

# The Orlicz Space of Entire Sequence of Fuzzy Numbers\*

N. Subramanian<sup>†</sup>

*Department of Mathematics, SASTRA  
University, Tanjore-613 402, India.*

and

Metin Basarir<sup>‡</sup>

*Department of Mathematics, Sakarya University,  
Sakarya-54187 (Turkey).*

Received August 9, 2006, Accepted March 6, 2007.

## Abstract

In this paper, we introduce and study Orlicz space of entire sequence of fuzzy numbers generated by non negative regular matrix  $A = (a_{nk})(n, k = 1, 2, \dots)$ .

**Keywords and Phrases :** *Fuzzy numbers, Orlicz space, Entire sequence, Analytic sequence, Paranorm.*

---

\* 2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 40A05, 40D25.

<sup>†</sup> E-mail: nsmaths@yahoo.com

<sup>‡</sup> E-mail: basarir@sakarya.edu.tr

## 1. Introduction

The concepts of fuzzy sets and fuzzy set operations were first introduced by Zadeh[13] and subsequently several authors have discussed various aspects of the theory and applications of fuzzy sets such as fuzzy topological spaces , similarity relations and fuzzy orderings, fuzzy measures of fuzzy events, fuzzy mathematical programming.

Orlicz [15] used the idea of Orlicz function to construct the space  $(L^M)$ . Lindenstrauss and Tzafriri [16] investigated Orlicz sequence spaces in more detail, and they proved that every Orlicz sequence space  $\ell_M$  contains a subspace isomorphic to  $\ell_p$  ( $1 \leq p < \infty$ ). , where  $w = \{\text{all complex sequences}\}$ .

In this paper, we introduce and examine the concepts of Orlicz space of entire sequence of fuzzy numbers generated by non negative regular matrix.

### Definitions and preliminaries

Let  $D$  be the set of all bounded intervals  $A = [\underline{A}, \bar{A}]$  on the real line  $R$  .

For  $A, B \in D$  , define  $A \leq B$  if and only if  $\underline{A} \leq \underline{B}$  and  $\bar{A} \leq \bar{B}$  ,  $d(A, B) = \max\{\underline{A} - \underline{B}, \bar{A} - \bar{B}\}$ .

Then it can be easily see that  $d$  defines a metric on  $D$  (cf[1]) and  $(D, d)$  is complete metric space.

A fuzzy number is fuzzy subset of the real line  $R$  which is bounded, convex and normal. Let  $L(R)$  denote the set of all fuzzy numbers which are upper semi continuous and have compact support, i.e. if  $X \in L(R)$  then for any  $\alpha \in [0,1]$ ,  $X^\alpha$  is compact where

$$X^\alpha = \begin{cases} t : X(t) \geq \alpha & \text{if } 0 < \alpha \leq 1, \\ t : X(t) > 0 & \text{if } \alpha = 0. \end{cases}$$

For each  $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ , the  $\alpha$  - level set  $X^\alpha$  is a nonempty compact subset of  $R$ . The linear structure of  $L(R)$  includes addition  $X + Y$  and scalar multiplication  $\lambda X$ , ( $\lambda$  a scalar) in terms of  $\alpha$  -level sets, by  $[X + Y]^\alpha = [X]^\alpha + [Y]^\alpha$  and  $[\lambda X]^\alpha = \lambda[X]^\alpha$  , for each  $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$ .

Define a map  $\bar{d} : L(R) \times L(R) \rightarrow R$  by  $\bar{d}(X, Y) = \sup_{0 \leq \alpha \leq 1} d(X^\alpha, Y^\alpha)$ .

For  $X, Y \in L(R)$  define  $X \leq Y$  if and only if  $X^\alpha \leq Y^\alpha$  for any  $\alpha \in [0,1]$ . It is known that  $(L(R), \bar{d})$  is a complete metric space (cf [7]).

A sequence  $X = (X_k)$  of fuzzy numbers is a function  $X$  from the set  $N$  of natural numbers into  $L(R)$ . The fuzzy number  $X_n$  denotes the value of the function at  $n \in N$  and is called the  $n^{th}$  term of the sequence.

We denote by  $w(F)$  the set of all sequences  $X = (X_k)$  of fuzzy numbers.

Recall that ([15],[21]) an Orlicz function is a function  $M : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  which is continuous, non-decreasing and convex with  $M(0) = 0, M(x) > 0$ , for  $x > 0$  and  $M(x) \rightarrow \infty$  as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ . If convexity of Orlicz function  $M$  is replaced by  $M(x+y) \leq M(x) + M(y)$ , then this function is called modulus function.

In this paper we define Orlicz space of entire sequence of fuzzy numbers by using regular matrices  $A = (a_{nk}), (n, k = 1, 2, 3, \dots)$ . By the regularity of  $A$  we mean that the matrix which transform convergent sequence into a convergent sequence leaving the limit (c.f. Maddox [28]).

## 2. Orlicz space of entire sequence

Let  $X = (X_k)$  be a sequence of fuzzy numbers, let  $A = (a_{nk}), (n, k = 1, 2, 3, \dots)$  be a non negative regular matrix and  $M$  be a Orlicz function. In this paper we define the following

$$\Gamma_M [F, A, p] = \left\{ X = (X_k) : \sum_k a_{nk} \left[ \bar{d} \left( M \left( \frac{|X_k|^{1/k}}{\rho}, 0 \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty, \text{ for some } \rho > 0 \right\}$$

$$\wedge_M [F, A, p] = \left\{ X = (X_k) : \sup_{(n)} \left( \sum_k a_{nk} \left[ \bar{d} \left( M \left( \frac{|X_k|^{1/k}}{\rho}, 0 \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \right) < \infty, \text{ for some } \rho > 0 \right\}$$

and call them respectively the spaces of strongly  $A$ -Orlicz space of entire sequences and strongly  $A$ -Orlicz space of analytic sequences of fuzzy numbers  $X = (X_k)$ . We can specialize these spaces as follows.

If  $A = I$ , the unit matrix, then we get another set of new sequence spaces for fuzzy number, for some arbitrarily fixed  $\rho > 0$ ,

$$\Gamma_M [F, p] = \left\{ X = (X_k) : \left[ \bar{d} \left( M \left( \frac{|X_k|^{1/k}}{\rho}, 0 \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty \right\}$$

$$\wedge_M [F, p] = \left\{ X = (X_k) : \sup_k \left[ \bar{d} \left( M \left( \frac{|X_k|^{1/k}}{\rho}, 0 \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} < \infty \right\};$$

which on further taking  $p_k = p$  for all  $k$ , are reduced to  $\Gamma_M [F, p]$  and  $\wedge_M [F, p]$  respectively.

$$\Gamma_M [F, p] = \left\{ X = (X_k) : \left[ \bar{d} \left( M \left( \frac{|X_k|^{1/k}}{\rho}, 0 \right) \right) \right]^p \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty \right\}$$

$$\wedge_M [F, p] = \left\{ X = (X_k) : \left[ \bar{d} \left( M \left( \frac{|X_k|^{1/k}}{\rho}, 0 \right) \right) \right]^p < \infty \right\};$$

and taking  $p_k = 1$  for all  $k$ , are reduced to  $\Gamma_M [F]$  and  $\wedge_M [F]$  respectively which are called The Orlicz space of entire sequences of fuzzy numbers and Orlicz space of analytic sequences of fuzzy numbers.

If  $A = (a_{nk})$  is a Cesaro matrix of order 1, ie

$$a_{nk} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{n}, & k \leq n \\ 0, & k > n \end{cases}$$

then we get

$$\Gamma_M (F, p) = \left\{ X = (X_k) : \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \left[ \bar{d} \left( M \left( \frac{|X_k|^{1/k}}{\rho}, 0 \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty \right\}$$

$$\wedge_M (F, p) = \left\{ X = (X_k) : \sup_{(n)} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \left[ \bar{d} \left( M \left( \frac{|X_k|^{1/k}}{\rho}, 0 \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} < \infty \right\}$$

and further on taking  $p_k = p$  for all  $k$ , these are reduced to  $\Gamma_M(F, p)$  and  $\wedge_M(F, p)$ ,

$$\Gamma_M(F, p) = \left\{ X = (X_k) : \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \left[ \bar{d} \left( M \left( \frac{|X_k|^{1/k}}{\rho}, 0 \right) \right) \right]^p \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \right\}$$

$$\wedge_M(F, p) = \left\{ X = (X_k) : \sup_{(n)} \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \left[ \bar{d} \left( M \left( \frac{|X_k|^{1/k}}{\rho}, 0 \right) \right) \right]^p \right) < \infty \right\}$$

A metric  $\bar{d}$  on  $L(R)$  is said to be a translation invariant if  $\bar{d}(X + Z, Y + Z) = \bar{d}(X, Y)$  for  $X, Y, Z \in L(R)$ .

**Proposition 2.1.** If  $\bar{d}$  is a translation invariant metric on  $L(R)$  then

- (i)  $\bar{d}(X + Y, 0) \leq \bar{d}(X, 0) + \bar{d}(Y, 0)$ .
- (ii)  $\bar{d}(\lambda X, 0) \leq |\lambda| \bar{d}(X, 0), |\lambda| > 1$ .

**Theorem 2.2.**  $\Gamma_M(F, p)$  is a complete metric space under the metric

$$d(X, Y) = \sup_{(n)} \left[ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \bar{d} \left( M \left( \frac{|X_k - Y_k|^{1/k}}{\rho}, 0 \right) \right) \right]^p, \text{ where}$$

$X = (X_k) \in \Gamma_M(F, p)$  and  $Y = (Y_k) \in \Gamma_M(F, p)$  are the sequence of *sequence of fuzzy numbers*.

**Proof.** Let  $\{X^{(n)}\}$  be a cauchy sequence in  $\Gamma_M(F, p)$ .

Then given any  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a positive integer  $N$  depending on  $\varepsilon$  such that  $d(X^{(n)}, X^{(m)}) < \varepsilon, \forall n \geq N$  and  $\forall m \geq N$ .

Hence

$$\sup_{(n)} \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \bar{d} \left( M \left( \frac{|X_k^{(n)} - X_k^{(m)}|^{1/k}}{\rho}, 0 \right) \right) \right)^p < \varepsilon \quad \forall n \geq N \text{ and } \forall m \geq N.$$

Consequently  $\{X_k^{(n)}\}$  is a cauchy sequence in the metric space  $L(R)$ .

But  $L(R)$  is complete . So ,  $X_k^{(n)} \rightarrow X_k$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

Hence there exists a positive integer  $n_0$  such that

$$\left[ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \bar{d} \left( M \left( \frac{|X_k^{(n)} - X_k^{(m)}|^{1/k}}{\rho}, 0 \right) \right) \right]^p < \varepsilon \quad \forall n \geq n_0.$$

In particular, we have

$$\left[ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \bar{d} \left( M \left( \frac{|X_k^{(n_0)} - X_k|^{1/k}}{\rho}, 0 \right) \right) \right]^p < \varepsilon .$$

Now

$$\left[ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \bar{d} \left( M \left( \frac{|X_k|^{1/k}}{\rho}, 0 \right) \right) \right]^p \leq \left[ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \bar{d} \left( M \left( \frac{|X_k - X_k^{(n_0)}|^{1/k}}{\rho}, 0 \right) \right) \right]^p + \left[ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \bar{d} \left( M \left( \frac{|X_k^{(n_0)}|^{1/k}}{\rho}, 0 \right) \right) \right]^p$$

$$\leq \varepsilon + 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Thus

$$\left[ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \bar{d} \left( M \left( \frac{|X_k|^{1/k}}{\rho}, 0 \right) \right) \right]^p < \varepsilon \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty .$$

That is  $(X_k) \in \Gamma_M(F, p)$ .

Therefore  $\Gamma_M(F, p)$  is a complete metric space.

This completes the proof.

**Theorem 2.3.** *If  $\bar{d}$  transition invariant metric and  $M$  is a modulus function, that  $\Gamma_M(F, p)$  is a linear set over the set of complex numbers.*

**Proof.**  $\bar{d}$  translation invariant implies that

$$(i) \bar{d}(X + Y, 0) \leq \bar{d}(X, 0) + \bar{d}(Y, 0)$$

$$(ii) \bar{d}(\lambda X, 0) \leq |\lambda| \bar{d}(X, 0), \lambda \text{ a scalar.}$$

Let  $X = (X_k), Y = (Y_k) \in \Gamma_M(F, p)$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$ .

In order to prove the result, we need to find some  $\rho_3$  such that

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{n} \left[ \bar{d} \left( M \left( \frac{|\alpha X_k + \beta Y_k|^{1/k}}{\rho_3}, 0 \right) \right) \right]^p \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty. \quad (2.1)$$

Since  $X = (X_k), Y = (Y_k) \in \Gamma_M(F, p)$ , there exists some positive  $\rho_1$  and  $\rho_2$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{n} \left[ \bar{d} \left( M \left( \frac{|X_k|^{1/k}}{\rho_1}, 0 \right) \right) \right]^p &\rightarrow 0 \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty \text{ and} \\ \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{n} \left[ \bar{d} \left( M \left( \frac{|Y_k|^{1/k}}{\rho_2}, 0 \right) \right) \right]^p &\rightarrow 0 \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

Since  $M$  is a non decreasing modulus function, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{n} \left[ \bar{d} \left( M \left( \frac{|\alpha X_k + \beta Y_k|^{1/k}}{\rho_3}, 0 \right) \right) \right]^p \leq \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{n} \left[ \bar{d} \left( M \left( \frac{|\alpha X_k|^{1/k}}{\rho_3} + \frac{|\beta Y_k|^{1/k}}{\rho_3}, 0 \right) \right) \right]^p \\
& \leq \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{n} \left[ \bar{d} \left( M \left( \frac{|\alpha|^{1/k} |X_k|^{1/k}}{\rho_3} + \frac{|\beta|^{1/k} |Y_k|^{1/k}}{\rho_3}, 0 \right) \right) \right]^p \\
& \leq \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{n} \left[ \bar{d} \left( M \left( \frac{|\alpha| |X_k|^{1/k}}{\rho_3} + \frac{|\beta| |Y_k|^{1/k}}{\rho_3}, 0 \right) \right) \right]^p
\end{aligned}$$

Take  $\rho_3$  such that

$$\frac{1}{\rho_3} = \min \left\{ \frac{1}{|\alpha|^p \rho_1}, \frac{1}{|\beta|^p \rho_2} \right\} \quad (2.3)$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{n} \left[ \bar{d} \left( M \left( \frac{|\alpha X_k + \beta Y_k|^{1/k}}{\rho_3}, 0 \right) \right) \right]^p & \leq \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{n} \left[ \bar{d} \left( M \left( \frac{|X_k|^{1/k}}{\rho_1} + \frac{|Y_k|^{1/k}}{\rho_2}, 0 \right) \right) \right]^p \\
& \leq \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{n} \left[ \bar{d} \left( M \left( \frac{|X_k|^{1/k}}{\rho_1}, 0 \right) \right) \right]^p + \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{n} \left[ \bar{d} \left( M \left( \frac{|Y_k|^{1/k}}{\rho_2}, 0 \right) \right) \right]^p \\
& \rightarrow 0 \text{ (by (2.2))}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Hence } \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{n} \left[ \bar{d} \left( M \left( \frac{|\alpha X_k + \beta Y_k|^{1/k}}{\rho_3}, 0 \right) \right) \right]^p \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty. \quad (2.4)$$

So  $(\alpha X + \beta Y) \in \Gamma_M(F, p)$ .

Therefore  $\Gamma_M(F, p)$  is linear.

This completes the proof.



### 3. Main Results

**Theorem 2.4.**

If  $X = (X_k)$  be a sequence of fuzzy numbers . Then  $\Gamma_M(F, A, p)$  complete with respect to the topology generated by the paranorm  $h$  defined by

$$h(X) = \sup_{(k)} \left[ \sum a_{nk} \left[ \bar{d} \left( M \left( \frac{|X_k|^{1/k}}{\rho}, 0 \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \right]^{1/\mu}$$

where  $\mu = \max \left\{ 1, \sup_{(k)} \left( \frac{p_k}{\mu} \right) \right\}$ , where  $\bar{d}$  translation invariant .

**Proof .** Clearly  $h(\theta) = 0, h(-X) = h(X)$ . It can also be seen easily that  $h(X + Y) \leq h(X) + h(Y)$  for  $X = (X_k), Y = (Y_k)$  in  $\Gamma_M(F, A, p)$ , since  $\bar{d}$  translation invariant.

Now for any scalar  $\lambda$ , we have  $|\lambda|^{p_k/\mu} < \max\{1, \sup|\lambda|\}$ , so that  $h(\lambda X) < \max\{1, \sup|\lambda|\}$ ,  $\lambda$  fixed implies  $\lambda X \rightarrow \theta$ . Now let  $\lambda \rightarrow \theta$ ,  $X$  fixed. for  $\sup|\lambda| < 1$  we have

$$\left[ \sum a_{nk} \left[ \bar{d} \left( M \left( \frac{|X_k|^{1/k}}{\rho}, 0 \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \right]^{1/\mu} < \varepsilon \quad \text{for } N > N(\varepsilon).$$

Also, for  $1 \leq n \leq N$ , since  $\left[ \sum a_{nk} \left[ \bar{d} \left( M \left( \frac{|X_k|^{1/k}}{\rho}, 0 \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \right]^{1/\mu} < \varepsilon$ , there exists

$m$  such that  $\left[ \sum_{k=m}^{\infty} a_{nk} \left[ \bar{d} \left( M \left( \frac{|\lambda X_k|^{1/k}}{\rho}, 0 \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \right]^{1/\mu} < \varepsilon$ .

Taking  $\lambda$  small enough we then have

$$\left[ \sum_{k=m}^{\infty} a_{nk} \left[ \bar{d} \left( M \left( \frac{|\lambda X_k|^{1/k}}{\rho}, 0 \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \right]^{1/\mu} < 2\varepsilon \quad \text{for all } k.$$

Hence  $h(\lambda X) \rightarrow 0$  as  $\lambda \rightarrow 0$ . Therefore  $h$  is a paranorm on  $\Gamma_M(F, A, p)$ .

To show the completeness, let  $(X^{(i)})$  be a Cauchy sequence in  $\Gamma_M(F, A, p)$ .

Then for a given  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is  $r \in N$  such that

$$\left[ \sum a_{nk} \left[ \bar{d} \left( M \left( \frac{|X^{(i)} - X^{(j)}|^{1/k}}{\rho}, 0 \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \right]^{1/\mu} < \varepsilon \text{ for all } i, j > r. \quad (2.5)$$

Since  $\bar{d}$  is a translation invariant, So (2.5) implies that

$$\left[ \sum a_{nk} \left[ \bar{d} \left( M \left( \frac{|X_k^{(i)} - X_k^{(j)}|^{1/k}}{\rho}, 0 \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \right]^{1/\mu} < \varepsilon \text{ for all } i, j > r \text{ and each } n. \quad (2.6)$$

Hence  $\left[ \bar{d} \left( M \left( \frac{|X_k^{(i)} - X_k^{(j)}|^{1/k}}{\rho}, 0 \right) \right) \right] < \varepsilon$  for all  $i, j > r$ .

Therefore  $(X^{(i)})$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $L(R)$ .

Since  $L(R)$  is complete,  $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} X_k^j = X_k$ , say.

Fixing  $r_0 \geq r$  and letting  $j \rightarrow \infty$ , we obtain (2.6) that

$$\left[ \sum a_{nk} \left[ \bar{d} \left( M \left( \frac{|X_k^{(i)} - X_k|^{1/k}}{\rho}, 0 \right) \right) \right] \right] < \varepsilon \text{ for all } r_0 \geq r, \quad (2.7)$$

since  $\bar{d}$  is a translation invariant. Hence

$$\left[ \sum a_{nk} \left[ \bar{d} \left( M \left( \frac{|X^{(i)} - X|^{1/k}}{\rho}, 0 \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \right]^{1/\mu} < \varepsilon$$

(i.e)  $X^{(i)} \rightarrow X$  in  $\Gamma(F, A, p)$ . It is easy to see that  $X \in \Gamma_M(F, A, p)$ .

Hence  $\Gamma_M(F, A, p)$  is complete.

This completes the proof.

The completeness of  $\wedge_M (F, A, p)$  can be similarly obtained.

**Theorem 2.5.** *Let  $A = (a_{nk}) (n, k = 1, 2, 3, \dots)$  be an infinite matrix with complex entries. Then  $A \in (\Gamma : \Gamma_M (F, A, p))$  if and only if given  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $M = M(\varepsilon) > 0$  such that  $|a_{nk}| < \varepsilon^n M^k (n, k = 1, 2, 3, \dots)$ , where  $X = (X_k)$  be a sequence of fuzzy numbers and  $\bar{d}$  translation invariant.*

**Proof.**

Let  $X = (X_k) \in \Gamma$  and let  $Y_n = \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} \bar{d} \left( M \left( \frac{|X_k|^{1/k}}{\rho}, 0 \right) \right)^p \right)$ ,  $(n = 1, 2, 3, \dots)$ . Then

$(Y_n) \in \Gamma$  if and only if given any  $\varepsilon > 0 \exists M = M(\varepsilon) > 0$  such that  $|a_{nk}| < \varepsilon^n M^k$  by using Theorem 4 of [26]. Thus  $A \in (\Gamma : \Gamma_M (F, A, p))$  if and only if the condition holds. This completes the proof.

**Theorem 2.6.** *Let  $A = (a_{nk})$  transforms  $\Gamma$  into  $\Gamma_M (F, A, p)$  then  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (a_{nk}) q^n = 0$  for all integers  $q > 0$  and each fixed  $k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ . where  $X = (X_k)$  be a sequence of fuzzy numbers and  $\bar{d}$  translation invariant.*

**Proof.** Let  $Y_n = \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} \bar{d} \left( M \left( \frac{|X_k|^{1/k}}{\rho}, 0 \right) \right)^p \right)$   $(n = 1, 2, 3, \dots)$ , for mally.

Let  $(X_k) \in \Gamma$  and  $(Y_n) \in \Gamma_M (F, A, p)$ . Take  $(X_k) = \delta^k = (0, 0, 0, \dots, 1, 0, 0, \dots)$ , 1 in the  $k^{th}$  place and zero's elsewhere. Then  $(X_k) \in \Gamma$ . Hence  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_{nk}| q^n < \infty$  for every positive  $q$ . In particular  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (a_{nk}) q^n = 0$  for all positive integers  $q$  and each fixed  $k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ .

This completes the proof.

**Theorem 2.7.** If  $A = (a_{nk})$  transform  $\Gamma_M(F, A, p)$  into  $\Gamma$ . Then  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (a_{nk})q^n = 0$   $\forall$  positive integers  $q$ , where  $X = (X_k)$  be a sequence of fuzzy numbers and  $\bar{d}$  translation invariant.

**Proof.** Let  $t_n = \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} \bar{d} \left( M \left( \frac{|X_k|^{1/k}}{\rho}, 0 \right) \right)^p \right)$  with  $(X_k) \in \Gamma_M, (t_n) \in \Gamma$ .

$$s_n = \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} \bar{d} \left( M \left( \frac{|0|^{1/k}}{\rho}, 0 \right) \right)^p \right) (s_n) \in \Gamma.$$

$$\text{Then } Y_n = (t_n - s_n) = \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} \bar{d} \left( M \left( \frac{|X_k|^{1/k}}{\rho}, 0 \right) \right)^p \right), \text{ and } \bar{d} \left( M \left( \frac{|X_k|^{1/k}}{\rho}, 0 \right) \right)^p \in \Gamma.$$

Hence  $(Y_n) \in \Gamma$ . There fore  $(a_{nk})q^n \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty \forall k$ , by [27].

This completes the proof .

**Theorem 2.8.** If  $A = (a_{nk})$  transform  $\Gamma_M(F, A, p)$  into  $\Gamma_M(F, A, p)$  then  $a_{nk}q^n \rightarrow 0$  where  $X = (X_k)$  be a sequence of fuzzy numbers and  $\bar{d}$  translation invariant.

**Proof.** From Theorem (2.6) and (2.7) we have  $a_{nk}q^n \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , for all positive integers  $q$  and  $\forall k$ .

This completes the proof.

## References

- [1] P. Diamond, P. Kloeden, Metric spaces of fuzzy sets, *Fuzzy Sets Syst.* **35**(1990) 241-249.

- [2] M. Basarir , M. Mursaleen , Some sequence spaces of fuzzy numbers generated by infinite matrices , *J.Fuzzy Math.* **11(3)**(2003) 757-764.
- [3] T. Bilgin,  $\Delta$ -statistical and strong  $\Delta$ -Cesaro convergence of sequences of fuzzy numbers, *Math. Commun.* **8**(2003) 95-100.
- [4] Jin-xuan Fang, Huan Huang, On the level convergence of a sequence of fuzzy numbers, *Fuzzy Sets Syst.* **147**(2004)417-435.
- [5] L. Leindler, Ü ber die Vallee-Pousinsche Summierbarkeit Allgemeiner Orthogonalreihen, *Acta Math.Acad.SciHungar.* **16**(1965) 375-387.
- [6] J. S. Kwon, On statistical and p-Cesaro convergence of fuzzy numbers, *Korean J. Compu. Appl. Math.* **7(1)**(2000) 195-203.
- [7] M. Matloka, Sequences of fuzzy numbers, *Busefal.* **28**(1986) 28-37.
- [8] M. Mursaleen, M. Basarir, On some new sequence spaces of fuzzy numbers, *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.* **34(9)**(2003)1351-1357.
- [9] S. Nanda, On sequences of fuzzy numbers, *Fuzzy Sets Syst.* **33**(1989) 123-126.
- [10] Niven, H. S. Zuckerman, *An Introduction to the Theory of Numbers*, fourth ed., John Wiley and Sons, New York, 1980.
- [11] E. Savas, On strongly  $\lambda$  -summable sequences of fuzzy numbers, *Inform. Sci.***125**(2000)181-186.
- [12] Congxin Wu, Guixiang Wang, Convergence of sequences of fuzzy numbers and fixed point theorems for increasing fuzzy mappings and application. *Fuzzy Sets Syst.* **130**(2002) 383-390.
- [13] L. A. Zadeh, Fuzzy sets, *Inform. Control* **8**(1965) 338-353.
- [14] R. Çolak, and M. Et, E. Malkowsky, *Some topics of sequence spaces*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Firat University Press, Elazig, Turkey, 2004
- [15] W. Orlicz, Über Raume  $(L^M)$ , *Bull. Int. Acad. Polon. Sci.* **A**(1936), 93-107.
- [16] J. Lindenstrauss and L. Tzafriri, On Orlicz sequence spaces, *Israel J. Math.* **10**(1971), 379-390.

- [17] S. D. Parashar and B. Choudhary, Sequence spaces defined by Orlicz functions, *Indian J. Pure. Appl. Math.* **25(4)**(1994), 419-428.
- [18] M. Mursaleen, M. A. Khan and Qamaruddin, Difference sequence spaces defined by Orlicz functions, *Demonstratio Math.* **Vol. XXXII**(1999), 145-150.
- [19] B. C. Tripathy, M. Et and Y. Altin, Generalized difference sequence spaces defined by Orlicz function in a locally convex space, *J. Analysis and Applications.* **1(3)**(2003), 175-192.
- [20] K. Chandrasekhara Rao and N. Subramanian, The Orlicz space of entire sequences. *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.* **68**(2004), 3755-3764.
- [21] M. A. Krasnoselskii and Y. B. Rutickii, *Convex Functions and Orlicz Spaces*, Gorningen, Netherlands, 1961.
- [22] A. Wilansky, *Summability through Functional Analysis*, North-Holland Mathematics Studies, **Vol.85**, North-Holland Publishing, Amsterdam, 1984
- [23] P. K. Kamthan and M. Gupta, *Sequence spaces and series*. Lecture Notes in Pure and Applied Mathematics, **65**. Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, 1981
- [24] C. Goffman and G. Pedrick, *First Course in Functional Analysis*, Prentice Hall India, New Delhi, 1974.
- [25] K. Chandrasekhara Rao and N. Subramanian, A subset of the space of the entire sequences, *Commun. Fac. Sci Univ. Ank. Series A1* **V.50**(2001), pp.55-63.
- [26] K. Chandrasekhara Rao and T. G. Srinivasalu, Matrix Operators On analytic and entire sequences, *Bull. Malaysian Math. Soc.*(Second Series) **14** (1991);p.41.54
- [27] G. Fricke and R. E. Powell (1970), A theorem on entire methods of summation, *Compositio Mathematica* **22**; p.253-259.
- [28] I. J. Maddox, *Elements of Functional Analysis*, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1970.