

Some Properties of Certain Classes of Meromorphic Univalent Functions *

M. K. Aouf[†]

Faculty of Science, Department of Mathematics, Mansoura 35516, Egypt

and

B. A. Frasin[‡]

*Faculty of Science, Department of Mathematics, Al al-Bayt University,
P. O. Box: 130095 Mafraq, Jordan*

Received February 25, 2010, Accepted April 22, 2011.

Abstract

In this paper, we obtain distortion theorem and the Hadamard products of functions belonging the class $\Omega^+(p; \alpha, \beta, \gamma, A, B, \lambda)$ of meromorphic functions with positive and missing coefficients. Also some properties of neighborhoods of functions in the class $\Omega(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, A, B, \lambda)$ are investigated.

Keywords and Phrases: *Meromorphic, Neighborhoods, Hadamard product.*

*2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 30C45.

[†]E-mail: mkaouf127@yahoo.com

[‡]Corresponding author. E-mail: bafrafin@yahoo.com

1. Introduction

Let Σ denote the class of functions of the form :

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k z^k \quad (1.1)$$

which are analytic and univalent in the punctured disc $U^* = \{z : z \in C \text{ and } 0 < |z| < 1\} = U \setminus \{0\}$ and which have a simple pole at the origin with residue one there. Let Σ_p denote the class of functions $f(z)$ defined by (1.1) with $a_j = 0$ ($j = 1, 2, \dots, p-1; p \in N = \{1, 2, \dots\}$) that is , by

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{k=p}^{\infty} a_k z^k \quad (p \in N), \quad (1.2)$$

which are analytic and univalent in U^* . Setting

$$F(z) = (1 - \lambda)f(z) + \lambda z f'(z) \quad (f \in \Sigma_p ; 0 \leq \lambda < \frac{1}{2}), \quad (1.3)$$

so that , obviously ,

$$F(z) = \frac{1 - 2\lambda}{z} + \sum_{k=p}^{\infty} [1 + \lambda(k-1)] a_k z^k \quad (p \in N ; 0 \leq \lambda < \frac{1}{2}),$$

since $f(z) \in \Sigma_p$ is given by (1.2) .

For a function $f(z) \in \Sigma_p$, we say that $f(z)$ is a member of the class $\Omega(p; \alpha, \beta, \gamma, A, B, \lambda)$ if the function $F(z)$ defined by (1.3) satisfies the inequality :

$$\left| \frac{z^2 F'(z) + (1 - 2\lambda)}{[(B - A)\gamma - B]z^2 F'(z) + (1 - 2\lambda)[(B - A)\gamma\alpha - B]} \right| < \beta \quad (z \in U^*), \quad (1.4)$$

where (and throughout this paper) the parameters $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, A, B$ and λ are constrained as follows:

$$0 \leq \alpha < 1; 0 < \beta \leq 1; -1 \leq A < B \leq 1; 0 < B \leq 1; \\ \frac{B}{(B - A)} < \gamma \leq \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \frac{B}{(B - A)\alpha} & (\alpha \neq 0) \\ 1 & (\alpha = 0) \end{array} \right\}.$$

We note that $\Omega(1; \alpha, \beta, \gamma, A, B, \lambda) = \Omega(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, A, B, \lambda)$.

Furthermore, we say that a function $f(z) \in \Omega^+(p; \alpha, \beta, \gamma, A, B, \lambda)$ whenever $f(z)$ is of the form [cf. Equation (1.2)] :

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{k=p}^{\infty} |a_k| z^k \quad (k \geq p; p \in N). \quad (1.5)$$

The class $\Omega^+(p; \alpha, \beta, \gamma, A, B, \lambda)$ was introduced and studied by Joshi et al. [8]. We note that :

- (i) $\Omega^+(p; \alpha, \beta, \gamma, -1, 1, 0) = \sum_p(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ ($0 \leq \alpha < 1; 0 < \beta \leq 1; \frac{1}{2} \leq \gamma \leq 1$) (Cho et al. [6]) ;
- (ii) $\Omega^+(1; \alpha, \beta, \gamma, -1, 1, 0) = \sum_1(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ ($0 \leq \alpha < 1; 0 < \beta \leq 1; \frac{1}{2} \leq \gamma \leq 1$) (Cho et al. [5]) ;
- (iii) $\Omega^+(1; 0, 1, 1, -A, -B, 0) = \sum_a(A, B)$ ($-1 \leq B < A \leq 1; -1 \leq B < 0$) (Cho [4]).

In order to derive our results , we need the following lemma given by Joshi et al. [8].

Lemma 1.1. [8]. *Let $f(z) \in \sum_p^+$ be given by (1.5). Then $f(z) \in \Omega^+(p; \alpha, \beta, \gamma, A, B, \lambda)$ if and only if*

$$\sum_{k=p}^{\infty} k \{1 + \beta[(B - A)\gamma - B]\} [1 + \lambda(k - 1)] |a_k| \leq (B - A)\beta\gamma(1 - \alpha)(1 - 2\lambda).$$

2. Distortion Theorem

Theorem 2.1. *If a function $f(z)$ defined by (1.5) is in the class $\Omega^+(p; \alpha, \beta, \gamma, A, B, \lambda)$, then*

$$\left\{ m! - \frac{(p-1)!(B-A)\beta\gamma(1-\alpha)(1-2\lambda)}{(p-m)!\{1+\beta[(B-A)\gamma-B]\}[1+\lambda(p-1)]} r^{p+1} \right\} r^{-(m+1)} \leq |f^{(m)}(z)| \leq \left\{ m! + \frac{(p-1)!(B-A)\beta\gamma(1-\alpha)(1-2\lambda)}{(p-m)!\{1+\beta[(B-A)\gamma-B]\}[1+\lambda(p-1)]} r^{p+1} \right\} r^{-(m+1)} \quad (2.1)$$

$$(0 < |z| = r < 1; p \in N; 0 \leq m < p).$$

The result is sharp for the function $f(z)$ given by

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \frac{(B-A)\beta\gamma(1-\alpha)(1-2\lambda)}{p\{1+\beta[(B-A)\gamma-A]\}[1+\lambda(p-1)]}z^p \quad (p \in N). \quad (2.2)$$

Proof. In view of Lemma 1.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{p\{1+\beta[(B-A)\gamma-B]\}[1+\lambda(p-1)]}{p!} \sum_{k=p}^{\infty} k! |a_k| \\ & \leq \sum_{k=p}^{\infty} k\{1+\beta[(B-A)\gamma-B]\}[1+\lambda(k-1)] |a_k| \leq (B-A)\beta\gamma(1-\alpha)(1-2\lambda), \end{aligned}$$

which yields

$$\sum_{k=p}^{\infty} k! |a_k| \leq \frac{(p-1)!(B-A)\beta\gamma(1-\alpha)(1-2\lambda)}{\{1+\beta[(B-A)\gamma-B]\}[1+\lambda(p-1)]} \quad (p \in N). \quad (2.3)$$

Now, by differentiating both sides of (1.5) m times with respect to z , we have

$$\begin{aligned} f^{(m)}(z) &= (-1)^m m! z^{-(m+1)} + \sum_{k=p}^{\infty} \frac{k!}{(k-m)!} |a_k| z^{k-m}, \\ & \quad (p \in N; 0 \leq m < p), \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

and Theorem 2.1 follows easily from (2.3) and (2.4).

Finally, it is easy to see that the bounds in (2.1) are attained for the function $f(z)$ given by (2.2) at the points $z = r, \pm ir$ ($0 < r < 1$).

3. Neighborhoods and Partial Sums

Following the earlier works (based upon the familiar concept of neighborhoods of analytic functions) by Goodman [7] and Ruscheweyh [12], and (more recently) by Altintas et al. ([1], [2] and [3]), Liu [9] and Liu and Srivastava (

[10] and [11]), we begin by introducing here the δ -neighborhood of a function $f(z) \in \Sigma$ of the form (1.1) by means of the definition given below :

$$N_\delta(f) = \left\{ g \in \Sigma : g(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k z^k \quad \text{and} \right. \\ \left. \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{k \{1 + \beta[(B - A)\gamma - B]\} [1 + \lambda(k - 1)]}{(B - A)\beta\gamma(1 - \alpha)(1 - 2\lambda)} |a_k - b_k| \leq \delta, \right. \\ \left. (-1 \leq A < B \leq 1, 0 \leq \lambda < \frac{1}{2}, p \in N, \delta > 0) \right\}. \quad (3.1)$$

Making use of the definition (3.1) , we now prove Theorem 3.1 below :

Theorem 3.1. *Let the function $f(z)$ defined by (1.1) be in the class $\Omega(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, A, B, \lambda)$.*

If $f(z)$ satisfies the following condition :

$$\frac{f(z) + \varepsilon z^{-1}}{1 + \varepsilon} \in \Omega(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, A, B, \lambda) \quad (\varepsilon \in C, |\varepsilon| < \delta, \delta > 0), \quad (3.2)$$

then

$$N_\delta(f) \subset \Omega(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, A, B, \lambda). \quad (3.3)$$

Proof. It is easily seen from (1.4) that $g(z) \in \Omega(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, A, B, \lambda)$ if and only if for any complex number σ with $|\sigma| = 1$,

$$\frac{z^2 F'(z) + 1 - 2\lambda}{[(B - A)\gamma - B]F'(z) + (1 - 2\lambda)[(B - A)\gamma\alpha - B]} \neq \sigma\beta \quad (z \in U),$$

which, is equivalent to

$$\frac{(g * h)(z)}{z^{-1}} \neq 0 \quad (z \in U), \quad (3.4)$$

where , for convenience,

$$h(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k z^k \\ = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{k \{1 - \beta\sigma[(B - A)\gamma - A]\} [1 + \lambda(k - 1)]}{(B - A)\sigma\beta\gamma(1 - \alpha)(1 - 2\lambda)} z^k. \quad (3.5)$$

From (3.5), we have

$$|c_k| \leq \frac{k \{1 + \beta[(B - A)\gamma - A]\} [1 + \lambda(k - 1)]}{(B - A)\beta\gamma(1 - \alpha)(1 - 2\lambda)} \quad (k \in N, 0 \leq \lambda < \frac{1}{2}, p \in N).$$

Now, if $f(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k z^k \in \Sigma$ satisfies the condition (3.2), then (3.4) yields

$$\left| \frac{(f * h)(z)}{z^{-1}} \right| \geq \delta \quad (z \in U; \delta > 0).$$

By letting

$$g(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k z^k \in N_{\delta}(f),$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{[g(z) - f(z)] * h(z)}{z^{-1}} \right| &= \left| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (b_k - a_k) c_k z^{k+1} \right| \\ &\leq |z| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{k \{1 + \beta[(B - A)\gamma - A]\} [1 + \lambda(k - 1)]}{(B - A)\beta\gamma(1 - \alpha)(1 - 2\lambda)} |b_k - a_k| \\ &< \delta \quad (z \in U; \delta > 0). \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have (3.4), and hence also for any $\sigma \in C$ such that $|\sigma| = 1$, which implies that $g(z) \in \Omega(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, A, B, \lambda)$. This evidently proves the assertion (3.3) of Theorem 3.1.

Next we prove the following result.

Theorem 3.2. *Let $f(z) \in \Sigma$ be given by (1.1) and define the partial sums $s_1(z)$ and $s_m(z)$ as follows :*

$$s_1(z) = \frac{1}{z} \quad \text{and} \quad s_m(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} a_k z^k \quad (m \in N).$$

Suppose also that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} d_k z^k \leq 1 \quad (d_k = \frac{k \{1 + \beta[(B - A)\gamma - A]\} [1 + \lambda(k - 1)]}{(B - A)\beta\gamma(1 - \alpha)(1 - 2\lambda)}). \quad (3.6)$$

Then we have

$$(i) \quad f(z) \in \Omega(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, A, B, \lambda),$$

$$(ii) \quad \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{f(z)}{s_m(z)} \right\} > 1 - \frac{1}{d_m} \quad (z \in U; m \in N), \quad (3.7)$$

and

$$(iii) \quad \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{s_m(z)}{f(z)} \right\} > \frac{d_m}{1 + d_m} \quad (z \in U; m \in N). \quad (3.8)$$

The estimates (3.7) and (3.8) are sharp for each $m \in N$.

Proof. It is not difficult to see that

$$z^{-1} \in \Omega(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, A, B, \lambda).$$

Thus, from Theorem 3.1 and the hypothesis (3.6) of Theorem 3.2, we have

$$N_1(z^{-1}) \subset \Omega(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, A, B, \lambda),$$

which shows that $f(z) \in \Omega(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, A, B, \lambda)$ as asserted by Theorem 3.2.

(ii) For the coefficients d_k given by (3.6), it is not difficult to verify that

$$d_{k+1} > d_k > 1 \quad (k \in N).$$

Therefore, we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^{m-1} |a_k| + d_m \sum_{k=m}^{\infty} |a_k| \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} d_k |a_k| \leq 1, \quad (3.9)$$

where we have used the hypothesis (3.6) again.

By setting

$$h_1(z) = d_m \left\{ \frac{f(z)}{s_m(z)} - \left(1 - \frac{1}{d_m}\right) \right\}$$

$$= 1 + \frac{d_m \sum_{k=m}^{\infty} a_k z^{k+1}}{1 + \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} a_k z^{k+1}},$$

and applying (3.9), we find that

$$\left| \frac{h_1(z) - 1}{h_1(z) + 1} \right| \leq \frac{d_m \sum_{k=m}^{\infty} |a_k|}{2 - z \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} |a_k| - d_m \sum_{k=m}^{\infty} |a_k|} \leq 1 \quad (z \in U),$$

which readily yields the assertion (3.7) of Theorem 3.2. If we take

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{z} - \frac{z^m}{d_m}, \quad (3.10)$$

then

$$\frac{f(z)}{s_m(z)} = 1 - \frac{z^{m+1}}{d_m} \rightarrow 1 - \frac{1}{d_m}, \quad \text{as } z \rightarrow 1^-,$$

which shows that the bound in (3.7) is the best possible for each $m \in N$.

(iii) Just as in Part (ii) above, if we put

$$\begin{aligned} h_2(z) &= (1 + d_m) \left(\frac{s_m(z)}{f(z)} - \frac{d_m}{1 + d_m} \right) \\ &= 1 - \frac{(1 + d_m) \sum_{k=m}^{\infty} a_k z^{k+1}}{1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k z^{k+1}}, \end{aligned}$$

and make use of (3.9), we can deduce that

$$\left| \frac{h_2(z) - 1}{h_2(z) + 1} \right| \leq \frac{(1 + d_m) \sum_{k=m}^{\infty} |a_k|}{2 - 2 \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} |a_k| - (1 - d_m) \sum_{k=m}^{\infty} |a_k|} \leq 1 \quad (z \in U),$$

which leads us immediately to the assertion (3.8) of Theorem 3.2.

The bound in (3.8) is sharp for each $m \in N$, with the extremal function $f(z)$ given by (3.10). The proof of Theorem 3.2 is thus completed.

4. Convolution Properties

For the functions

$$f_j(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{k=p}^{\infty} |a_{k,j}| z^k \quad (j = 1, 2; p \in N), \quad (4.1)$$

we denote by $(f_1 * f_2)(z)$ the Hadamard product (or convolution) of the functions $f_1(z)$ and $f_2(z)$, that is,

$$(f_1 * f_2)(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{k=p}^{\infty} |a_{k,1}| |a_{k,2}| z^k.$$

Theorem 4.1. *Let the functions $f_j(z)$ ($j = 1, 2$) defined by (4.1) be in the class $\Omega^+(p; \alpha, \beta, \gamma, A, B, \lambda)$.*

*Then $(f_1 * f_2)(z) \in \Omega^+(p; \delta, \beta, \gamma, A, B, \lambda)$, where*

$$\delta = 1 - \frac{(B - A)\beta\gamma(1 - \alpha)^2(1 - 2\lambda)}{p \{1 + \beta[(B - A)\gamma - B]\} [1 + \lambda(p - 1)]}.$$

The result is sharp for the functions

$$f_j(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \frac{(B - A)\beta\gamma(1 - \alpha)^2(1 - 2\lambda)}{p \{1 + \beta[(B - A)\gamma - B]\} [1 + \lambda(p - 1)]} z^p \quad (j = 1, 2; p \in N). \quad (4.2)$$

Proof. Employing the technique used earlier by Schild and Silverman [13], we need to find the largest δ such that

$$\sum_{k=p}^{\infty} \frac{k \{1 + \beta[(B - A)\gamma - B]\} [1 + \lambda(k - 1)]}{(B - A)\beta\gamma(1 - \delta)(1 - 2\lambda)} |a_{k,1}| |a_{k,2}| \leq 1$$

for $f_j(z) \in \Omega^+(p; \alpha, \beta, \gamma, A, B, \lambda)$ ($j = 1, 2$). Since $f_j(z) \in \Omega^+(p; \alpha, \beta, \gamma, A, B, \lambda)$ ($j = 1, 2$), we readily see that

$$\sum_{k=p}^{\infty} \frac{k \{1 + \beta[(B - A)\gamma - B]\} [1 + \lambda(k - 1)]}{(B - A)\beta\gamma(1 - \alpha)(1 - 2\lambda)} |a_{k,j}| \leq 1 \quad (j = 1, 2).$$

Therefore, by the Cauchy - Schwarz inequality, we obtain

$$\sum_{k=p}^{\infty} \frac{k \{1 + \beta[(B-A)\gamma - B]\} [1 + \lambda(k-1)]}{(B-A)\beta\gamma(1-\alpha)(1-2\lambda)} \sqrt{|a_{k,1}| |a_{k,2}|} \leq 1. \quad (4.3)$$

This implies that we need only to show that

$$\frac{|a_{k,1}| |a_{k,2}|}{(1-\delta)} \leq \frac{\sqrt{|a_{k,1}| |a_{k,2}|}}{(1-\alpha)} \quad (k \geq p)$$

or, equivalently, that

$$\sqrt{|a_{k,1}| |a_{k,2}|} \leq \frac{(1-\delta)}{(1-\alpha)} \quad (k \geq p).$$

Hence, by the inequality (4.3), it is sufficient to prove that

$$\frac{(B-A)\beta\gamma(1-\alpha)(1-2\lambda)}{k \{1 + \beta[(B-A)\gamma - B]\} [1 + \lambda(k-1)]} \leq \frac{(1-\delta)}{(1-\alpha)} \quad (k \geq p). \quad (4.4)$$

It follows from (4.10) that

$$\delta \leq 1 - \frac{(B-A)\beta\gamma(1-\alpha)^2(1-2\lambda)}{k \{1 + \beta[(B-A)\gamma - B]\} [1 + \lambda(k-1)]} \quad (k \geq p).$$

Now, defining the function $\varphi(k)$ by

$$\varphi(k) = 1 - \frac{(B-A)\beta\gamma(1-\alpha)^2(1-2\lambda)}{k \{1 + \beta[(B-A)\gamma - B]\} [1 + \lambda(k-1)]} \quad (k \geq p).$$

We see that $\varphi(k)$ is an increasing function of k . Therefore, we conclude that

$$\delta \leq \varphi(p) = 1 - \frac{(B-A)\beta\gamma(1-\alpha)^2(1-2\lambda)}{p \{1 + \beta[(B-A)\gamma - B]\} [1 + \lambda(p-1)]},$$

which evidently completes the proof of Theorem 4.1.

Using arguments similar to those in the proof of Theorem 4.1, we obtain the following result.

Theorem 4.2. *Let the function $f_1(z)$ defined by (4.1) be in the class $\Omega^+(p; \alpha, \beta, \gamma, A, B, \lambda)$. Suppose also that the function $f_2(z)$ defined by (4.1) be in the class $\Omega^+(p; \zeta, \beta, \gamma, A, B, \lambda)$. Then $(f_1 * f_2)(z) \in \Omega^+(p; \zeta, \beta, \gamma, A, B, \lambda)$, where*

$$\xi = 1 - \frac{(B-A)\beta\gamma(1-\alpha)(1-\zeta)(1-2\lambda)}{p \{1 + \beta[(B-A)\gamma - B]\} [1 + \lambda(p-1)]}.$$

The result is sharp for the functions $f_j(z)(j = 1, 2)$ given by

$$f_1(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \frac{(B - A)\beta\gamma(1 - \alpha)(1 - 2\lambda)}{p \{1 + \beta[(B - A)\gamma - B]\} [1 + \lambda(p - 1)]} z^p \quad (p \in N),$$

and

$$f_2(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \frac{(B - A)\beta\gamma(1 - \zeta)(1 - 2\lambda)}{p \{1 + \beta[(B - A)\gamma - B]\} [1 + \lambda(p - 1)]} z^p \quad (p \in N).$$

Theorem 4.3. *Let the functions $f_j(z)(j = 1, 2)$ defined by (4.1) be in the class $\Omega^+(p; \alpha, \beta, \gamma, A, B, \lambda)$.*

Then the function $h(z)$ defined by

$$h(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{k=p}^{\infty} (|a_{k,1}|^2 + |a_{k,2}|^2) z^k$$

belongs to the class $\Omega^+(p; \tau, \beta, \gamma, A, B, \lambda)$, where

$$\tau = 1 - \frac{2(B - A)\beta\gamma(1 - \alpha)^2(1 - 2\lambda)}{p \{1 + \beta[(B - A)\gamma - B]\} [1 + \lambda(p - 1)]}.$$

This result is sharp for the functions $f_j(z)(j = 1, 2)$ given already by (4.2).

Proof. Noting that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=p}^{\infty} \frac{(k \{1 + \beta[(B - A)\gamma - B]\} [1 + \lambda(k - 1)])^2}{[(B - A)\beta\gamma(1 - \alpha)(1 - 2\lambda)]^2} |a_{k,j}|^2 \\ & \leq \left(\sum_{k=p}^{\infty} \frac{k \{1 + \beta[(B - A)\gamma - B]\} [1 + \lambda(k - 1)]}{(B - A)\beta\gamma(1 - \alpha)(1 - 2\lambda)} |a_{k,j}| \right)^2 \leq 1 \quad (j = 1, 2), \end{aligned}$$

for $f_j(z) \in \Omega^+(p; \alpha, \beta, \gamma, A, B, \lambda)(j = 1, 2)$, we have

$$\sum_{k=p}^{\infty} \frac{(k \{1 + \beta[(B - A)\gamma - B]\} [1 + \lambda(k - 1)])^2}{2[(B - A)\beta\gamma(1 - \alpha)(1 - 2\lambda)]^2} (|a_{k,1}|^2 + |a_{k,2}|^2) \leq 1.$$

Therefore, we have to find the largest τ such that

$$\frac{1}{(1 - \tau)} \leq \frac{k \{1 + \beta[(B - A)\gamma - B]\} [1 + \lambda(k - 1)]}{2(B - A)\beta\gamma(1 - \alpha)(1 - 2\lambda)} \quad (k \geq p),$$

that is, that

$$\tau \leq 1 - \frac{2(B-A)\beta\gamma(1-\alpha)^2(1-2\lambda)}{k\{1+\beta[(B-A)\gamma-B]\}[1+\lambda(k-1)]} \quad (k \geq p).$$

Now, defining a function $\Psi(k)$ by

$$\Psi(k) = 1 - \frac{2(B-A)\beta\gamma(1-\alpha)^2(1-2\lambda)}{k\{1+\beta[(B-A)\gamma-B]\}[1+\lambda(k-1)]} \quad (k \geq p).$$

We observe that $\Psi(k)$ is an increasing function of k . We thus conclude that

$$\tau \leq \Psi(p) = 1 - \frac{2(B-A)\beta\gamma(1-\alpha)^2(1-2\lambda)}{p\{1+\beta[(B-A)\gamma-B]\}[1+\lambda(k-1)]},$$

which completes the proof of Theorem 4.3 .

References

- [1] O. Altintas and S. Owa, Neighborhoods of certain analytic functions with negative coefficients, *Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci.*, **19**(1996), 797-800.
- [2] O. Altintas, O. Ozkan, and H. M. Srivastava, Neighborhoods of a class of analytic functions with negative coefficients, *Appl. Math. Lett.*, **13** no.3 (2000), 63-67.
- [3] O. Altintas, O. Ozkan, and H. M. Srivastava, Neighborhoods of a certain family of multivalent functions with negative coefficient, *Comput. Math. Appl.*, **47**(2004), 1667-1672.
- [4] N. E. Cho, On certain class of meromorphic functions with positive coefficients, *J. Inst. Math. Comput. Sci. (Math. Ser.)*, **3** no.2 (1990) , 119-125.
- [5] N. E. Cho, S. H. Lee, and S. Owa, A class of meromorphic univalent functions with positive coefficients, *Kobe J. Math.*, **4**(1987) , 43-50.
- [6] N. E. Cho, S. Owa, S. H. Lee, and O. Altintas, Generalization class of certain meromorphic univalent functions with positive coefficients, *Kyungpook Math. J.*, **29** no.2 (1989), 133-139.

- [7] A. W. Goodman, Univalent functions and non analytic curves, *Proc. Amer. math. Soc.*, **8**(1957), 598-601.
- [8] S. B. Joshi, S. R. Kulkarni, and H. M. Srivastava, Certain classes of meromorphic functions with positive and missing coefficients, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **193**(1995), 1-14.
- [9] J.- L. Liu, Properties of some families of meromorphically p-valent functions, *Math. Japon.*, **52** no.3 (2000), 425-434.
- [10] J.- L. Liu and H. M. Srivastava, A linear operator and associated families of meromorphically multivalent functions, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **259**(2001), 566-581.
- [11] J.- L. Liu and H. M. Srivastava, Subclasses meromorphically multivalent functions associated with a certain linear operator, *Math. Comput. Modelling*, **39**(2004), 35-44.
- [12] St. Ruscheweyh, Neighborhoods of univalent functions, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **81**(1981), 521-527.
- [13] A. Schild and H. Silverman, Convolution of univalent functions with negative coefficients, *Ann. Univ. Mariae Curie - Skłodowska Sect. A*, **29**(1975), 99-107.