

Neighborhood Properties of Certain Classes of Analytic Functions Using the Dziok-Srivastava Operator*

K. Suchithra[†], A. Gangadharan[‡]

*Department of Applied Mathematics Sri Venkateswara College
of Engineering Sriperumbudur 602105, India*

and

Shigeyoshi Owa[§]

*Department of Mathematics, Kinki University,
Higashi-Osaka, Osaka 577-8520, Japan*

Received November 13, 2006, Accepted November 28, 2006.

Abstract

The main object of this paper is to prove several inclusion relations associated with the (n, δ) -neighborhoods of various subclasses of starlike and convex functions of complex order, which are introduced here by means of the Dziok-Srivastava operator. Special cases of some of these inclusion relations are shown to yield known results.

Keywords and Phrases: *Analytic functions, Starlike functions, Convex functions, Dziok-Srivastava operator, (n, δ) -neighborhood, Inclusion relations, Identity function.*

*2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 30C45.

[†]E-mail: suchithrak@svce.ac.in

[‡]E-mail: ganga@svce.ac.in

[§]E-mail: owa@math.kindai.ac.jp

1. Introduction

Let $\mathcal{A}(n)$ denote the class of functions $f(z)$ of the form:

$$f(z) = z - \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} a_k z^k \quad (a_k \geq 0; k \in \mathbb{N} - \{1\}; n \in \mathbb{N} := \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}), \quad (1.1)$$

which are analytic in the open unit disk

$$\Delta = \{z : z \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and } |z| < 1\}.$$

Following [10,13], we define the (n, δ) -neighborhood of a function $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}(n)$ by

$$N_{n,\delta}(f) := \left\{ g \in \mathcal{A}(n) : g(z) = z - \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} b_k z^k \text{ and } \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} k|a_k - b_k| \leq \delta \right\} \quad (1.2)$$

In particular, for the identity function

$$e(z) = z,$$

we immediately have

$$N_{n,\delta}(e) := \left\{ g \in \mathcal{A}(n) : g(z) = z - \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} b_k z^k \text{ and } \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} k|b_k| \leq \delta \right\}. \quad (1.3)$$

A function $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}(n)$ is said to be starlike of complex order γ ($\gamma \in \mathbb{C} - \{0\}$), that is $f(z) \in \mathcal{S}_n^*(\gamma)$, if it satisfies the inequality:

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(1 + \frac{1}{\gamma} \left[\frac{z f'(z)}{f(z)} - 1 \right] \right) > 0 \quad (z \in \Delta; \gamma \in \mathbb{C} - \{0\}). \quad (1.4)$$

Furthermore, a function $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}(n)$ is said to be convex of complex order γ ($\gamma \in \mathbb{C} - \{0\}$), that is $f(z) \in \mathcal{C}_n(\gamma)$, if it satisfies the inequality:

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(1 + \frac{1}{\gamma} \frac{z f''(z)}{f'(z)} \right) > 0 \quad (z \in \Delta; \gamma \in \mathbb{C} - \{0\}). \quad (1.5)$$

The classes $\mathcal{S}_n^*(\gamma)$ and $\mathcal{C}_n(\gamma)$ stem essentially from the classes of starlike and convex functions of complex order, which were considered by Nasr and Aouf [12] and Wiatrowski [16], respectively (see also [5] and [6]).

Let $\mathcal{S}_n(\gamma, \mu, \beta)$ denote the subclass of $\mathcal{A}(n)$ consisting of functions $f(z)$ which satisfy the inequality:

$$\left| \frac{1}{\gamma} \left\{ \frac{zf'(z) + \mu z^2 f''(z)}{\mu z f'(z) + (1 - \mu)f(z)} - 1 \right\} \right| < \beta, \tag{1.6}$$

$$(z \in \Delta; \gamma \in \mathbb{C} - \{0\}; \quad 0 \leq \mu \leq 1; \quad 0 < \beta \leq 1).$$

Let $\mathcal{R}_n(\gamma, \mu, \beta)$ denote the subclass of $\mathcal{A}(n)$ consisting of functions $f(z)$ which satisfy the inequality:

$$\left| \frac{1}{\gamma} \{f'(z) + \mu z f''(z) - 1\} \right| < \beta, \tag{1.7}$$

$$(z \in \Delta; \gamma \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}; \quad 0 \leq \mu \leq 1; \quad 0 < \beta \leq 1).$$

Neighborhoods of the classes $\mathcal{S}_n(\gamma, \mu, \beta)$ and $\mathcal{R}_n(\gamma, \mu, \beta)$ were studied by Altıntaş, Özkan and Srivastava[4]. Let \mathcal{A} denote the class of functions of the form

$$f(z) = z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k \text{ which are analytic in the open unit disk } \Delta := \{z : |z| < 1\}.$$

Following the linear convolution operator introduced and investigated in a series of papers by Dziok and Srivastava (see, for details, [7], [8] and [9]), we define the Dziok-Srivastava operator for $f(z)$ belonging to \mathcal{A} as follows:

For $c_i \in \mathbb{C}(i = 1, \dots, l)$ and $d_j \in \mathbb{C} - \{0, -1, -2, \dots\} (j = 1, \dots, m)$, the generalised hypergeometric function is defined by

$${}_lF_m(c_1, \dots, c_l; d_1, \dots, d_m) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(c_1)_k \cdots (c_l)_k}{(d_1)_k \cdots (d_m)_k} \times \frac{z^k}{k!},$$

$$(l \leq m + 1; l, m \in \mathbb{N}_0 = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}),$$

where $(a)_n$ is the Pochhammer symbol defined by

$$(a)_n = \frac{\Gamma(a + n)}{\Gamma(a)} = a(a + 1) \cdots (a + n - 1)$$

for $n \in \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, \dots\}$ and 1 when $n = 0$.

Corresponding to the function

$$h(c_1, \dots, c_l; d_1, \dots, d_m; z) = z {}_lF_m(c_1, \dots, c_l; d_1, \dots, d_m),$$

the Dziok-Srivastava operator, $H_m^l(c_1, \dots, c_l; d_1, \dots, d_m)$ is defined by

$$\begin{aligned}
 H_m^l(c_1, \dots, c_l; d_1, \dots, d_m)f(z) &= h(c_1, \dots, c_l; d_1, \dots, d_m; z) * f(z) \\
 &= z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{(c_1)_{k-1} \cdots (c_l)_{k-1}}{(d_1)_{k-1} \cdots (d_m)_{k-1}} \cdot \frac{a_k z^k}{(k-1)!}, \tag{1.8}
 \end{aligned}$$

where “*” stands for convolution.

It is well known that

$$\begin{aligned}
 &c_1 H_m^l(c_1 + 1, \dots, c_l; d_1, \dots, d_m) f(z) \\
 &= z [H_m^l(c_1, \dots, c_l; d_1, \dots, d_m) f(z)]' \\
 &\quad + (c_1 - 1) H_m^l(c_1, \dots, c_l; d_1, \dots, d_m) f(z). \tag{1.9}
 \end{aligned}$$

To make the notation simple, we write,

$$H_m^l[c_1]f(z) = H_m^l(c_1, \dots, c_l; d_1, \dots, d_m; z)f(z). \tag{1.10}$$

We can define the Dziok-Srivatsava operator for $f(z)$ belonging to the class $\mathcal{A}(n)$ as follows:

$$H_m^l[c_1]f(z) = z - \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{(c_1)_{k-1} \cdots (c_l)_{k-1}}{(d_1)_{k-1} \cdots (d_m)_{k-1}} \cdot \frac{a_k z^k}{(k-1)!}. \tag{1.11}$$

Let $\mathcal{S}_n(\gamma, \mu, \beta, c_i, d_j)$ denote the subclass of $\mathcal{A}(n)$ consisting of functions $f(z)$ in $\mathcal{A}(n)$ which satisfy the inequality:

$$\left| \frac{1}{\gamma} \left\{ \frac{z (H_m^l[c_1]f(z))' + \mu z^2 (H_m^l[c_1]f(z))''}{\mu z (H_m^l[c_1]f(z))' + (1 - \mu) (H_m^l[c_1]f(z))} - 1 \right\} \right| < \beta \tag{1.12}$$

$(z \in \Delta; \gamma \in \mathbb{C} - \{0\}; l \leq m + 1; 0 < \beta \leq 1; \mu \geq 0; c_i \in \mathbb{C}, i = 1, 2, \dots, l; d_j \in \mathbb{C} - \{0, -1, -2, \dots\}, j = 1, 2, \dots, m)$.

Also let $\mathcal{R}_n(\gamma, \mu, \beta, c_i, d_j)$ denote the subclass of $\mathcal{A}(n)$ consisting of functions $f(z)$ in $\mathcal{A}(n)$ which satisfy the inequality:

$$\left| \frac{1}{\gamma} \left\{ (H_m^l[c_1]f(z))' + \mu z (H_m^l[c_1]f(z))'' - 1 \right\} \right| < \beta \tag{1.13}$$

$(z \in \Delta; \gamma \in \mathbb{C} - \{0\}; \mu \geq 0; 0 < \beta \leq 1; l \leq m + 1; c_i \in \mathbb{C}, i = 1, 2, \dots, l; d_j \in \mathbb{C} - \{0, -1, -2, \dots\}, j = 1, \dots, m).$

For $c_1 = \lambda + 1, c_2 = 1$ and $d_1 = 1$ with $l = 2$ and $m = 1$ the Dziok-Srivastava operator for $f(z)$ belong to $\mathcal{A}(n)$ reduces to the Ruscheweyh derivative operator $D^\lambda : \mathcal{A}(n) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(n)$ which is defined as

$$D^\lambda f(z) = \frac{z}{(1-z)^{\lambda+1}} * f(z) \quad (\lambda > -1; f(z) \in \mathcal{A}(n))$$

or equivalently, by

$$D^\lambda f(z) = z - \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \binom{\lambda+k-1}{k-1} a_k z^k \quad (\lambda > -1; f(z) \in \mathcal{A}(n)). \quad (1.14)$$

Here, and in what follows, we make use of the following standard notation:

$$\binom{p}{q} := \frac{p(p-1)\cdots(p-q+1)}{q!} \quad (p \in \mathbb{C}; q \in \mathbb{N}_0).$$

for a binomial coefficient. In particular, we have

$$D^n f(z) = \frac{z(z^{n-1}f(z))^{(n)}}{n!} \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}_0 := \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}).$$

The above defined classes $\mathcal{S}_n(\gamma, \mu, \beta, c_i, d_j)$ and $\mathcal{R}_n(\gamma, \mu, \beta, c_i, d_j)$ with the above convention for c_1, c_2 and d_1 reduces to the classes $\mathcal{S}_n(\gamma, \mu, \beta, \lambda)$ and $\mathcal{R}_n(\gamma, \mu, \beta, \lambda)$ which are defined as follows:

Let $\mathcal{S}_n(\gamma, \mu, \beta, \lambda)$ denote the subclass of $\mathcal{A}(n)$ consisting of functions $f(z)$ in $\mathcal{A}(n)$ which satisfy the inequality:

$$\left| \frac{1}{\gamma} \left\{ \frac{z(D^\lambda f(z))' + \mu z^2(D^\lambda f(z))''}{\mu z(D^\lambda f(z))' + (1-\mu)(D^\lambda f(z))} - 1 \right\} \right| < \beta \quad (1.15)$$

$$(z \in \Delta; \gamma \in \mathbb{C} - \{0\}; 0 < \beta \leq 1; \mu \geq 0, \lambda > -1).$$

Also, let $\mathcal{R}_n(\gamma, \mu, \beta, \lambda)$ denote the subclass of $\mathcal{A}(n)$ consisting of $f(z)$ in $\mathcal{A}(n)$ which satisfy the inequality:

$$\left| \frac{1}{\gamma} \left\{ (D^\lambda f(z))' + \mu z(D^\lambda f(z))'' - 1 \right\} \right| < \beta \quad (1.16)$$

$$(z \in \Delta; \gamma \in \mathbb{C} - \{0\}; \quad 0 < \beta \leq 1; \mu \geq 0, \lambda > -1).$$

Various subclasses of $\mathcal{S}_n(\gamma, \mu, \beta, 0)$ and $\mathcal{R}_n(\gamma, \mu, \beta, 0)$ with $\gamma = 1$ were studied in many earlier works (cf., e.g., [2,15]).

Clearly we have,

$$\mathcal{S}_n(\gamma, 0, 1, 0) \subset \mathcal{S}_n^*(\gamma) \text{ and } \mathcal{S}_n(\gamma, 0, 1, 1) \subset \mathcal{C}_n(\gamma) \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}, \gamma \in \mathbb{C} - \{0\}).$$

The main object of the present paper is to investigate the (n, δ) -neighborhoods of the subclasses

$$\mathcal{S}_n(\gamma, \mu, \beta, c_i, d_j), \mathcal{R}_n(\gamma, \mu, \beta, c_i, d_j) \text{ and } \mathcal{S}_n(\gamma, \mu, \beta, \lambda), \mathcal{R}_n(\gamma, \mu, \beta, \lambda).$$

of the class $\mathcal{A}(n)$ of normalised analytic functions in Δ with negative and missing coefficients.

Our definition of the function classes

$$\mathcal{S}_n(\gamma, \mu, \beta, c_i, d_j), \mathcal{R}_n(\gamma, \mu, \beta, c_i, d_j) \text{ and } \mathcal{S}_n(\gamma, \mu, \beta, \lambda), \mathcal{R}_n(\gamma, \mu, \beta, \lambda)$$

are motivated essentially by two earlier investigations [4] and [11], in each of which closely- related subclasses can be found. In particular in our function classes $\mathcal{S}_n(\gamma, \mu, \beta, c_i, d_j), \mathcal{R}_n(\gamma, \mu, \beta, c_i, d_j)$ and $\mathcal{S}_n(\gamma, \mu, \beta, \lambda), \mathcal{R}_n(\gamma, \mu, \beta, \lambda)$ involving the inequality (1.12),(1.13),(1.15) and (1.16), we have relaxed the parametric constraint $0 \leq \mu \leq 1$, which was imposed earlier by Altıntaş, Özkan and Srivastava[4, p.64 Equations (1.7) and (1.8)](see also Remark 3 below).

2. A Set of Inclusion Relations Involving $N_{n,\delta}(e)$

In our investigation of the inclusion relations involving $N_{n,\delta}(e)$, we need the following Lemmas.

Lemma 1. Let the function $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}(n)$ be defined by (1.1), then $f(z)$ is in the class $\mathcal{S}_n(\gamma, \mu, \beta, c_i, d_j)$ if and only if

$$\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{(c_1)_{k-1} \cdots (c_l)_{k-1}}{(d_1)_{k-1} \cdots (d_m)_{k-1}} [((k-1) + \beta|\gamma|)(1 + \mu(k-1))] \frac{a_k}{(k-1)!} \leq \beta|\gamma|. \tag{2.1}$$

Proof. Let $f(z) \in \mathcal{S}_n(\gamma, \mu, \beta, c_i, d_j)$. Then by appealing to condition (1.12), we readily get

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{z (H_m^l[c_1]f(z))' + \mu z^2 (H_m^l[c_1]f(z))''}{\mu z (H_m^l[c_1]f(z))' + (1 - \mu) (H_m^l[c_1]f(z))} - 1 \right\} > -\beta|\gamma|, \quad (z \in \Delta) \tag{2.2}$$

or equivalently,

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{- \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{(c_1)_{k-1} \cdots (c_l)_{k-1}}{(d_1)_{k-1} \cdots (d_m)_{k-1}} [(k-1)(1+\mu(k-1))] \frac{a_k z^k}{(k-1)!}}{z - \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{(c_1)_{k-1} \cdots (c_l)_{k-1}}{(d_1)_{k-1} \cdots (d_m)_{k-1}} [1+\mu(k-1)] \frac{a_k z^k}{(k-1)!}} \right\} > -\beta|\gamma|, (z \in \Delta) \tag{2.3}$$

where we have made use of definition (1.1). Now choose values of z on the real axis and let $z \rightarrow 1^-$ through real values. Then the inequality (2.3) immediately yields the required condition(2.1).

Conversely, by applying the hypothesis (2.1) and letting $|z| = 1$, we find that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{z (H_m^l[c_1]f(z))' + \mu z^2 (H_m^l[c_1]f(z))''}{\mu z (H_m^l[c_1]f(z))' + (1-\mu) (H_m^l[c_1]f(z))} - 1 \right| \\ = & \left| \frac{\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{(c_1)_{k-1} \cdots (c_l)_{k-1}}{(d_1)_{k-1} \cdots (d_m)_{k-1}} [(k-1)(1+\mu(k-1))] \frac{a_k z^k}{(k-1)!}}{z - \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{(c_1)_{k-1} \cdots (c_l)_{k-1}}{(d_1)_{k-1} \cdots (d_m)_{k-1}} [1+\mu(k-1)] \frac{a_k z^k}{(k-1)!}} \right| \\ \leq & \frac{\beta|\gamma| \left\{ 1 - \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{(c_1)_{k-1} \cdots (c_l)_{k-1}}{(d_1)_{k-1} \cdots (d_m)_{k-1}} [1+\mu(k-1)] \frac{a_k}{(k-1)!} \right\}}{1 - \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{(c_1)_{k-1} \cdots (c_l)_{k-1}}{(d_1)_{k-1} \cdots (d_m)_{k-1}} [1+\mu(k-1)] \frac{a_k}{(k-1)!}} \\ \leq & \beta|\gamma|. \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

Hence, by maximum modulus theorem, we have $f(z) \in \mathcal{S}_n(\gamma, \mu, \beta, c_i, d_j)$, which evidently completes the proof of Lemma 1.

Similarly, we can prove the following.

Lemma 2. Let the function $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}(n)$ be defined by (1.1). Then $f(z)$ is in

the class $\mathcal{R}_n(\gamma, \mu, \beta, c_i, d_j)$ if and only if

$$\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{(c_1)_{k-1} \cdots (c_l)_{k-1}}{(d_1)_{k-1} \cdots (d_m)_{k-1}} [k(1 + \mu(k - 1))] \frac{a_k}{(k - 1)!} \leq \beta|\gamma|. \tag{2.5}$$

Now, for $c_1 = \lambda + 1, c_2 = 1$ and $d_1 = 1$ with $l = 2$ and $m = 1$ in the above lemmas, we get the corresponding results for the subclasses $\mathcal{S}_n(\gamma, \mu, \beta, \lambda)$ and $\mathcal{R}_n(\gamma, \mu, \beta, \lambda)$.

Lemma 3. Let the function $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}(n)$ be defined by (1.1). Then $f(z)$ is in the class $\mathcal{S}_n(\gamma, \mu, \beta, \lambda)$ if and only if

$$\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \binom{\lambda + k - 1}{k - 1} [(k - 1) + \beta|\gamma|] (1 + \mu(k - 1)) a_k \leq \beta|\gamma|. \tag{2.6}$$

Lemma 4. Let the function $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}(n)$ be defined by (1.1). Then $f(z)$ is in the class $\mathcal{R}_n(\gamma, \mu, \beta, \lambda)$ if and only if

$$\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \binom{\lambda + k - 1}{k - 1} [k(1 + \mu(k - 1))] a_k \leq \beta|\gamma|. \tag{2.7}$$

Remark 1. A special case of Lemma 1 and Lemma 2 when $l = 2, m = 1, c_1 = c_2 = d_1 = 1$ was given by Altıntaş et. al[4, p.64, Lemma 1 and p.65, Lemma 2].

Remark 2. A special case of Lemma 3 when $\mu = 0$ was given recently by Murugusundaramoorthy and Srivastava [11]. Also a special case of Lemma 3 when $n = 1, \gamma = 1, \mu = 0$ and $\beta = 1 - \alpha$ ($0 \leq \alpha < 1$) was given earlier by Ahuja [1]. Furthermore, if, in Lemma 3 with $n = 1, \gamma = 1, \mu = 0, \beta = 1 - \alpha$ ($0 \leq \alpha < 1$), we set $\lambda = 0$ and $\lambda = 1$, we shall obtain the familiar results of Silverman [14].

Our first inclusion relations involving $N_{n,\delta}(e)$ are given by Theorems below.

Theorem 1. *If*

$$\delta := \frac{(n + 1)\beta|\gamma|}{\left[\frac{(c_1)_n \cdots (c_l)_n}{(d_1)_n \cdots (d_m)_n} \right] \left[\frac{(1 + \mu n)(n + \beta|\gamma|)}{n!} \right]}, \tag{2.8}$$

then

$$\mathcal{S}_n(\gamma, \mu, \beta, c_i, d_j) \subset N_{n,\delta}(e). \quad (2.9)$$

Proof. For a function $f(z) \in \mathcal{S}_n(\gamma, \mu, \beta, c_i, d_j)$, lemma 1 immediately yields

$$\left[\frac{(c_1)_n \cdots (c_l)_n}{(d_1)_n \cdots (d_m)_n} \right] \left[\frac{(1 + \mu n)(n + \beta|\gamma|)}{n!} \right] \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} a_k \leq \beta|\gamma|$$

so that

$$\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} a_k \leq \frac{\beta|\gamma|}{\left[\frac{(c_1)_n \cdots (c_l)_n}{(d_1)_n \cdots (d_m)_n} \right] \left[\frac{(1 + \mu n)(n + \beta|\gamma|)}{n!} \right]}. \quad (2.10)$$

On the other hand, we also find from (2.1) and (2.10) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[\frac{(c_1)_n \cdots (c_l)_n}{(d_1)_n \cdots (d_m)_n} \right] \left[\frac{1 + \mu n}{n!} \right] \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} k a_k \\ & \leq \beta|\gamma| + (1 - \beta|\gamma|) \left[\frac{(c_1)_n \cdots (c_l)_n}{(d_1)_n \cdots (d_m)_n} \right] \left[\frac{1 + \mu n}{n!} \right] \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} a_k \\ & \leq \beta|\gamma| + (1 - \beta|\gamma|) \left[\frac{(c_1)_n \cdots (c_l)_n}{(d_1)_n \cdots (d_m)_n} \right] \left[\frac{1 + \mu n}{n!} \right] \\ & \quad \left[\frac{\beta|\gamma|}{\left[\frac{(c_1)_n \cdots (c_l)_n}{(d_1)_n \cdots (d_m)_n} \right] \left[\frac{(1 + \mu n)(n + \beta|\gamma|)}{n!} \right]} \right] \\ & \leq \frac{(n + 1)\beta|\gamma|}{n + \beta|\gamma|} \quad (|\gamma| < 1), \end{aligned}$$

that is,

$$\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} k a_k \leq \frac{(n + 1)\beta|\gamma|}{\left[\frac{(c_1)_n \cdots (c_l)_n}{(d_1)_n \cdots (d_m)_n} \right] \left[\frac{(1 + \mu n)(n + \beta|\gamma|)}{n!} \right]} := \delta. \quad (2.11)$$

which, in view of definition (1.3), proves Theorem 1.

Similarly by applying Lemma 2 instead of Lemma 1, we now prove Theorem 2 below.

Theorem 2. *If*

$$\delta := \frac{\beta|\gamma|}{\left[\frac{(c_1)_n \cdots (c_l)_n}{(d_1)_n \cdots (d_m)_n} \right] \left[\frac{1 + \mu n}{n!} \right]}, \quad (2.12)$$

then

$$\mathcal{R}_n(\gamma, \mu, \beta, c_i, d_j) \subset N_{n,\delta}(e). \quad (2.13)$$

Proof. Suppose that a function $f(z) \in \mathcal{R}_n(\gamma, \mu, \beta, c_i, d_j)$ is of the form (1.1). Then we find from the assertion (2.5) of Lemma 2 that

$$\left[\frac{(c_1)_n \cdots (c_l)_n}{(d_1)_n \cdots (d_m)_n} \right] \left[\frac{(n+1)(1+\mu n)}{n!} \right] \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} a_k \leq \beta|\gamma|,$$

which yields the following inequality:

$$\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} a_k \leq \frac{\beta|\gamma|}{\left[\frac{(c_1)_n \cdots (c_l)_n}{(d_1)_n \cdots (d_m)_n} \right] \left[\frac{(n+1)(1+\mu n)}{n!} \right]}. \quad (2.14)$$

Making use of (2.5) in conjunction with (2.14), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[\frac{(c_1)_n \cdots (c_l)_n}{(d_1)_n \cdots (d_m)_n} \right] \frac{\mu(n+1)}{n!} \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} k a_k \\ & \leq \beta|\gamma| + \left[\frac{(c_1)_n \cdots (c_l)_n}{(d_1)_n \cdots (d_m)_n} \right] \left[\frac{(\mu-1)(n+1)}{n!} \right] \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} a_k \\ & \leq \beta|\gamma| + \left[\frac{(c_1)_n \cdots (c_l)_n}{(d_1)_n \cdots (d_m)_n} \right] \left[\frac{(\mu-1)(n+1)}{n!} \right] \\ & \quad \left[\frac{\beta|\gamma|}{\left[\frac{(c_1)_n \cdots (c_l)_n}{(d_1)_n \cdots (d_m)_n} \right] \left[\frac{(n+1)(1+\mu n)}{n!} \right]} \right] \end{aligned}$$

that is,

$$\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} ka_k \leq \frac{\beta|\gamma|}{\left[\frac{(c_1)_n \cdots (c_l)_n}{(d_1)_n \cdots (d_m)_n} \right] \left[\frac{1 + \mu n}{n!} \right]} := \delta \tag{2.15}$$

which in light of definition (1.3), completes the proof of Theorem 2.

Now for $c_1 = \lambda + 1$, $c_2 = 1$ and $d_1 = 1$ with $l = 2$ and $m = 1$ in the above theorems, we get the corresponding results for the subclasses $\mathcal{S}_n(\gamma, \mu, \beta, \lambda)$ and $\mathcal{R}_n(\gamma, \mu, \beta, \lambda)$.

Theorem 3. *If*

$$\delta := \frac{(n + 1)\beta|\gamma|}{\binom{\lambda+n}{n}(1 + \mu n)(n + \beta|\gamma|)}, \tag{2.16}$$

then

$$\mathcal{S}_n(\gamma, \mu, \beta, \lambda) \subset N_{n,\delta}(e). \tag{2.17}$$

Theorem 4. *If*

$$\delta := \frac{\beta|\gamma|}{\binom{\lambda+n}{n}(1 + \mu n)}, \tag{2.18}$$

then

$$\mathcal{R}_n(\gamma, \mu, \beta, \lambda) \subset N_{n,\delta}(e). \tag{2.19}$$

Remark 3. A special case of Theorem 1 and Theorem 2 when $l = 2, m = 1, c_1 = c_2 = d_1 = 1$ was given by Altıntaş et. al[4, p.65, Theorem 1 and p.66, Theorem 2]. Incidentally as we indicated in section 1 above, the condition of $\mu > 1$ is needed in the proof of one of these known results [4, p.66, Theorem 2]. This implies that the constraint $0 \leq \mu \leq 1$ in [4, p.64 Equations (1.7) and (1.8)] should be replaced by a less stringent constraint $\mu \geq 0$.

Remark 4. A special case of Theorem 3 when $\mu = 0$ was given recently by Murugusundaramoorthy and Srivastava [11]. Also a special case of Theorem 3 when $\lambda = 0, \mu = 0, \gamma = 1 - \alpha$ ($0 \leq \alpha < 1$) and $\beta = 1$ was proven by Altıntaş and Owa [3].

3. Neighborhoods for The Classes

$$\mathcal{S}_n^{(\alpha)}(\gamma, \mu, \beta, c_i, d_j) \text{ and } \mathcal{R}_n^{(\alpha)}(\gamma, \mu, \beta, c_i, d_j)$$

In this section, we determine the neighborhood for each of the classes $\mathcal{S}_n^{(\alpha)}(\gamma, \mu, \beta, c_i, d_j), \mathcal{R}_n^{(\alpha)}(\gamma, \mu, \beta, c_i, d_j)$ and $\mathcal{S}_n^{(\alpha)}(\gamma, \mu, \beta, \lambda), \mathcal{R}_n^{(\alpha)}(\gamma, \mu, \beta, \lambda)$ which we define as follows.

A function $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}(n)$ is said to be in the class $\mathcal{S}_n^{(\alpha)}(\gamma, \mu, \beta, c_i, d_j)$, if there exists a function $g(z) \in \mathcal{S}_n(\gamma, \mu, \beta, c_i, d_j)$ such that

$$\left| \frac{f(z)}{g(z)} - 1 \right| < 1 - \alpha \quad (z \in \Delta; \quad 0 \leq \alpha < 1). \tag{3.1}$$

Also, a function $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}(n)$ is said to be in the class $\mathcal{R}_n^{(\alpha)}(\gamma, \mu, \beta, c_i, d_j)$, if there exists a function $g(z) \in \mathcal{R}_n(\gamma, \mu, \beta, c_i, d_j)$ such that the inequality (3.1) holds true.

Analogously, we can define the classes $\mathcal{S}_n^{(\alpha)}(\gamma, \mu, \beta, \lambda)$ and $\mathcal{R}_n^{(\alpha)}(\gamma, \mu, \beta, \lambda)$.

Theorem 5. If $g(z) \in \mathcal{S}_n(\gamma, \mu, \beta, c_i, d_j)$ and

$$\alpha = 1 - \frac{\delta \left[\frac{(c_1)_n \cdots (c_l)_n}{(d_1)_n \cdots (d_m)_n} \right] \left[\frac{(1 + \mu n)(n + \beta|\gamma|)}{n!} \right]}{(n + 1) \left[\frac{(c_1)_n \cdots (c_l)_n}{(d_1)_n \cdots (d_m)_n} \left(\frac{(1 + \mu n)(n + \beta|\gamma|)}{n!} \right) - \beta|\gamma| \right]}, \tag{3.2}$$

then

$$N_{n,\delta}(g) \subset \mathcal{S}_n^{(\alpha)}(\gamma, \mu, \beta, c_i, d_j). \tag{3.3}$$

Proof. Suppose that $f(z) \in N_{n,\delta}(g)$. We then find from (1.2) that

$$\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} k|a_k - b_k| \leq \delta \tag{3.4}$$

which readily implies the coefficient inequality

$$\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} |a_k - b_k| \leq \frac{\delta}{n+1} \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}). \quad (3.5)$$

Next, since $g(z) \in \mathcal{S}_n(\gamma, \mu, \beta, c_i, d_j)$, we have from (2.14)

$$\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} b_k \leq \frac{\beta|\gamma|}{\left[\frac{(c_1)_n \cdots (c_l)_n}{(d_1)_n \cdots (d_m)_n} \left(\frac{(1 + \mu n)(n + \beta|\gamma|)}{n!} \right) \right]} \quad (3.6)$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{f(z)}{g(z)} - 1 \right| &< \frac{\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} |a_k - b_k|}{1 - \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} b_k} \\ &\leq \frac{\delta}{n+1} \left[\frac{\frac{(c_1)_n \cdots (c_l)_n}{(d_1)_n \cdots (d_m)_n} \left(\frac{(1 + \mu n)(n + \beta|\gamma|)}{n!} \right)}{\frac{(c_1)_n \cdots (c_l)_n}{(d_1)_n \cdots (d_m)_n} \left(\frac{(1 + \mu n)(n + \beta|\gamma|)}{n!} \right) - \beta|\gamma|} \right] \\ &= 1 - \alpha, \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

provided that α is given precisely by (3.2).

Thus, by definition, $f(z) \in \mathcal{S}_n^{(\alpha)}(\gamma, \mu, \beta, c_i, d_j)$ for α given by (3.2), which evidently completes the proof of Theorem 5.

The proof of Theorem 6 below is much similar to that of Theorem 5 and so the details are omitted.

Theorem 6. *If $g(z) \in \mathcal{R}_n(\gamma, \mu, \beta, c_i, d_j)$ and*

$$\alpha = 1 - \frac{\delta \left(\frac{(c_1)_n \cdots (c_l)_n}{(d_1)_n \cdots (d_m)_n} \right) \left(\frac{(1 + \mu n)}{n!} \right)}{\left(\frac{(c_1)_n \cdots (c_l)_n}{(d_1)_n \cdots (d_m)_n} \right) \left(\frac{(n+1)(1 + \mu n)}{n!} \right) - \beta|\gamma|}, \quad (3.8)$$

then

$$N_{n,\delta}(g) \subset \mathcal{R}_n^{(\alpha)}(\gamma, \mu, \beta, c_i, d_j). \quad (3.9)$$

For the values of $c_1 = \lambda + 1$, $c_2 = 1$ and $d_1 = 1$ with $l = 2$ and $m = 1$ in Theorem 5 and Theorem 6, we get the corresponding results for the subclasses $\mathcal{S}_n^{(\alpha)}(\gamma, \mu, \beta, \lambda)$ and $\mathcal{R}_n^{(\alpha)}(\gamma, \mu, \beta, \lambda)$.

Theorem 7. If $g(z) \in \mathcal{S}_n(\gamma, \mu, \beta, \lambda)$ and

$$\alpha = 1 - \frac{\delta \binom{\lambda+n}{n} (1 + \mu n) (n + \beta |\gamma|)}{(n+1) [\binom{\lambda+n}{n} (1 + \mu n) (n + \beta |\gamma|) - \beta |\gamma|]} \quad (3.10)$$

then

$$N_{n,\delta}(g) \subset \mathcal{S}_n^{(\alpha)}(\gamma, \mu, \beta, \lambda). \quad (3.11)$$

Theorem 8. If $g(z) \in \mathcal{R}_n(\gamma, \mu, \beta, \lambda)$ and

$$\alpha = 1 - \frac{\delta \binom{\lambda+n}{n} (1 + \mu n)}{\binom{\lambda+n}{n} (n+1) (1 + \mu n) - \beta |\gamma|}, \quad (3.12)$$

then

$$N_{n,\delta}(g) \subset \mathcal{R}_n^{(\alpha)}(\gamma, \mu, \beta, \lambda). \quad (3.13)$$

Remark 5. A special case of Theorem 5 and Theorem 6 when $l = 2$, $m = 1$, $c_1 = c_2 = d_1 = 1$ was given by Altıntaş et. al [4, p.67, Theorem 3 and p.67, Theorem 4].

Remark 6. A special case of Theorem 7 when $\mu = 0$ was given by Murugusundaramoorthy and Srivastava [11].

References

- [1] O. P. Ahuja, Hadamard products of analytic functions defined by Ruscheweyh derivatives, in *Current Topics in Analytic Function Theory* (H. M. Srivastava and S. Owa, Editors), pp. 13-28, World Scientific

Publishing Company, Singapore, New Jersey, London and Hong Kong, 1992.

- [2] O. Altıntaş, On a subclass of certain starlike functions with negative coefficients, *Math. Japon.* **36**(3) (1991), 489-495.
- [3] O. Altıntaş and S. Owa, Neighborhoods of certain analytic functions with negative coefficients, *Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci.*, **19**(4) (1996), 797-800.
- [4] O. Altıntaş, Ö. Özkan, and H. M. Srivastava, Neighborhoods of a class of analytic functions with negative coefficients, *Appl. Math. Lett.* **13**(3) (2000), 63-67.
- [5] O. Altıntaş, Ö. Özkan, and H. M. Srivastava, Majorization by starlike functions of complex order, *Complex Variables Theory Appl.* **46** (2001), 207-218.
- [6] O. Altıntaş and H. M. Srivastava, Some majorization problems associated with p-valently starlike and convex functions of complex order, *East Asian Math. J.* **17** (2001), 175-183.
- [7] J. Dziok and H. M. Srivastava, Classes of analytic functions associated with the generalized hypergeometric function, *Appl. Math. Comput.* **103** (1999), 1-13.
- [8] J. Dziok and H. M. Srivastava Some subclasses of analytic functions with fixed argument of coefficients associated with the generalized hypergeometric function, *Adv. Stud. Contemp. Math.* **5** (2002), 115-125.
- [9] J. Dziok and H. M. Srivastava, Certain subclasses of analytic functions associated with the generalized hypergeometric function, *Integral Transform. Spec. Funct.* **14** (2003), 7-18.
- [10] A. W. Goodman, Univalent functions and nonanalytic curves, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **8** (1957), 598-601.
- [11] G. Murugusundaramoorthy and H. M. Srivastava, Neighborhoods of certain classes of analytic functions of complex order, *J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math.* **5** (2) (2004), Article 24, 1-8.

- [12] M. A. Nasr and M. K. Aouf, Starlike function of complex order, *J. Natur. Sci. Math.* **25** (1985), 1-12.
- [13] S. Ruscheweyh, Neighborhoods of univalent functions, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **81**(4) (1981), 521-527.
- [14] H. Silverman, Neighborhoods of classes of analytic functions, *Far East J. Math. Sci.* **3**(1995), 165-169.
- [15] H. M. Srivastava, S. Owa, and S. K. Chatterjea, A note on certain classes of starlike functions, *Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Padova* **77** (1987), 115-124.
- [16] P. Wiatrowski, On the coefficients of some family of holomorphic functions, *Zeszyty Nauk. Uniw. Łódz Nauk. Mat.-Przyrod* (2) **39** (1970), 75-85.