On Quasi-Conformally Flat Almost Pseudo Ricci Symmetric Manifolds *

A. A. Shaikh[†], S. K. Hui

Department of Mathematics, University of Burdwan, Burdwan – 713104, West Bengal, India.

and

C. S. Bagewadi

Department of Mathematics, Kuvempu University, Jnana Sahyadri, Shankaraghatta – 577451, Karnataka, India.

Received November 11, 2008, Accepted August 10, 2009.

Abstract

The object of the present paper is to study quasi-conformally flat almost pseudo Ricci symmetric manifolds.

Keywords and Phrases: Pseudo Ricci symmetric manifold, Almost pseudo Ricci symmetric manifold, Scalar curvature, Conformally flat, Quasi-conformally flat, Special conformally flat, Concircular vector field, Warped product.

^{*2000} Mathematics Subject Classification. 53B30, 53B50, 53C15, 53C25.

[†]Corresponding author. E-mail: aask2003@yahoo.co.in

1. Introduction

As an extended class of pseudo Ricci symmetric manifolds, very recently M. C. Chaki and T. Kawaguchi [4] introduced the notion of almost pseudo Ricci symmetric manifolds. A Riemannian manifold (M^n, g) is called an almost pseudo Ricci symmetric manifold if its Ricci tensor S of type (0, 2) is not identically zero and satisfies the condition

$$(\nabla_X S)(Y,Z) = [A(X) + B(X)]S(Y,Z) + A(Y)S(X,Z) + A(Z)S(Y,X), \quad (1.1)$$

where ∇ denotes the operator of covariant differentiation with respect to the metric tensor g and A, B are nowhere vanishing 1-forms such that $g(X, \rho) = A(X)$ and $g(X, \mu) = B(X)$ for all X and ρ , μ are called the basic vector fields of the manifold. The 1-forms A and B are called associated 1-forms and an n-dimensional manifold of this kind is denoted by $A(PRS)_n$.

If, in particular, B = A then (1.1) reduces to

$$(\nabla_X S)(Y,Z) = 2A(X)S(Y,Z) + A(Y)S(X,Z) + A(Z)S(Y,X),$$

which represents a pseudo Ricci symmetric manifold [3]. In [4] Chaki and Kawaguchi also studied conformally flat $A(PRS)_n$. In 1968 Yano and Sawaki [10] defined and studied a tensor field W of type (1, 3) which includes both the conformal curvature tensor C and the concircular curvature tensor \tilde{C} as special cases and is called the quasi-conformal curvature tensor. The present paper deals with a study of quasi-conformally flat $A(PRS)_n$.

The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 is concerned with preliminaries. Section 3 is devoted to the study of quasi-conformally flat $A(PRS)_n$ (since the conformal curvature tensor vanishes identically for n = 3, we assume the condition n > 3 throughout the paper) and proved that such a manifold is of quasi-constant curvature. It is shown that in a quasi-conformally flat $A(PRS)_n$, the vector field λ defined by $g(X, \lambda) = T(X)$ is a unit proper concircular vector field and also it is proved that such a non-Einstein manifold is a subprojective manifold in the sense of Kagan [1]. Again it is proved that a non-Einstein quasi-conformally flat $A(PRS)_n$ can be expressed as the warped product $I \times_{e^p} \overset{*}{M}$, where $(\overset{*}{M}, \overset{*}{g})$ is an (n-1) dimensional Riemannian manifold. The notion of special conformally flat manifold which generalizes the notion of subprojective manifold was introduced by Chen and Yano [6]. In [6] the authors also introduced the notion of K-special conformally flat manifold which generalizes the notion of special conformally flat manifold as well as subprojective manifold. In this section it is shown that a non-Einstein quasiconformally flat $A(PRS)_n$ with non-constant and negative scalar curvature is a K-special conformally flat manifold and also it is proved that such a simply connected manifold with non-constant and negative scalar curvature can be isometrically immersed in an Euclidean manifold E^{n+1} as a hypersurface.

2. Preliminaries

In this section we will obtain some formulas for an $A(PRS)_n$ which will be required in the sequel.

Let Q be the symmetric endomorphism of the tangent bundle of the manifold corresponding to the Ricci tensor S, i.e., S(X,Y) = g(QX,Y) for all vector fields X, Y.

Let $\{e_i : i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ be an orthonormal basis of the tangent space at any point of the manifold. Then setting $Y = Z = e_i$ in (1.1) and then taking summation over $i, 1 \leq i \leq n$, we obtain

$$dr(X) = r[A(X) + B(X)] + 2A(QX),$$
(2.1)

where r is the scalar curvature of the manifold. Again from (1.1) we get

$$(\nabla_X S)(Y,Z) - (\nabla_Y S)(X,Z) = B(X)S(Y,Z) - B(Y)S(X,Z).$$
(2.2)

Setting $Y = Z = e_i$ in (2.2) and then taking summation over $i, 1 \le i \le n$, we obtain

$$dr(X) = 2rB(X) - 2B(QX).$$
 (2.3)

If the scalar curvature r is constant then

$$dr(X) = 0 \quad \text{for all } X. \tag{2.4}$$

By virtue of (2.4), (2.3) yields

$$B(QX) = rB(X), \tag{2.5}$$

i.e.,

$$S(X,\mu) = rg(X,\mu). \tag{2.6}$$

This leads to the following:

Proposition 2.1. In an $A(PRS)_n$ of constant scalar curvature, r is an eigenvalue of the Ricci tensor S corresponding to the eigenvector μ .

The quasi-conformal curvature tensor W of type (1, 3) is defined by [10]

$$W(X,Y)Z = -(n-2)bC(X,Y)Z + [a + (n-2)b]\tilde{C}(X,Y)Z, \qquad (2.7)$$

where a, b are arbitrary constants not simultaneously zero and C, \tilde{C} are respectively the conformal and concircular curvature tensor. Using the expressions of C and \tilde{C} in (2.7) we get

$$W(X,Y)Z = aR(X,Y)Z + b[S(Y,Z)X - S(X,Z)Y + g(Y,Z)QX$$
(2.8)
$$-g(X,Z)QY] - \frac{r}{n} \left(\frac{a}{n-1} + 2b\right) [g(Y,Z)X - g(X,Z)Y].$$

Differentiating (2.8) covariantly and contracting we obtain

$$(divW)(X,Y)Z = a(divR)(X,Y)Z + b[(\nabla_X S)(Y,Z) - (\nabla_Y S)(X,Z)]$$

$$-\frac{2a - (n-1)(n-4)b}{2n(n-1)}[dr(X)g(Y,Z) - dr(Y)g(X,Z)],$$
(2.9)

where 'div' denotes the divergence.

Again it is known that in a Riemannian manifold, we have

$$(divR)(X,Y)Z = (\nabla_X S)(Y,Z) - (\nabla_Y S)(X,Z).$$

Consequently by virtue of the above relation, (2.9) takes the form

$$(divW)(X,Y)Z = (a+b)[(\nabla_X S)(Y,Z) - (\nabla_Y S)(X,Z)] - \frac{2a - (n-1)(n-4)b}{2n(n-1)}[dr(X)g(Y,Z) - dr(Y)g(X,Z)].$$
(2.10)

206

On Quasi-Conformally Flat Almost Pseudo Ricci Symmetric Manifolds 207

3. quasi-conformally flat $A(PRS)_n$

Let us consider a quasi-conformally flat $A(PRS)_n$. Then we have

$$(divW)(X,Y)Z = 0$$

and hence (2.10) yields

$$= \frac{(a+b)[(\nabla_X S)(Y,Z) - (\nabla_Y S)(X,Z)]}{2a - (n-1)(n-4)b} [dr(X)g(Y,Z) - dr(Y)g(X,Z)].$$
(3.1)

By virtue of (2.2) and (2.3), it follows from (3.1) that

$$B(X)S(Y,Z) - B(Y)S(X,Z) = \frac{2a - (n-1)(n-4)b}{n(n-1)(a+b)} [r\{B(X)g(Y,Z) \ (3.2) - B(Y)g(X,Z)\} - \{B(QX)g(Y,Z) - B(QY)g(X,Z)\}],$$

provided that $a + b \neq 0$. Putting $Z = \mu$ in (3.2) we obtain

$$B(X)B(QY) - B(Y)B(QX) = 0,$$
(3.3)

provided $a + b \neq 0$ and $(n + 1)a + 2(n - 1)b \neq 0$. Let $B(QX) = g(QX, \mu) = P(X) = g(X, \xi)$ for all X. Then from (3.3) we get

$$B(X)P(Y) = B(Y)P(X), \qquad (3.4)$$

which implies that the vector field μ and ξ are co-directional. This leads to the following:

Theorem 3.1. In a quasi-conformally flat $A(PRS)_n$ with $a + b \neq 0$ and $(n+1)a+2(n-1)b \neq 0$, the vector field μ and ξ are co-directional. If a+b=0 and $(n+1)a+2(n-1)b \neq 0$, then using (2.3) in (3.1), it can be easily shown that the relation (3.4) holds. Hence we can state the following:

Corollary 3.1. In a quasi-conformally flat $A(PRS)_n$ with a + b = 0 and $(n+1)a + 2(n-1)b \neq 0$, the vector field μ and ξ are co-directional.

Again, if (n+1)a + 2(n-1)b = 0 and $a+b \neq 0$, then using (2.2) in (3.1), it follows that (3.4) holds. Hence we can state the following:

Corollary 3.2. In a quasi-conformally flat $A(PRS)_n$ with $a + b \neq 0$ and (n+1)a + 2(n-1)b = 0, the vector field μ and ξ are co-directional.

It may be noted that in a quasi-conformally flat $A(PRS)_n$, the relations a + b = 0 and (n + 1)a + 2(n - 1)b = 0 can not hold simultaneously as a and b are not simultaneously zero.

Again setting $Y = Z = e_i$ in (3.2) and then taking summation over i, $1 \le i \le n$, we obtain

$$B(QX) = rB(X), \text{ provided that } a + (n-2)b \neq 0, \qquad (3.5)$$

i.e.,

$$S(X,\mu) = rg(X,\mu).$$
 (3.6)

Hence we can state the following:

Theorem 3.2. In a quasi-conformally flat $A(PRS)_n$ with $a + b \neq 0$ and $a + (n-2)b \neq 0$, r is an eigenvalue of the Ricci tensor S corresponding to the eigenvector μ . If a + b = 0, then it follows from (3.2) that (3.6) holds provided that $2a - (n-1)(n-4)b \neq 0$. Hence we can state the following:

Corollary 3.3. In a quasi-conformally flat $A(PRS)_n$ with a + b = 0 and $2a - (n-1)(n-4)b \neq 0$, r is an eigenvalue of the Ricci tensor S corresponding to the eigenvector μ .

Also for 2a - (n-1)(n-4)b = 0 and $a+b \neq 0$, we can state the following:

Corollary 3.4. In a quasi-conformally flat $A(PRS)_n$ with $a + b \neq 0$ and 2a - (n-1)(n-4)b = 0, r is an eigenvalue of the Ricci tensor S corresponding to the eigenvector μ .

In view of (3.5), (3.2) yields

$$B(X)S(Y,Z) - B(Y)S(X,Z) = 0.$$
(3.7)

Setting $X = \mu$ in (3.7) we get

$$S(Y,Z) = \frac{1}{B(\mu)}B(Y)B(QZ).$$
 (3.8)

In view of (3.5), (3.8) yields

$$S(Y,Z) = rT(Y)T(Z),$$
(3.9)

where $T(X) = g(X, \lambda) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{B(\mu)}} B(X)$, λ being a unit vector field associated with the nowhere vanishing 1-form T.

From (3.9), it follows that if r = 0, then S(Y, Z) = 0, which is inadmissible by the definition of $A(PRS)_n$. Hence we can state the following:

Theorem 3.3. In a quasi-conformally flat $A(PRS)_n$ with $a + b \neq 0$ and $a + (n-2)b \neq 0$, the scalar curvature can not vanish and the Ricci tensor is of the form (3.9). As a generalization of the manifold of constant curvature, the notion of the manifold of quasi-constant curvature arose during the study of conformally flat hypersurfaces by Chen and Yano [5]. A Riemannian manifold $(M^n, g)(n > 3)$ is said to be the manifold of quasi-constant curvature [5] if it is conformally flat and its curvature tensor R of type (0, 4) is of the form:

$$R(X, Y, Z, U) = a_1[g(Y, Z)g(X, U) - g(X, Z)g(Y, U)]$$

$$+a_2[g(Y, Z)A(X)A(U) - g(X, Z)A(Y)A(U)$$

$$+g(X, U)A(Y)A(Z) - g(Y, U)A(X)A(Z)],$$
(3.10)

where A is a nowhere vanishing 1-form and a_1 , a_2 are scalars of which $a_2 \neq 0$. Now from (2.8) it follows that in a quasi-conformally flat $A(PRS)_n$, the curvature tensor R of type (0, 4) is of the following form:

$$R(X, Y, Z, U) = -\frac{b}{a} [S(Y, Z)g(X, U) - S(X, Z)g(Y, U) + S(X, U)g(Y, Z) - S(Y, U)g(X, Z)] + \frac{r}{na} \left(\frac{a}{n-1} + 2b\right) [g(Y, Z)g(X, U) - g(X, Z)g(Y, U)]$$
(3.11)

for $a \neq 0$.

Using (3.9) in (3.11) we obtain

$$R(X, Y, Z, U) = \tilde{a}[g(Y, Z)g(X, U) - g(X, Z)g(Y, U)]$$

$$+ \tilde{b}[g(X, U)T(Y)T(Z) - g(Y, U)T(X)T(Z)$$

$$+ g(Y, Z)T(X)T(U) - g(X, Z)T(Y)T(U)]$$
(3.12)

for $a \neq 0$, where $\tilde{a} = \frac{r}{na}(\frac{a}{n-1} + 2b)$ and $\tilde{b} = -\frac{br}{a}$ are non-zero scalars. By virtue of (3.10), it follows from (3.12) that a quasi-conformally flat $A(PRS)_n$ is a manifold of quasi-constant curvature. This leads to the following:

Theorem 3.4. Every quasi-conformally flat $A(PRS)_n$ with $a \neq 0$, $a + b \neq 0$ and $a + (n-2)b \neq 0$ is a manifold of quasi-constant curvature. Again from (3.9) we have

$$(\nabla_X S)(Y,Z) = dr(X)T(Y)T(Z) + r[(\nabla_X T)(Y)T(Z) + T(Y)(\nabla_X T)(Z)].$$
(3.13)

Using (3.13) in (3.1) we obtain

$$(a+b)[dr(X)T(Y)T(Z) - dr(Y)T(X)T(Z)]$$

$$+r[(\nabla_X T)(Y)T(Z) + T(Y)(\nabla_X T)(Z) - (\nabla_Y T)(X)T(Z) - T(X)(\nabla_Y T)(Z)]$$

$$= \frac{2a - (n-1)(n-4)b}{2n(n-1)}[dr(X)g(Y,Z) - dr(Y)g(X,Z)].$$
(3.14)

Setting $Y = Z = e_i$ in (3.14) and taking summation over $i, 1 \le i \le n$, we get

$$(a+b)dr(\lambda)T(X) + r\{(\nabla_{\lambda}T)(X) + T(X)\sum_{i=1}^{n} (\nabla_{e_{i}}T)(e_{i})\} \quad (3.15)$$

= $\frac{2(n-1)a + (n^{2} - 3n + 4)b}{2n}dr(X).$

Again putting $Y = Z = \lambda$ in (3.14) we obtain

$$r(a+b)(\nabla_{\lambda}T)(X) = \frac{2(n^2 - n - 1)a + (n - 1)(3n - 4)b}{2n(n - 1)}[dr(X) - dr(\lambda)T(X)].$$
(3.16)

Using (3.16) in (3.15) we get

$$r(a+b)T(X)\sum_{i=1}^{n} (\nabla_{e_i}T)(e_i) + (n-2)Edr(X) + Edr(\lambda)T(X) = 0, \quad (3.17)$$

where $E = \frac{2a - (n-1)(n-4)b}{2n(n-1)}$. Substituting $X = \lambda$ in (3.17) we get

$$r(a+b)\sum_{i=1}^{n} (\nabla_{e_i} T)(e_i) = -(n-1)Edr(\lambda).$$
(3.18)

From (3.17) and (3.18) it follows that

$$dr(X) = dr(\lambda)T(X)$$
, provided $2a - (n-1)(n-4)b \neq 0.$ (3.19)

Again plugging $Z = \lambda$ in (3.14) and then using (3.19) we obtain

$$r(a+b)\{(\nabla_X T)(Y) - (\nabla_Y T)(X)\} = 0,$$

which implies that

$$(\nabla_X T)(Y) - (\nabla_Y T)(X) = 0, \quad \text{since } r \neq 0 \text{ and } a + b \neq 0.$$
(3.20)

The relation (3.20) implies that the 1-form T is closed. In view of (3.19) it follows from (3.16) that

$$(\nabla_{\lambda}T)(X) = 0$$
, provided $a + b \neq 0$. (3.21)

which implies that $\nabla_{\lambda} \lambda = 0$ and hence we can state the following:

Theorem 3.5. In a quasi-conformally flat $A(PRS)_n$ with $a + b \neq 0$, $a + (n-2)b \neq 0$ and $2a - (n-1)(n-4)b \neq 0$, the integral curves of the generator λ are geodesics. Also setting $Y = \lambda$ in (3.14) we obtain by virtue of (3.19) and (3.21) that

$$(\nabla_X T)(Z) = \frac{E}{r(a+b)} dr(\lambda) [T(X)T(Z) - g(X,Z)] \quad \text{for } a+b \neq 0.$$
(3.22)

Let us now consider a non-zero scalar function $f = \frac{E}{r(a+b)} dr(\lambda)$, where the scalar curvature r is non-constant. Then we have

$$\nabla_X f = -\frac{E}{r^2(a+b)} dr(\lambda) dr(X) + \frac{E}{r(a+b)} d^2 r(\lambda, X).$$
(3.23)

From (3.19) it follows that

$$d^{2}r(X,Y) = d^{2}r(\lambda,Y)T(X) + dr(\lambda)(\nabla_{Y}T)(X).$$
(3.24)

Again in a Riemannian manifold the second covariant differential of any function $h \in C^{\infty}(M)$ is defined by

$$d^{2}h(X,Y) = X(Yh) - (\nabla_{X}Y)h$$
 for $X, Y \in \chi(M)$,

which implies that

$$d^{2}h(X,Y) = d^{2}h(Y,X)$$
 for all $X,Y \in \chi(M)$

and hence (3.24) implies that

$$d^{2}r(\lambda, Y)T(X) = d^{2}r(\lambda, X)T(Y).$$
(3.25)

Replacing Y by λ in (3.25) we have

$$d^{2}r(\lambda, X) = d^{2}r(\lambda, \lambda)T(X) = -\psi T(X), \qquad (3.26)$$

where $\psi = -d^2 r(\lambda, \lambda)$ is a scalar function. Using (3.19) and (3.26) in (3.23) we obtain

$$\nabla_X f = \sigma T(X), \tag{3.27}$$

where

$$\sigma = -\frac{E}{r^2(a+b)}[r\psi + \{dr(\lambda)\}^2] \quad \text{is a non-zero scalar}.$$

We now consider an 1-form ω given by

$$\omega(X) = \frac{E}{r(a+b)} dr(\lambda)T(X) = fT(X).$$

Then by virtue of (3.20) and (3.27) we have

$$d\omega(X,Y) = 0.$$

Hence the 1-form ω is closed. Therefore (3.22) can be rewritten as

$$(\nabla_X T)(Z) = -fg(X, Z) + \omega(X)T(Z), \qquad (3.28)$$

which implies that the vector field λ corresponding to the 1-form T defined by $g(X, \lambda) = T(X)$ is a proper concircular vector field ([8],[9]). Hence we can state the following: **Theorem 3.6.** In a quasi-conformally flat $A(PRS)_n$ of non-constant scalar curvature with $a + b \neq 0$, $a + (n-2)b \neq 0$ and $2a - (n-1)(n-4)b \neq 0$, the vector field λ defined by $g(X, \lambda) = T(X)$ is a unit proper concircular vector field. If, in particular, a + b = 0 then from (3.1) we get

$$dr(X)g(Y,Z) - dr(Y)g(X,Z) = 0,$$

which yields

$$dr(X) = 0 \quad \text{for all } X, \tag{3.29}$$

provided $2a - (n-1)(n-4)b \neq 0$. This means that the scalar curvature of the quasi-conformally flat $A(PRS)_n$ is constant.

Putting $Y = Z = e_i$ in (3.13) and taking summation over $i, 1 \le i \le n$, we obtain by virtue of (3.29) that

$$(\nabla_{\lambda}T)(X) + T(X)\sum_{i=1}^{n} (\nabla_{e_i}T)(e_i) = 0,$$
 (3.30)

which implies for $X = \rho$ that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} (\nabla_{e_i} T)(e_i) = 0.$$

Using this relation in (3.30) we get

$$(\nabla_{\lambda}T)(X) = 0. \tag{3.31}$$

Setting $Y = \lambda$ in (3.13) and then using (3.30) and (3.31) we obtain

$$(\nabla_{\lambda}S)(X,Z) = 0 \text{ for all } X, Z,$$

which implies that the manifold under consideration is Ricci symmetric along the direction of the generator λ . This leads to the following:

Corollary 3.5. A quasi-conformally flat $A(PRS)_n$ with $2a-(n-1)(n-4)b \neq 0$ and a + b = 0 is of constant scalar curvature and Ricci symmetric along the direction of the generator λ . Again if 2a - (n-1)(n-4)b = 0 and $a + b \neq 0$, then (3.13) implies that

$$(\nabla_X S)(Y, Z) = (\nabla_Y S)(X, Z) \quad \text{for all } X, Y, Z, \tag{3.32}$$

which means that the Ricci tensor S is of Codazzi type [7] and hence

$$dr(X) = 0 \quad \text{for all } X. \tag{3.33}$$

Hence (3.14) takes the form

$$(\nabla_X T)(Y)T(Z) + T(Y)(\nabla_X T)(Z) - (\nabla_Y T)(X)T(Z) - T(X)(\nabla_Y T)(Z) = 0.$$
(3.34)
Putting $Z = \lambda$ in (3.34) we get the relation (3.20)

Putting $Z = \lambda$ in (3.34) we get the relation (3.20). Also for $Y = \lambda$, (3.20) implies that

$$(\nabla_{\lambda}T)(X) = 0 \quad \text{for all } X. \tag{3.35}$$

Using this relation we obtain from (3.34) (for $Y = \lambda$) that

$$(\nabla_X T)(Z) = 0$$
 for all X, Z .

This implies that

$$g(Z, \nabla_X \lambda) = 0$$
 for all X, Z .

Since g is non-degenerate, the last relation yields

$$(\nabla_X \lambda) = 0$$
 for all X ,

which means that λ is a parallel vector field. Thus we can state the following:

Corollary 3.6. In a quasi-conformally flat $A(PRS)_n$ with 2a - (n-1)(n-4)b = 0 and $a + b \neq 0$, the Ricci tensor is of Codazzi type and the vector field λ defined by $g(X, \lambda) = T(X)$ is a unit parallel vector field.

Remark: It may be noted that in a quasi-conformally flat $A(PRS)_n$, the cases 2a - (n-1)(n-4)b = 0 and a + b = 0 can not occur simultaneously. For, if they occur simultaneously then we have

$$a = -b$$
 and $a = \frac{(n-1)(n-4)}{2}b$.

This together implies that (since n > 3) a = 0 = b, which is inadmissible, by the definition of quasi-conformal curvature tensor.

In [2] Amur and Maralabhavi proved that a quasi-conformally flat Riemannian manifold is either conformally flat or Einstein. We now consider a quasi-conformally flat $A(PRS)_n$, which is non-Einstein. Then by [2] such an $A(PRS)_n$ is conformally flat. Again it is known that [1] if a conformally flat Riemannian manifold $(M^n, g)(n > 3)$ admits a proper concircular vector field then the manifold is a subprojective manifold in the sense of Kagan. Hence by virtue of Theorem 3.6, we can state the following:

Theorem 3.7. A non-Einstein quasi-conformally flat $A(PRS)_n$ of non-constant scalar curvature with $2a - (n-1)(n-4)b \neq 0$, $a + (n-2)b \neq 0$ and $a + b \neq 0$ is a subprojective manifold in the sense of Kagan.

In[9] K. Yano proved that in order that a Riemannian manifold admits a concircular vector field, it is necessary and sufficient that there exists a coordinate system with respect to which the fundamental quadratic differential form can be written as

$$ds^2 = dx'^2 + e^p g_{ij}^* dx^i dx^j,$$

where $g_{ij}^* = g_{ij}[x^k]$ are the functions of x^k only $(i, j, k = 2, 3, \dots, n)$ and p = p(x') is a non-constant function of x' only. Hence if a $A(PRS)_n$ is conformally flat, then it is a warped product $I \times_{e^p} M$, where (M, g) is an (n-1) dimensional Riemannian manifold. Hence we can state the following:

Theorem 3.8. A non-Einstein quasi-conformally flat $A(PRS)_n$ of non-constant scalar curvature with $a + b \neq 0$, $a + (n-2)b \neq 0$ and $2a - (n-1)(n-4)b \neq 0$ can be expressed as a warped product $I \times_{e^p} \overset{*}{M}$, where $(\overset{*}{M}, \overset{*}{g})$ is an (n-1) dimensional Riemannian manifold.

Let us consider a quasi-conformally flat $A(PRS)_n$. In [6] Chen and Yano introduced the notion of special conformally flat manifold which generalizes the notion of subprojective manifold. According to them a conformally flat Riemannian manifold is said to be a special conformally flat manifold if the tensor field H of type (0, 2) defined by

$$H(X,Y) = -\frac{1}{n-2}S(X,Y) + \frac{r}{2(n-1)(n-2)}g(X,Y)$$
(3.36)

is expressible in the form

$$H(X,Y) = -\frac{\alpha^2}{2}g(X,Y) + \beta(X\alpha)(Y\alpha), \qquad (3.37)$$

where α, β are two scalars such that α is positive. In view of (3.9), (3.36) can be written as

$$H(X,Y) = -\frac{r}{n-2}T(X)T(Y) + \frac{r}{2(n-1)(n-2)}g(X,Y).$$
 (3.38)

We now put

$$\alpha^2 = -\frac{r}{(n-1)(n-2)} > 0, \quad \text{provided } r < 0. \tag{3.39}$$

Then

$$2\alpha(X\alpha) = -\frac{dr(X)}{(n-1)(n-2)},$$

which implies by virtue of (3.19) that

$$2\alpha(X\alpha) = -\frac{dr(\lambda)T(X)}{(n-1)(n-2)}.$$

Hence

$$T(X)T(Y) = -\frac{4(n-1)(n-2)r}{\delta^2}(X\alpha)(Y\alpha),$$

where $\delta = dr(\lambda)$. Therefore, by virtue of (3.39), (3.38) can be expressed as

$$H(X,Y) = -\frac{\alpha^2}{2}g(X,Y) + \beta(X\alpha)(Y\alpha),$$

where $\beta = \frac{4(n-1)r^2}{\delta^2}$. Hence the manifold under consideration is a special conformally flat manifold. Since a non-Einstein quasi-conformally flat manifold is conformally flat [2], we can state the following:

216

Theorem 3.9. A non-Einstein quasi-conformally flat $A(PRS)_n$ with nonconstant negative scalar curvature, $a + b \neq 0$, $a + (n-2)b \neq 0$ and $2a - (n - 1)(n-4)b \neq 0$ is a special conformally flat manifold.

Also in [6] Chen and Yano proved that every simply-connected special conformally flat manifold can be isometrically immersed in an Euclidean manifold E^{n+1} as a hypersurface. Therefore by virtue of Theorem 3.9, we can state the following:

Theorem 3.10. Every simply-connected non-Einstein quasi-conformally flat $A(PRS)_n$ of non-constant negative scalar curvature with $a + b \neq 0$, $a + (n - 2)b \neq 0$ and $2a - (n - 1)(n - 4)b \neq 0$ can be isometrically immersed in an Euclidean manifold E^{n+1} as a hypersurface.

The notion of K-special conformally flat manifold which generalizes the notion of special conformally flat manifold as well as subprojective manifold was introduced by Chen and Yano [6]. According to them a conformally flat manifold is said to be K-special conformally flat manifold if the tensor field H of type (0, 2) defined in (3.23) is expressible in the form

$$H(X,Y) = -\frac{K+\alpha^2}{2}g(X,Y) + \beta\gamma\pi(X)\pi(Y),$$
 (3.40)

where $(X\alpha) = \beta \pi(X)$ on G, G is an open set of M^n defined by

$$G = \{ p \in M^n : \beta \neq 0 \}$$

and π is an 1-form on G, and α , β , γ are scalar functions and K is a constant.

We consider a non-Einstein quasi-conformally flat $A(PRS)_n$. Then such a manifold is conformally flat. Using (3.9) in (3.36) we obtain (3.38). Let us now put

$$K + \alpha^2 = -\frac{r}{(n-1)(n-2)} > 0$$
, provided $r < 0$,

where K is a constant. Then proceeding similarly as before it can be easily shown that

$$H(X,Y) = -\frac{K+\alpha^2}{2}g(X,Y) + \beta\gamma\pi(X)\pi(Y),$$

where $\beta = \frac{4(n-1)r^2}{\delta^2}$, $\gamma = \frac{16r^3(n-1)^2\{r+K(n-1)(n-2)\}}{\delta^4}$. Thus we can state the following:

Theorem 3.11. A non-Einstein quasi-conformally flat $A(PRS)_n$ with nonconstant negative scalar curvature, $a + b \neq 0$, $a + (n-2)b \neq 0$ and $2a - (n - 1)(n-4)b \neq 0$ is a K-special conformally flat manifold.

References

- T. Adati, On subprojective spaces III, *Tohoku Math. J.*, 3 (1951), 343– 358.
- [2] K. Amur and Y. B. Maralabhavi, On quasi-conformally flat spaces, *Tensor N. S.*, **31**(1977), 194–198.
- [3] M. C. Chaki, On pseudo Ricci symmetric manifolds, Bulg. J. Phys., 15(1988), 526–531.
- [4] M. C. Chaki and T. Kawaguchi, On almost pseudo Ricci symmetric manifolds, Tensor N. S. 68(2007), 10–14.
- [5] B. Y. Chen and K. Yano, Hypersurfaces of conformally flat spaces, *Tensor N. S.* 26(1972), 318–322.
- [6] B. Y. Chen and K. Yano, Special conformally flat spaces and canal hypersurfaces, *Tohoku Math. J.* 25(1973), 177–184.
- [7] D. Ferus, A remark on Codazzi tensors on constant curvature space, Lecture Notes Math., 838, Global Differential Geometry and Global Analysis, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1981.
- [8] K. Yano, Concircular geometry, I, Proc. Imp. Acad. Tokyo, 16 (1940), 195–200.
- [9] K. Yano, On the torseforming direction in Riemannian spaces, Proc. Imp. Acad. Tokyo, 20 (1944), 340–345.

On Quasi-Conformally Flat Almost Pseudo Ricci Symmetric Manifolds 219

[10] K. Yano and S. Sawaki, Riemannian manifolds admitting a conformal transformation group, J. Diff. Geom. 2(1968), 161–184.