mathcal{C}}(\alpha)$ the class of all such functions.

In [2], Frasin and Jahangiri introduced the class $\mathcal{B}(\mu, \alpha)$ defined as follows.
Definition. A function $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}$ is said to be a member of the class $\mathcal{B}(\mu, \alpha)$ if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|f^{\prime}(z)\left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^{\mu}-1\right|<1-\alpha \quad(z \in \mathcal{U}) \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $\alpha(0 \leq \alpha<1)$ and $\mu \geq 0$.
Note that the condition (1.6) is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left\{f^{\prime}(z)\left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^{\mu}\right\}>\alpha \quad(0 \leq \alpha<1, \mu \geq 0, z \in \mathcal{U}) \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Clearly , $\mathcal{B}(1, \alpha) \equiv \mathcal{S}^{*}(\alpha), \mathcal{B}(0, \alpha) \equiv \mathcal{P}(\alpha)$ and $\mathcal{B}(2, \alpha) \equiv \mathcal{B}(\alpha)$ the class which has been introduced and studied by Frasin and Darus [3]. (See also [1]).

In this paper, we shall give some additional properties for functions belonging to the class $\mathcal{B}(\mu, \alpha)$.

## 2. Main results

In order to prove our main results, we recall the following lemmas:
Lemma 1 ([4]). Let $w(z)$ be analytic in $\mathcal{U}$ and such that $w(0)=0$. Then if $|w(z)|$ attains its maximum value on circle $|z|=r<1$ at a point $z_{0} \in \mathcal{U}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
z_{0} w^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)=k w\left(z_{0}\right) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k \geq 1$ is a real number.
Lemma 2 ([7]). Let $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfy the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{z^{2} f^{\prime}(z)}{f^{2}(z)}-1\right|<1 \quad(z \in \mathcal{U}) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $f(z)$ is univalent in $\mathcal{U}$.
Lemma 3 ([5]). Let $\phi(u, v)$ be a complex valued function,

$$
\phi: D \rightarrow C, \quad(D \subset C \times C ; C \text { is the complex plane })
$$

and let $u=u_{1}+i u_{2}$ and $v=v_{1}+i v_{2}$. Suppose that the function $\phi(u, v)$ satisfies
(i) $\phi(u, v)$ is continuous in $D$;
(ii) $(1,0) \in D$ and $\operatorname{Re}(\phi(1,0))>0$;
(iii) $\operatorname{Re}\left(\phi\left(i u_{2}, v_{1}\right)\right) \leq 0$ for all $\left(i u_{2}, v_{1}\right) \in D$ and such that $v_{1} \leq-\left(1+u_{2}^{2}\right) / 2$.

Let $p(z)=1+p_{1} z+p_{2} z^{2}+\cdots$ be regular in $\mathcal{U}$ such that $\left(p(z), z p^{\prime}(z)\right) \in D$ for all $z \in \mathcal{U}$. If $\operatorname{Re}\left(\phi\left(p(z), z p^{\prime}(z)\right)\right)>0(z \in \mathcal{U})$, then $\operatorname{Re}(p(z))>0(z \in \mathcal{U})$.

Lemma $\mathbf{4}([6])$. Let a function $p(z)$ be analytic in $\mathcal{U}, p(0)=1$, and $p(z) \neq 0$ $(z \in \mathcal{U})$. If there exists a point $z_{0} \in \mathcal{U}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\arg p(z)|<\frac{\pi}{2} \alpha \quad \text { for } \quad|z|<\left|z_{0}\right| \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\left|\arg p\left(z_{0}\right)\right|=\frac{\pi}{2} \alpha
$$

with $0<\alpha \leq 1$, then we have

$$
\frac{z_{0} p^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)}{p\left(z_{0}\right)}=i k \alpha
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
k \geq \frac{1}{2}\left(a+\frac{1}{a}\right) \geq 1 \quad \text { when } \arg p\left(z_{0}\right)=\frac{\pi}{2} \alpha \\
k \leq-\frac{1}{2}\left(a+\frac{1}{a}\right) \leq-1 \quad \text { when } \arg p\left(z_{0}\right)=-\frac{\pi}{2} \alpha
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
p\left(z_{0}\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}= \pm a i, \quad(a>0) .
$$

Making use of Lemma 1, we first prove

Theorem 1. Suppose that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}+\mu\left(1-\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\right)\right|<\frac{1-\alpha}{2 \alpha} \quad(z \in \mathcal{U}) \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $1 / 2 \leq \alpha<1$ and $\mu \geq 0$ then $f(z) \in \mathcal{B}(\mu, \alpha)$.
Proof. Let $w(z)$ be defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\prime}(z)\left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^{\mu}=\frac{1+(1-2 \alpha) w(z)}{1-w(z)}, \quad(w(z) \neq 1) \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $w(z)$ is regular in $\mathcal{U}$ and $w(0)=0$. Differentiating both sides of (2.5) logarithmically, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}+\mu\left(1-\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\right)=\frac{(1-2 \alpha) z w^{\prime}(z)}{1+(1-2 \alpha) w(z)}+\frac{z w^{\prime}(z)}{1-w(z)} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

or, equivalently

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}+\mu\left(1-\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\right)=\frac{2(1-\alpha) w(z)}{1-w(z)}\left\{\frac{z w^{\prime}(z)}{[1+(1-2 \alpha) w(z)] w(z)}\right\} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose that there exists a point $z_{0} \in \mathcal{U}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max _{|z|<\left|z_{0}\right|}|w(z)|=\left|w\left(z_{0}\right)\right|=1 \quad\left(w\left(z_{0}\right) \neq 1\right) \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, using Lemma 1 , we have $z_{0} w^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)=k w\left(z_{0}\right)(k \geq 1)$. Therefore, letting $w\left(z_{0}\right)=e^{i \theta}(\theta \neq 0)$, we obtain that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\frac{z_{0} f^{\prime \prime}\left(z_{0}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)}+\mu\left(1-\frac{z_{0} f^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)}{f\left(z_{0}\right)}\right)\right| \\
= & 2(1-\alpha) k\left\{\frac{1}{2(1-\cos \theta)\left(1+2(1-2 \alpha) \cos \theta+(1-2 \alpha)^{2}\right.}\right\}^{1 / 2} \geq \frac{1-\alpha}{2 \alpha}
\end{aligned}
$$

which contradicts the condition (2.4), we have $|w(z)|<1$ for all $z \in \mathcal{U}$. Consequently, we conclude that $f(z) \in \mathcal{B}(\mu, \alpha)$.

Letting $\mu=0$ in Theorem 1, we have

Corollary 1. Suppose that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right|<\frac{1-\alpha}{2 \alpha} \quad(z \in \mathcal{U}) \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $1 / 2 \leq \alpha<1$, then $f(z) \in \mathcal{P}(\alpha)$.
Letting $\mu=1$ in Theorem 1, we have

Corollary 2. Suppose that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}-\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\right|<\frac{1-\alpha}{2 \alpha} \quad(z \in \mathcal{U}) \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $1 / 2 \leq \alpha<1$, then $f(z) \in \mathcal{S}^{*}(\alpha)$.
Next, we prove
Theorem 2. Let $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}$, If

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left\{\beta \frac{z^{2} f^{\prime}(z)}{f^{2}(z)}-(1-\beta) z^{2}\left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^{\prime \prime}\right\}>\gamma \quad(z \in \mathcal{U}) \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $\gamma(\gamma<\beta), 0 \leq \beta \leq 1$, then $f(z) \in \mathcal{B}(2, \delta)$, where $\delta=\frac{2 \gamma+1-\beta}{\beta+1}<1$.
Proof. Define the function $p(z)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{z^{2} f^{\prime}(z)}{f^{2}(z)}=\delta+(1-\delta) p(z) . \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, $p(z)=1+p_{1} z+p_{2} z^{2}+\cdots$ is regular in $\mathcal{U}$. Since $\left(\frac{z^{2} f^{\prime}(z)}{f^{2}(z)}\right)^{\prime}=-z\left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^{\prime \prime}$, it follows from (2.11)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta \frac{z^{2} f^{\prime}(z)}{f^{2}(z)}-(1-\beta) z^{2}\left(\frac{z}{f}\right)^{\prime \prime}=\beta \delta+\beta(1-\delta) p(z)+(1-\beta)(1-\delta) z p^{\prime}(z) \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

and hence

$$
\begin{align*}
& \operatorname{Re}\left\{\beta \frac{z^{2} f^{\prime}(z)}{f^{2}(z)}-(1-\beta) z^{2}\left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^{\prime \prime}-\gamma\right\} \\
& \quad=\operatorname{Re}\left\{\beta \delta-\gamma+\beta(1-\delta) p(z)+(1-\beta)(1-\delta) z p^{\prime}(z)\right\}>0 . \tag{2.14}
\end{align*}
$$

If we define the function $\Phi(u, v)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi(u, v)=\beta \delta-\gamma+\beta(1-\delta) u+(1-\beta)(1-\delta) v \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $u=u_{1}+i u_{2}$ and $v=v_{1}+i v_{2}$, then
(i) $\phi(u, v)$ is continuous in $D=C^{2}$;
(ii) $(1,0) \in D$ and $\operatorname{Re}(\phi(1,0))=\beta-\gamma>0$;
(iii) For all $\left(i u_{2}, v_{1}\right) \in D$ and such that $v_{1} \leq-\left(1+u_{2}^{2}\right) / 2$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Re}\left\{\phi\left(i u_{2}, v_{1}\right)\right\} & =\beta \delta-\gamma+(1-\beta)(1-\delta) v_{1} \\
& \leq \beta \delta-\gamma-(1-\beta)(1-\delta) \frac{\left(1+u_{2}^{2}\right)}{2} \\
& =-\frac{1}{2}(1-\beta)(1-\delta) u_{2}^{2} \\
& \leq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, $\phi(u, v)$ satisfies the conditions of Lemma 3. This show that $\operatorname{Re}(p(z))>$ $0(z \in \mathcal{U})$, so that

$$
\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{z^{2} f^{\prime}(z)}{f^{2}(z)}\right\}>\delta=\frac{2 \gamma+1-\beta}{\beta+1} \quad(z \in \mathcal{U})
$$

Letting $\beta=0$ in Theorem 2, we have
Corollary 3. Let $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}$, If

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left\{-z^{2}\left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^{\prime \prime}\right\}>\gamma \quad(z \in \mathcal{U}) \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $f(z) \in \mathcal{B}(2 \gamma+1)$.

Letting $\gamma=-1 / 2$ in Corollary 3 and using Lemma 2, we have
Corollary 4. Let $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}$, If

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left\{z^{2}\left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^{\prime \prime}\right\}<\frac{1}{2} \quad(z \in \mathcal{U}) \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $f(z)$ is univalent in $\mathcal{U}$.
From Corollary 4, we easily have

Corollary 5. Let $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}$, If

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|z^{2}\left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^{\prime \prime}\right|<\frac{1}{2} \quad(z \in \mathcal{U}) \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $f(z)$ is univalent in $\mathcal{U}$.
Finally, we prove the following theorem
Theorem 3. Let $p(z)$ be analytic in $\mathcal{U}$ with $p(0)=1$ and $p(z) \neq 0$ in $\mathcal{U}$ and suppose that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\arg \left(p(z)+\frac{z^{\mu+1} f^{\prime}(z)}{f^{\mu}(z)} p^{\prime}(z)\right)\right|<\frac{\alpha \pi}{2} \quad(z \in \mathcal{U}) \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $0<\alpha<1, \mu \geq 0$ and $f(z) \in \mathcal{B}(\mu, \alpha)$, then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\arg (p(z))|<\frac{\alpha \pi}{2} \quad(z \in \mathcal{U}) \tag{2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Suppose that there exists point $z_{0} \in \mathcal{U}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\arg p(z)|<\frac{\pi}{2} \alpha \quad \text { for }|z|<\left|z_{0}\right|, \quad\left|\arg p\left(z_{0}\right)\right|=\frac{\pi}{2} \alpha . \tag{2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then applying Lemma 4, we can write that

$$
\frac{z_{0} p^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)}{p\left(z_{0}\right)}=i k \alpha
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
k \geq \frac{1}{2}\left(a+\frac{1}{a}\right) \geq 1 \\
k \leq-\frac{1}{2}\left(a+\frac{1}{a}\right) \leq-1 \quad \text { when } \arg p\left(z_{0}\right)=\frac{\pi}{2} \alpha \\
k \arg p\left(z_{0}\right)=-\frac{\pi}{2} \alpha
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
p\left(z_{0}\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}= \pm a i, \quad(a>0)
$$

Then it follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
\arg \left(p\left(z_{0}\right)+\frac{z_{o}^{\mu+1} f^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)}{f^{\mu}\left(z_{0}\right)} p^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)\right) & =\arg \left(p\left(z_{0}\right)\left(1+\frac{z_{o}^{\mu} f^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)}{f^{\mu}\left(z_{0}\right)} \frac{z_{0} p^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)}{p\left(z_{0}\right)}\right)\right) \\
& =\arg \left(p\left(z_{0}\right)\left(1+i \frac{z_{o}^{\mu} f^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)}{f^{\mu}\left(z_{0}\right)} \alpha k\right)\right) \cdot(2.2 \tag{2.22}
\end{align*}
$$

When $\arg p\left(z_{0}\right)=\pi \alpha / 2$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\arg \left(p\left(z_{0}\right)+\frac{z_{o}^{\mu+1} f^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)}{f^{\mu}\left(z_{0}\right)} p^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)\right)=\arg \left(p\left(z_{0}\right)\right)+\arg \left(1+i \frac{z_{o}^{\mu} f^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)}{f^{\mu}\left(z_{0}\right)} \alpha k\right)>\frac{\pi}{2} \alpha \tag{2.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

because $\operatorname{Re} \frac{z_{o}^{\mu} f^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)}{f^{\mu}\left(z_{0}\right)} \alpha k>0$.
Similarly, if $\arg p\left(z_{0}\right)=-\pi \alpha / 2$, then we obtain that
$\arg \left(p\left(z_{0}\right)+\frac{z_{o}^{\mu+1} f^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)}{f^{\mu}\left(z_{0}\right)} p^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)\right)=\arg \left(p\left(z_{0}\right)\right)+\arg \left(1+i \frac{z_{o}^{\mu} f^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)}{f^{\mu}\left(z_{0}\right)} \alpha k\right)<-\frac{\pi}{2} \alpha$,
because $\operatorname{Re} \frac{z_{o}^{\mu} f^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)}{f^{\mu}\left(z_{0}\right)} \alpha k<0$. Thus we see that (2.22) and (2.23) contradicts the condition (2.18). Consequently, we conclude that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\arg (p(z))|<\frac{\alpha \pi}{2} \quad(z \in \mathcal{U}) \tag{2.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking $p(z)=z f^{\prime}(z) / f(z)$ in Theorem 3, we have
Corollary 6. If $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfying
$\left|\arg \left[\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}+f^{\prime}(z)\left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^{\mu+1}\left(\left(z f^{\prime}(z)\right)^{\prime}-\frac{z\left(f^{\prime}(z)\right)^{2}}{f(z)}\right)\right]\right|<\frac{\alpha \pi}{2} \quad(z \in \mathcal{U})$,
where $0<\alpha<1, \mu \geq 0$ and $f(z) \in \mathcal{B}(\mu, \alpha)$, then $f(z) \in \overline{\mathcal{S}}^{*}(\alpha)$.
Taking $p(z)=1+z f^{\prime \prime}(z) / f^{\prime}(z)$ in Theorem 3, we have
Corollary 7. If $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\arg \left[\frac{\left(z f^{\prime}(z)\right)^{\prime}}{f^{\prime}(z)}+\left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^{\mu+1}\left(\left(z f^{\prime \prime}(z)\right)^{\prime}-\frac{z\left(f^{\prime \prime}(z)\right)^{2}}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right)\right]\right|<\frac{\alpha \pi}{2} \quad(z \in \mathcal{U}) \tag{2.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $0<\alpha<1, \mu \geq 0$ and $f(z) \in \mathcal{B}(\mu, \alpha)$, then $f(z) \in \overline{\mathcal{C}}(\alpha)$.
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