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#### Abstract

A bi-univalent function is a univalent function defined on the unit disk for which the inverse function has a univalent extension to the unit disk. The paper of H. M. Srivastava, A. K. Mishra and P. Gochhayat [Certain subclasses of analytic and bi-univalent functions, Appl. Math. Lett. 23 (2010), no. 10, 1188-1192] renewed the investigation of the estimate on initial coefficients of bi-univalent functions. In this paper,


[^0]estimates for the initial coefficients of bi-univalent functions belonging to certain classes defined by subordination and of functions for which $f$ and $f^{-1}$ belong to different subclasses of univalent functions are derived. Improvement of the earlier known estimates were also pointed out.
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## 1. Introduction

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be the class of analytic functions defined on the open unit disk $\mathbb{D}:=$ $\{z \in \mathbb{C}:|z|<1\}$ and normalized by the conditions $f(0)=0$ and $f^{\prime}(0)=1$. A function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ has Taylor's series expansion of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The class of all univalent functions in the open unit disk $\mathbb{D}$ of the form (1.1) is denoted by $\mathcal{S}$. Determination of the bounds for the coefficients $a_{n}$ is an important problem in geometric function theory as they give information about the geometric properties of these functions. For example, the bound for the second coefficient $a_{2}$ of functions in $\mathcal{S}$ gives the growth and distortion bounds as well as covering theorems.

Since univalent functions are one-to-one, it follows that they are invertible but their inverse functions need not be defined on the entire unit disk $\mathbb{D}$. In fact, the famous Koebe one-quarter theorem ensures that the image of the unit disk $\mathbb{D}$ under every function $f \in \mathcal{S}$ contains a disk of radius $1 / 4$. Thus, inverse of every function $f \in \mathcal{S}$ is defined on a disk, which contains the disk $|z|<1 / 4$. It can also be easily verified that

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(w):=f^{-1}(w)=w-a_{2} w^{2}+\left(2 a_{2}^{2}-a_{3}\right) w^{3}-\left(5 a_{2}^{2}-5 a_{2} a_{3}+a_{4}\right) w^{4}+\cdots \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

in some disk of radius at least $1 / 4$. A function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is called bi-univalent in $\mathbb{D}$ if both $f$ and the extension of $f^{-1}$ to the unit disk are univalent in $\mathbb{D}$. In 1967, Lewin [21] introduced the class $\sigma$ of bi-univalent analytic functions and showed that the second coefficient of every $f \in \sigma$ satisfy the inequality $\left|a_{2}\right| \leq 1.51$. Let $\sigma_{1}$ be the class of all functions $f=\phi \circ \psi^{-1}$ where $\phi, \psi$ map $\mathbb{D}$ onto a domain containing $\mathbb{D}$ and $\phi^{\prime}(0)=\psi^{\prime}(0)$. In 1969, Suffridge [38] gave a
function in $\sigma_{1} \subset \sigma$, satisfying $a_{2}=4 / 3$ and conjectured that $\left|a_{2}\right| \leq 4 / 3$ for all functions in $\sigma$. In 1969, Netanyahu [24] proved this conjecture for the subclass $\sigma_{1}$. Later in 1981, Styer and Wright [37] disproved the conjecture of Suffridge [38] by showing $a_{2}>4 / 3$ for some function in $\sigma$. Also see [5] for an example to show $\sigma \neq \sigma_{1}$. For results on bi-univalent polynomial, see [30, 18]. In 1967, Brannan [2] conjectured that $\left|a_{2}\right| \leq \sqrt{2}$ for $f \in \sigma$. In 1985, Kedzierawski [17, Theorem 2] proved this conjecture for a special case when the function $f$ and $f^{-1}$ are starlike functions. In 1985, Tan [39] obtained the bound for $a_{2}$ namely $\left|a_{2}\right| \leq 1.485$ which is the best known estimate for functions in the class $\sigma$. For some open problems and survey, see [12, 31]. In 1985, Kedzierawski [17] proved the following:

$$
\left|a_{2}\right| \leq \begin{cases}1.5894, & f \in \mathcal{S}, f^{-1} \in \mathcal{S} \\ \sqrt{2}, & f \in \mathcal{S}^{*}, f^{-1} \in \mathcal{S}^{*} \\ 1.507, & f \in \mathcal{S}^{*}, f^{-1} \in \mathcal{S} \\ 1.224, & f \in \mathcal{K}, f^{-1} \in \mathcal{S}\end{cases}
$$

where $\mathcal{S}^{*}$ and $\mathcal{K}$ denote the well-known classes of starlike and convex functions in $\mathcal{S}$.

Let us recall now various definitions required in sequel. An analytic function $f$ is subordinate to another analytic function $g$, written $f \prec g$, if there is an analytic function $w$ with $|w(z)| \leq|z|$ such that $f=g \circ w$. If $g$ is univalent, then $f \prec g$ if and only if $f(0)=g(0)$ and $f(\mathbb{D}) \subseteq g(\mathbb{D})$. Let $\varphi$ be an analytic univalent function in $\mathbb{D}$ with positive real part and $\varphi(\mathbb{D})$ be symmetric with respect to the real axis, starlike with respect to $\varphi(0)=1$ and $\varphi^{\prime}(0)>0$. Ma and Minda [23] gave a unified presentation of various subclasses of starlike and convex functions by introducing the classes $\mathcal{S}^{*}(\varphi)$ and $\mathcal{K}(\varphi)$ of functions $f \in \mathcal{S}$ satisfying $z f^{\prime}(z) / f(z) \prec \varphi(z)$ and $1+z f^{\prime \prime}(z) / f^{\prime}(z) \prec \varphi(z)$ respectively, which includes several well-known classes as special case. For example, when $\varphi(z)=(1+A z) /(1+B z)(-1 \leq B<A \leq 1)$, the class $\mathcal{S}^{*}(\varphi)$ reduces to the class $\mathcal{S}^{*}[A, B]$ introduced by Janowski [16]. For $0 \leq \beta<1$, the classes $\mathcal{S}^{*}(\beta):=\mathcal{S}^{*}((1+(1-2 \beta) z) /(1-z))$ and $\mathcal{K}(\beta):=\mathcal{K}((1+(1-2 \beta) z) /(1-z))$ are starlike and convex functions of order $\beta$. Further let $\mathcal{S}^{*}:=\mathcal{S}^{*}(0)$ and $\mathcal{K}:=\mathcal{K}(0)$ are the classes of starlike and convex functions respectively. The class of strongly starlike functions $\mathcal{S}_{\alpha}^{*}:=\mathcal{S}^{*}\left(((1+z) /(1-z))^{\alpha}\right)$ of order $\alpha, 0<\alpha \leq 1$. Denote by $\mathcal{R}(\varphi)$ the class of all functions satisfying $f^{\prime}(z) \prec \varphi(z)$ and let $\mathcal{R}(\beta):=\mathcal{R}((1+(1-2 \beta) z) /(1-z))$ and $\mathcal{R}:=\mathcal{R}(0)$.

For $0 \leq \beta<1$, a function $f \in \sigma$ is in the class $\mathcal{S}_{\sigma}^{*}(\beta)$ of bi-starlike func-
tion of order $\beta$, or $\mathcal{K}_{\sigma}(\beta)$ of bi-convex function of order $\beta$ if both $f$ and $f^{-1}$ are respectively starlike or convex functions of order $\beta$. For $0<\alpha \leq 1$, the function $f \in \sigma$ is strongly bi-starlike function of order $\alpha$ if both the functions $f$ and $f^{-1}$ are strongly starlike functions of order $\alpha$. The class of all such functions is denoted by $\mathcal{S}_{\sigma, \alpha}^{*}$. These classes were introduced by Brannan and Taha [4] in 1985 (see also [3]). They obtained estimates on the initial coefficients $a_{2}$ and $a_{3}$ for functions in these classes. The work on bi-univalent functions gained much focus after Srivastava et al.[36], in 2010, derived the bounds of the initial coefficients of functions belonging to the classes $\mathcal{H}_{\sigma}(\beta)=\left\{f \in \sigma: \operatorname{Re}\left(f^{\prime}(z)\right)>\beta\right.$ and $\left.\operatorname{Re}\left(F^{\prime}(z)\right)>\beta, 0 \leq \beta<1\right\}$ and $\mathcal{H}_{\sigma, \alpha}=\left\{f \in \sigma:\left|\arg f^{\prime}(z)\right| \leq \alpha \pi / 2\right.$ and $\left.\left|\arg F^{\prime}(z)\right| \leq \alpha \pi / 2,0<\alpha \leq 1\right\}$. Further results motivated by [36] can be found in $[6,15,7,8,9,10,11,13,14$, $22,25,33,34,35,26,1,29,40,41,42]$ and references cited therein. Srivastava [32] has a recent survey of bi-univalent functions.

Motivated by Ali et al. [1] and Srivastava[36], the estimates on the initial coefficient $a_{2}$ of bi-univalent functions belonging to the class $\mathcal{R}_{\sigma}(\lambda, \varphi)$ as well as estimates on $a_{2}$ and $a_{3}$ for functions in classes $\mathcal{S}_{\sigma}^{*}(\varphi)$ and $K_{\sigma}(\varphi)$, defined later, are obtained. The estimates on initial coefficients $a_{2}$ and $a_{3}$ when $f$ is in the some subclass of univalent functions and $F$ belongs to some other subclass of univalent functions are also derived and connections and generalization of several well-known results in $[1,10,17,36]$ are also pointed out.

## 2. Coefficient estimates

Throughout this paper, we assume that $\varphi$ is an analytic function in $\mathbb{D}$ of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(z)=1+B_{1} z+B_{2} z^{2}+B_{3} z^{3}+\cdots \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $B_{1}>0$ and $B_{2}$ is any real number.
Definition 2.1. Let $\lambda \geq 0$. A function $f \in \sigma$ given by (1.1) is in the class $\mathcal{R}_{\sigma}(\lambda, \varphi)$, if it satisfies

$$
(1-\lambda) \frac{f(z)}{z}+\lambda f^{\prime}(z) \prec \varphi(z) \quad \text { and } \quad(1-\lambda) \frac{F(w)}{w}+\lambda F^{\prime}(w) \prec \varphi(w)
$$

The class $\mathcal{R}_{\sigma}(\lambda, \varphi)$ includes many earlier classes, which are mentioned below:

1. $\mathcal{R}_{\sigma}(\lambda,(1+(1-2 \beta) z) /(1-z))=\mathcal{R}_{\sigma}(\lambda, \beta) \quad(\lambda \geq 1 ; 0 \leq \beta<1)[10$, Definition 3.1]
2. $\mathcal{R}_{\sigma}\left(\lambda,((1+z) /(1-z))^{\alpha}\right)=\mathcal{R}_{\sigma, \alpha}(\lambda)(\lambda \geq 1 ; 0<\alpha \leq 1)$ [10, Definition 2.1]
3. $\mathcal{R}_{\sigma}(1, \varphi)=\mathcal{R}_{\sigma}(\varphi)[1$, p. 345].
4. $\mathcal{R}_{\sigma}(1,(1+(1-2 \beta) z) /(1-z))=\mathcal{H}_{\sigma}(\beta) \quad(0 \leq \beta<1)$ [36, Definition 2$]$
5. $\mathcal{R}_{\sigma}\left(1,((1+z) /(1-z))^{\alpha}\right)=\mathcal{H}_{\sigma, \alpha}(0<\alpha \leq 1)$ [36, Definition 1]

Our first result provides estimate for the coefficient $a_{2}$ of functions $f \in \mathcal{R}_{\sigma}(\lambda, \varphi)$.
Theorem 2.2. If $f \in \mathcal{R}_{\sigma}(\lambda, \varphi)$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{2}\right| \leq \sqrt{\frac{B_{1}+\left|B_{1}-B_{2}\right|}{1+2 \lambda}} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Since $f \in \mathcal{R}_{\sigma}(\lambda, \varphi)$, there exist two analytic functions $r, s: \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$, with $r(0)=0=s(0)$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
(1-\lambda) \frac{f(z)}{z}+\lambda f^{\prime}(z)=\varphi(r(z)) \text { and }(1-\lambda) \frac{F(w)}{w}+\lambda F^{\prime}(w)=\varphi(s(w)) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Define the functions $p$ and $q$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(z)=\frac{1+r(z)}{1-r(z)}=1+p_{1} z+p_{2} z^{2}+p_{3} z^{3}+\cdots \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
q(z)=\frac{1+s(z)}{1-s(z)}=1+q_{1} z+q_{2} z^{2}+q_{3} z^{3}+\cdots \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

or equivalently,

$$
\begin{equation*}
r(z)=\frac{p(z)-1}{p(z)+1}=\frac{1}{2}\left(p_{1} z+\left(p_{2}-\frac{p_{1}^{2}}{2}\right) z^{2}+\cdots\right) \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
s(z)=\frac{q(z)-1}{q(z)+1}=\frac{1}{2}\left(q_{1} z+\left(q_{2}-\frac{q_{1}^{2}}{2}\right) z^{2}+\cdots\right) . \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is clear that $p$ and $q$ are analytic in $\mathbb{D}$ and $p(0)=1=q(0)$. Also $p$ and $q$ have positive real part in $\mathbb{D}$, and hence $\left|p_{i}\right| \leq 2$ and $\left|q_{i}\right| \leq 2$. In the view of (2.3), (2.6) and (2.7), clearly

$$
\begin{equation*}
(1-\lambda) \frac{f(z)}{z}+\lambda f^{\prime}(z)=\varphi\left(\frac{p(z)-1}{p(z)+1}\right) \text { and }(1-\lambda) \frac{F(w)}{w}+\lambda F^{\prime}(w)=\varphi\left(\frac{q(w)-1}{q(w)+1}\right) . \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

On expanding (2.1) using (2.6) and (2.7), it is evident that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi\left(\frac{p(z)-1}{p(z)+1}\right)=1+\frac{1}{2} B_{1} p_{1} z+\left(\frac{1}{2} B_{1}\left(p_{2}-\frac{1}{2} p_{1}^{2}\right)+\frac{1}{4} B_{2} p_{1}^{2}\right) z^{2}+\cdots . \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi\left(\frac{q(w)-1}{q(w)+1}\right)=1+\frac{1}{2} B_{1} q_{1} w+\left(\frac{1}{2} B_{1}\left(q_{2}-\frac{1}{2} q_{1}^{2}\right)+\frac{1}{4} B_{2} q_{1}^{2}\right) w^{2}+\cdots \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $f \in \sigma$ has the Maclaurin series given by (1.1), a computation shows that its inverse $F=f^{-1}$ has the expansion given by (1.2). It follows from (2.8), (2.9) and (2.10) that

$$
\begin{gather*}
(1+\lambda) a_{2}=\frac{1}{2} B_{1} p_{1}, \\
(1+2 \lambda) a_{3}=\frac{1}{2} B_{1}\left(p_{2}-\frac{1}{2} p_{1}^{2}\right)+\frac{1}{4} B_{2} p_{1}^{2},  \tag{2.11}\\
-(1+\lambda) a_{2}=\frac{1}{2} B_{1} q_{1}, \\
(1+2 \lambda)\left(2 a_{2}^{2}-a_{3}\right)=\frac{1}{2} B_{1}\left(q_{2}-\frac{1}{2} q_{1}^{2}\right)+\frac{1}{4} B_{2} q_{1}^{2} . \tag{2.12}
\end{gather*}
$$

Now (2.11) and (2.12) yield

$$
\begin{equation*}
8(1+2 \lambda) a_{2}^{2}=2\left(p_{2}+q_{2}\right) B_{1}+\left(B_{2}-B_{1}\right)\left(p_{1}^{2}+q_{1}^{2}\right) \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally an application of the known results, $\left|p_{i}\right| \leq 2$ and $\left|q_{i}\right| \leq 2$ in (2.13) yields the desired estimate of $a_{2}$ given by (2.2).

Remark 2.3. Let $\varphi(z)=(1+(1-2 \beta) z) /(1-z), 0 \leq \beta<1$. So $B_{1}=B_{2}=$ $2(1-\beta)$. When $\lambda=1$, Theorem 2.2 gives the estimate $\left|a_{2}\right| \leq \sqrt{2(1-\beta) / 3}$ for functions in the class $\mathcal{R}_{\sigma}(\beta)$ which coincides with the result [41, Corollary 2] of $X u$ et al. In particular if $\beta=0$, then above estimate becomes $\left|a_{2}\right| \leq \sqrt{2 / 3} \approx$
0.816 for functions $f \in \mathcal{R}_{\sigma}(0)$. Since the estimate on $\left|a_{2}\right|$ for $f \in \mathcal{R}_{\sigma}(0)$ is improved over the conjectured estimate $\left|a_{2}\right| \leq \sqrt{2} \approx 1.414$ for $f \in \sigma$, the functions in $\mathcal{R}_{\sigma}(0)$ are not the candidate for the sharpness of the estimate in the class $\sigma$.

Definition 2.4. A function $f \in \sigma$ is in the class $\mathcal{S}^{*}{ }_{\sigma}(\varphi)$, if it satisfies

$$
\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)} \prec \varphi(z) \quad \text { and } \quad \frac{w F^{\prime}(w)}{F(w)} \prec \varphi(w) .
$$

Note that for a suitable choice of $\varphi$, the class $\mathcal{S}^{*}{ }_{\sigma}(\varphi)$, reduces to the following well-known classes:

1. $\mathcal{S}^{*}{ }_{\sigma}((1+(1-2 \beta) z) /(1-z))=\mathcal{S}^{*}{ }_{\sigma}(\beta) \quad(0 \leq \beta<1)$.
2. $\mathcal{S}^{*}{ }_{\sigma}\left(((1+z) /(1-z))^{\alpha}\right)=\mathcal{S}_{\sigma, \alpha}^{*} \quad(0<\alpha \leq 1)$.

Theorem 2.5. If $f \in \mathcal{S}^{*}{ }_{\sigma}(\varphi)$, then

$$
\left|a_{2}\right| \leq \min \left\{\sqrt{B_{1}+\left|B_{2}-B_{1}\right|}, \sqrt{\frac{B_{1}^{2}+B_{1}+\left|B_{2}-B_{1}\right|}{2}}, \frac{B_{1} \sqrt{B_{1}}}{\sqrt{B_{1}^{2}+\left|B_{1}-B_{2}\right|}}\right\}
$$

and

$$
\left|a_{3}\right| \leq \min \left\{B_{1}+\left|B_{2}-B_{1}\right|, \frac{B_{1}^{2}+B_{1}+\left|B_{2}-B_{1}\right|}{2}, R\right\}
$$

where

$$
R:=\frac{1}{4}\left(B_{1}+3 B_{1} \max \left\{1 ;\left|\frac{B_{1}-4 B_{2}}{3 B_{1}}\right|\right\}\right) .
$$

Proof. Since $f \in \mathcal{S}_{\sigma}^{*}(\varphi)$, there are analytic functions $r, s: \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$, with $r(0)=0=s(0)$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}=\varphi(r(z)) \text { and } \frac{w F^{\prime}(w)}{F(w)}=\varphi(s(w)) . \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $p$ and $q$ be defined as in (2.4), then it is clear from (2.14), (2.6) and (2.7) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}=\varphi\left(\frac{p(z)-1}{p(z)+1}\right) \quad \text { and } \frac{w F^{\prime}(w)}{F(w)}=\varphi\left(\frac{q(w)-1}{q(w)+1}\right) . \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (2.15), (2.9) and (2.10) that

$$
\begin{align*}
& a_{2}=\frac{1}{2} B_{1} p_{1},  \tag{2.16}\\
& 2 a_{3}=\frac{B_{1} p_{1}}{2} a_{2}+\frac{1}{2} B_{1}\left(p_{2}-\frac{1}{2} p_{1}^{2}\right)+\frac{1}{4} B_{2} p_{1}^{2},  \tag{2.17}\\
& -a_{2}=\frac{1}{2} B_{1} q_{1} \tag{2.18}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
4 a_{2}^{2}-2 a_{3}=-\frac{B_{1} q_{1}}{2} a_{2}+\frac{1}{2} B_{1}\left(q_{2}-\frac{1}{2} q_{1}^{2}\right)+\frac{1}{4} B_{2} q_{1}^{2} \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

The equations (2.16) and (2.18) yield

$$
\begin{gather*}
p_{1}=-q_{1}  \tag{2.20}\\
8 a_{2}^{2}=\left(p_{1}^{2}+q_{1}^{2}\right) B_{1}^{2} \tag{2.21}
\end{gather*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 a_{2}=\frac{B_{1}\left(p_{1}-q_{1}\right)}{2} \tag{2.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (2.17), (2.19) and (2.22), it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
8 a_{2}^{2}=2 B_{1}\left(p_{2}+q_{2}\right)+\left(B_{2}-B_{1}\right)\left(p_{1}^{2}+q_{1}^{2}\right) . \tag{2.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Further a computation using (2.17), (2.19), (2.16) and (2.20) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
16 a_{2}^{2}=2 B_{1}^{2} q_{1}^{2}+2 B_{1}\left(p_{2}+q_{2}\right)+\left(B_{2}-B_{1}\right)\left(p_{1}^{2}+q_{1}^{2}\right) \tag{2.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly a computation using (2.17), (2.19), (2.22) and (2.21) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
4\left(B_{1}^{2}-B_{2}+B_{1}\right) a_{2}^{2}=B_{1}^{3}\left(p_{2}+q_{2}\right) \tag{2.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now (2.23), (2.24) and (2.25) yield the desired estimate on $a_{2}$ as asserted in the theorem. To find estimate for $a_{3}$ subtract (2.17) from (2.19), to get

$$
\begin{equation*}
-4 a_{3}=-4 a_{2}^{2}+\frac{B_{1}\left(q_{2}-p_{2}\right)}{2} \tag{2.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now a computation using (2.24) and (2.26) leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
16 a_{3}=2 B_{1}^{2} q_{1}^{2}+4 B_{2} p_{2}+\left(B_{1}-B_{2}\right)\left(p_{1}^{2}+q_{1}^{2}\right) \tag{2.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (2.16), (2.17), (2.18) and (2.19), it follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
4 a_{3} & =\frac{B_{1}}{2}\left(3 p_{2}+q_{2}\right)+\left(B_{2}-B_{1}\right) p_{1}^{2}  \tag{2.28}\\
& =\frac{B_{1} q_{2}}{2}+\frac{3 B_{1}}{2}\left(p_{2}-\frac{2\left(B_{1}-B_{2}\right)}{3 B_{1}} p_{1}^{2}\right) \tag{2.29}
\end{align*}
$$

On applying the result of Keogh and Merkes [19](see also [27]), that is for any complex number $v,\left|p_{2}-v p_{1}^{2}\right| \leq 2 \max \{1 ;|2 v-1|\}$, along with $\left|q_{2}\right| \leq 2$ in (2.29), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
4\left|a_{3}\right| \leq B_{1}+3 B_{1} \max \left\{1 ;\left|\frac{B_{1}-4 B_{2}}{3 B_{1}}\right|\right\} . \tag{2.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now the desired estimate on $a_{3}$ follows from (2.27), (2.28) and (2.30) at once.

Remark 2.6. If $f \in \mathcal{S}^{*}{ }_{\sigma}(\beta)(0 \leq \beta<1)$, then from Theorem 2.5 it is evident that
$\left|a_{2}\right| \leq \min \{\sqrt{2(1-\beta)}, \sqrt{(1-\beta)(3-2 \beta)}\}= \begin{cases}\sqrt{2(1-\beta)}, & 0 \leq \beta \leq 1 / 2 ; \\ \sqrt{(1-\beta)(3-2 \beta)}, & 1 / 2 \leq \beta<1 .\end{cases}$
Recall Brannan and Taha's [3, Theorem 3.1] coefficient estimate, $\left|a_{2}\right| \leq$ $\sqrt{2(1-\beta)}$ for functions $f \in \mathcal{S}^{*}{ }_{\sigma}(\beta)$, who claimed that their estimate is better than the estimate $\left|a_{2}\right| \leq 2(1-\beta)$, given by Robertson [28]. But their claim is true only when $0 \leq \beta \leq 1 / 2$. Also it may noted that our estimate for $a_{2}$ given in (2.31) improves the estimate given by Brannan and Taha [3, Theorem 3.1].

Further if we take $\varphi(z)=((1+z) /(1-z))^{\alpha}, 0<\alpha \leq 1$ in Theorem 2.5, we have $B_{1}=2 \alpha$ and $B_{2}=2 \alpha^{2}$. Then we obtain the estimate on $a_{2}$ for functions $f \in \mathcal{S}^{*}{ }_{\sigma, \alpha}$ as:

$$
\left|a_{2}\right| \leq \min \left\{\sqrt{4 \alpha-2 \alpha^{2}}, \sqrt{\alpha^{2}+2 \alpha}, \frac{2 \alpha}{\sqrt{1+\alpha}}\right\}=\frac{2 \alpha}{\sqrt{1+\alpha}}
$$

Note that Brannan and Taha [3, Theorem 2.1] gave the same estimate $\left|a_{2}\right| \leq$ $2 \alpha / \sqrt{1+\alpha}$ for functions $f \in \mathcal{S}^{*}{ }_{\sigma, \alpha}$.

Definition 2.7. A function $f$ given by (1.1) is said to be in the class $K_{\sigma}(\varphi)$, if $f$ and $F$ satisfy the subordinations

$$
1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)} \prec \varphi(z) \text { and } 1+\frac{w F^{\prime \prime}(w)}{F^{\prime}(w)} \prec \varphi(w) .
$$

Note that $\left.K_{\sigma}((1+(1-2 \beta) z) /(1-z))\right)=: K_{\sigma}(\beta) \quad(0 \leq \beta<1)$.
Theorem 2.8. If $f \in K_{\sigma}(\varphi)$, then

$$
\left|a_{2}\right| \leq \min \left\{\sqrt{\frac{B_{1}^{2}+B_{1}+\left|B_{2}-B_{1}\right|}{6}}, \frac{B_{1}}{2}\right\}
$$

and

$$
\left|a_{3}\right| \leq \min \left\{\frac{B_{1}^{2}+B_{1}+\left|B_{2}-B_{1}\right|}{6}, \frac{B_{1}\left(3 B_{1}+2\right)}{12}\right\}
$$

Proof. Since $f \in K_{\sigma}(\varphi)$, there are analytic functions $r, s: \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$, with $r(0)=0=s(0)$, satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}=\varphi(r(z)) \text { and } 1+\frac{w F^{\prime \prime}(w)}{F^{\prime}(w)}=\varphi(s(w)) \tag{2.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $p$ and $q$ be defined as in (2.4), then it is clear from (2.32), (2.6) and (2.7) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}=\varphi\left(\frac{p(z)-1}{p(z)+1}\right) \text { and } 1+\frac{w F^{\prime \prime}(w)}{F^{\prime}(w)}=\varphi\left(\frac{q(w)-1}{q(w)+1}\right) \tag{2.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (2.33), (2.9) and (2.10) that

$$
\begin{align*}
2 a_{2} & =\frac{1}{2} B_{1} p_{1},  \tag{2.34}\\
6 a_{3}=B_{1} p_{1} a_{2}+ & \frac{1}{2} B_{1}\left(p_{2}-\frac{1}{2} p_{1}^{2}\right)+\frac{1}{4} B_{2} p_{1}^{2},  \tag{2.35}\\
-2 a_{2} & =\frac{1}{2} B_{1} q_{1} \tag{2.36}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
6\left(2 a_{2}^{2}-a_{3}\right)=-B_{1} q_{1} a_{2}+\frac{1}{2} B_{1}\left(q_{2}-\frac{1}{2} q_{1}^{2}\right)+\frac{1}{4} B_{2} q_{1}^{2} \tag{2.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now (2.34) and (2.36) yield

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{1}=-q_{1} \tag{2.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
4 a_{2}=\frac{B_{1}\left(p_{1}-q_{1}\right)}{2} \tag{2.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (2.35), (2.37), (2.38) and (2.34), it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
48 a_{2}^{2}=2 B_{1}^{2} p_{1}^{2}+2 B_{1}\left(p_{2}+q_{2}\right)+\left(B_{2}-B_{1}\right)\left(p_{1}^{2}+q_{1}^{2}\right) \tag{2.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

In view of $\left|p_{i}\right| \leq 2$ and $\left|q_{i}\right| \leq 2$ together with (2.39) and (2.40) yield the desired estimate on $a_{2}$ as asserted in the theorem. In order to find $a_{3}$, we subtract (2.35) from (2.37) and use (2.38) to obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
-12 a_{3}=-12 a_{2}^{2}+\frac{B_{1}\left(q_{2}-p_{2}\right)}{2} \tag{2.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now a computation using (2.40) and (2.41) leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
-48 a_{3}=2 B_{1}^{2} p_{1}^{2}-4 B_{2} p_{2}+\left(B_{1}-B_{2}\right)\left(p_{1}^{2}+q_{1}^{2}\right) \tag{2.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (2.39) and (2.41), it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
-12 a_{3}=\frac{B_{1}\left(q_{2}-p_{2}\right)}{2}-\frac{3\left(p_{1}-q_{1}\right)^{2} B_{1}^{2}}{16} . \tag{2.43}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now (2.42) and (2.43) yield the desired estimate on $a_{3}$ as asserted in the theorem.

Remark 2.9. If $f \in K_{\sigma}(\beta)(0 \leq \beta<1)$, then Theorem 2.8 gives

$$
\left|a_{2}\right| \leq \min \left\{\sqrt{\frac{(1-\beta)(3-2 \beta)}{3}}, 1-\beta\right\}=1-\beta
$$

and

$$
\left|a_{3}\right| \leq \min \left\{\frac{(1-\beta)(3-2 \beta)}{3}, \frac{(1-\beta)(4-3 \beta)}{3}\right\}=\frac{(1-\beta)(3-2 \beta)}{3},
$$

which improves the Brannan and Taha's [3, Theorem 4.1] estimates $\left|a_{2}\right| \leq$ $\sqrt{1-\beta}$ and $\left|a_{3}\right| \leq 1-\beta$ for functions $f \in K_{\sigma}(\beta)$.

Theorem 2.10. Let $f \in \sigma$ be given by (1.1). If $f \in \mathcal{K}(\varphi)$ and $F \in \mathcal{R}(\varphi)$, then

$$
\left|a_{2}\right| \leq \sqrt{\frac{3\left[B_{1}+\left|B_{2}-B_{1}\right|\right]}{8}}
$$

and

$$
\left|a_{3}\right| \leq \frac{5\left[B_{1}+\left|B_{2}-B_{1}\right|\right]}{12}
$$

Proof. Since $f \in \mathcal{K}(\varphi)$ and $F \in \mathcal{R}(\varphi)$, there exist two analytic functions $r, s: \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$, with $r(0)=0=s(0)$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}=\varphi(r(z)) \text { and } F^{\prime}(w)=\varphi(s(w)) \tag{2.44}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let the functions $p$ and $q$ are defined by (2.4). It is clear that $p$ and $q$ are analytic in $\mathbb{D}$ and $p(0)=1=q(0)$. Also $p$ and $q$ have positive real part in $\mathbb{D}$, and hence $\left|p_{i}\right| \leq 2$ and $\left|q_{i}\right| \leq 2$. Proceeding as in the proof of Theorem 2.2 it follow from (2.44), (2.9) and (2.10) that

$$
\begin{gather*}
2 a_{2}=\frac{1}{2} B_{1} p_{1} \\
6 a_{3}-4 a_{2}^{2}=  \tag{2.45}\\
\frac{1}{2} B_{1}\left(p_{2}-\frac{1}{2} p_{1}^{2}\right)+\frac{1}{4} B_{2} p_{1}^{2} \\
\\
-2 a_{2}=\frac{1}{2} B_{1} q_{1}
\end{gather*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
3\left(2 a_{2}^{2}-a_{3}\right)=\frac{1}{2} B_{1}\left(q_{2}-\frac{1}{2} q_{1}^{2}\right)+\frac{1}{4} B_{2} q_{1}^{2} \tag{2.46}
\end{equation*}
$$

A computation using (2.45) and (2.46), leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{2}^{2}=\frac{2\left(p_{2}+2 q_{2}\right) B_{1}+\left(p_{1}^{2}+2 q_{1}^{2}\right)\left(B_{2}-B_{1}\right)}{32} \tag{2.47}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{3}=\frac{2\left(3 p_{2}+2 q_{2}\right) B_{1}+\left(3 p_{1}^{2}+2 q_{1}^{2}\right)\left(B_{2}-B_{1}\right)}{48} \tag{2.48}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now the desired estimates on $a_{2}$ and $a_{3}$, follow from (2.47) and (2.48) respectively.

Remark 2.11. If $f \in \mathcal{K}(\beta)$ and $F \in \mathcal{R}(\beta)$, then from Theorem 2.10 we see that

$$
\left|a_{2}\right| \leq \sqrt{3(1-\beta)} / 2 \text { and }\left|a_{3}\right| \leq 5(1-\beta) / 6
$$

In particular if $f \in \mathcal{K}$ and $F \in \mathcal{R}$, then $\left|a_{2}\right| \leq \sqrt{3} / 2 \approx 0.867$ and $\left|a_{3}\right| \leq$ $5 / 6 \approx 0.833$.

Theorem 2.12. Let $f \in \sigma$ be given by (1.1). If $f \in \mathcal{S}^{*}(\varphi)$ and $F \in \mathcal{R}(\varphi)$, then

$$
\left|a_{2}\right| \leq \frac{\sqrt{5\left[B_{1}+\left|B_{2}-B_{1}\right|\right]}}{3}, \text { and }\left|a_{3}\right| \leq \frac{7\left[B_{1}+\left|B_{2}-B_{1}\right|\right]}{9}
$$

Proof. Since $f \in \mathcal{S}^{*}(\varphi)$ and $F \in \mathcal{R}(\varphi)$, there exist two analytic functions $r, s: \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$, with $r(0)=0=s(0)$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}=\varphi(r(z)) \text { and } F^{\prime}(w)=\varphi(s(w)) \tag{2.49}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let the functions $p$ and $q$ be defined as in (2.4). Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}=\varphi\left(\frac{p(z)-1}{p(z)+1}\right) \text { and } F^{\prime}(w)=\varphi\left(\frac{q(w)-1}{q(w)+1}\right) . \tag{2.50}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follow from (2.50), (2.9) and (2.10) that

$$
\begin{gather*}
a_{2}=\frac{1}{2} B_{1} p_{1} \\
2 a_{3}-a_{2}^{2}=\frac{1}{2} B_{1}\left(p_{2}-\frac{1}{2} p_{1}^{2}\right)+\frac{1}{4} B_{2} p_{1}^{2}  \tag{2.51}\\
\\
-2 a_{2}=\frac{1}{2} B_{1} q_{1}  \tag{2.52}\\
3\left(2 a_{2}^{2}-a_{3}\right)= \\
\frac{1}{2} B_{1}\left(q_{2}-\frac{1}{2} q_{1}^{2}\right)+\frac{1}{4} B_{2} q_{1}^{2}
\end{gather*}
$$

A computation using (2.51) and (2.52) leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{2}^{2}=\frac{2\left(3 p_{2}+2 q_{2}\right) B_{1}+\left(3 p_{1}^{2}+2 q_{1}^{2}\right)\left(B_{2}-B_{1}\right)}{36} \tag{2.53}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{3}=\frac{2\left(6 p_{2}+q_{2}\right) B_{1}+\left(6 p_{1}^{2}+q_{1}^{2}\right)\left(B_{2}-B_{1}\right)}{36} \tag{2.54}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now the bounds for $a_{2}$ and $a_{3}$ are obtained from (2.53) and (2.54) respectively using the fact that $\left|p_{i}\right| \leq 2$ and $\left|q_{i}\right| \leq 2$.

Remark 2.13. If $f \in \mathcal{S}^{*}(\beta)$ and $F \in \mathcal{R}(\beta)$, then from Theorem 2.12 it is easy to see that

$$
\left|a_{2}\right| \leq \sqrt{10(1-\beta)} / 3 \text { and }\left|a_{3}\right| \leq 14(1-\beta) / 9
$$

In particular if $f \in \mathcal{S}^{*}$ and $F \in \mathcal{R}$, then $\left|a_{2}\right| \leq \sqrt{10} / 3 \approx 1.054$ and $\left|a_{3}\right| \leq$ $14 / 9 \approx 1.56$.

Theorem 2.14. Let $f \in \sigma$ given by (1.1). If $f \in \mathcal{S}^{*}(\varphi)$ and $F \in \mathcal{K}(\varphi)$, then

$$
\left|a_{2}\right| \leq \sqrt{\frac{B_{1}+\left|B_{2}-B_{1}\right|}{2}}
$$

and

$$
\left|a_{3}\right| \leq \frac{B_{1}+\left|B_{2}-B_{1}\right|}{2}
$$

Proof. Assuming $f \in \mathcal{S}^{*}(\varphi)$ and $F \in \mathcal{K}(\varphi)$ and proceeding in the similar way as in the proof of Theorem 2.10, it is easy to see that

$$
\begin{gather*}
a_{2}=\frac{1}{2} B_{1} p_{1} \\
3 a_{3}-a_{2}^{2}=\frac{1}{2} B_{1}\left(p_{2}-\frac{1}{2} p_{1}^{2}\right)+\frac{1}{4} B_{2} p_{1}^{2}  \tag{2.55}\\
\\
-2 a_{2}=\frac{1}{2} B_{1} q_{1}  \tag{2.56}\\
8 a_{2}^{2}-6 a_{3}= \\
\frac{1}{2} B_{1}\left(q_{2}-\frac{1}{2} q_{1}^{2}\right)+\frac{1}{4} B_{2} q_{1}^{2}
\end{gather*}
$$

A computation using (2.55) and (2.56) leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{2}^{2}=\frac{2\left(2 p_{2}+q_{2}\right) B_{1}+\left(2 p_{1}^{2}+q_{1}^{2}\right)\left(B_{2}-B_{1}\right)}{24} \tag{2.57}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{3}=\frac{2\left(8 p_{2}+q_{2}\right) B_{1}+\left(8 p_{1}^{2}+q_{1}^{2}\right)\left(B_{2}-B_{1}\right)}{72} \tag{2.58}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now using the result $\left|p_{i}\right| \leq 2$ and $\left|q_{i}\right| \leq 2$, the estimates on $a_{2}$ and $a_{3}$ follow from (2.57) and (2.58) respectively.

Remark 2.15. Let $f \in \mathcal{S}^{*}(\beta)$ and $F \in \mathcal{K}(\beta), 0 \leq \beta<1$. Then from Theorem 2.14, it is easy to see that

$$
\left|a_{2}\right| \leq \sqrt{1-\beta} \text { and }\left|a_{3}\right| \leq 1-\beta
$$

In particular if $f \in \mathcal{S}^{*}$ and $F \in \mathcal{K}$, then $\left|a_{2}\right| \leq 1$ and $\left|a_{3}\right| \leq 1$.
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