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#### Abstract

In this paper, we introduce the concept of a Generalized normed space and prove a theorem for existence of an additive mapping in this space. We show that our results extend some of the known results in literature.
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## 1. Introduction and Preliminaries

It is well known that the Ulam's [12] question in 1940: "Under what conditions does there exist an additive mapping near an approximately additive mapping ?", is the origin of the stability problem of functional equations. Many authors have extended, generalized and improved the answer to Ulam's question such as, Hyers [4] in the context of Banach space, K. Ravi, R. Murali and M. Arunkumar [10] for quadratic functional equation, T. Aoki [1] for additive mappings and Th.M. Rassias [8] for linear mappings in 1978 by considering the unbounded Cauchy difference. It states as follows:

[^0]Theorem 1.1. ([8]) Let $E, E^{\prime}$ be two Banach spaces and let $\theta \in[0, \infty)$ and $p \in[0,1)$. If a function $f: E \longrightarrow E^{\prime}$ satisfies the inequality

$$
\|f(x+y)-f(x)-f(y)\| \leq \theta\left[\|x\|^{p}+\|y\|^{p}\right]
$$

for all $x, y \in E$. Then there exists a unique additive mapping $T: E \longrightarrow E^{\prime}$ such that

$$
\|f(x)-T(x)\| \leq \frac{2 \theta}{2-2^{p}}\|x\|^{p}
$$

for all $x \in E$. Moreover, if $f(t x)$ is continuous in $t$ for each fixed $x \in E$ then $T$ is linear.

In the following theorem J. M. Rassias replaced the sum by the product of powers of norms.

Theorem 1.2. ([7]) Let $f: E \longrightarrow E^{\prime}$ be a mapping from a normed vector space $E$ into a Banach space $E^{\prime}$ subject to the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f(x+y)-f(x)-f(y)\| \leq \epsilon\|x\|^{p}\|y\|^{p} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y \in E$, where $\epsilon$ and $p$ are constants with $\epsilon>0$ and $0 \leq p \leq$ $\frac{1}{2}$. Then the limit

$$
L(x)=\lim _{n \longrightarrow \infty} \frac{f\left(2^{n} x\right)}{2^{n}}
$$

exists for all $x \in E$ and $L: E \longrightarrow E^{\prime}$ is the unique additive mapping which satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f(x)-L(x)\| \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2-2^{2 p}}\|x\|^{2 p} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in E$. If $p<0$, then the inequality (1.1) holds for $x, y \neq 0$ and (1.2) for $x \neq 0$. If $p>\frac{1}{2}$ then the inequality (1.1) holds for $x, y \in E$ and the limit

$$
A(x)=\lim 2^{n} f\left(\frac{x}{2^{n}}\right)
$$

exists for all $x \in E$ and $A: E \longrightarrow E^{\prime}$ is the unique additive mapping which satisfies

$$
\|f(x)-A(x)\| \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2^{2 p}-2}\|x\|^{2 p}
$$

for all $x \in E$. If in addition $f: E \longrightarrow E^{\prime}$ is a mapping such that the transformation $t \rightarrow f(t x)$ is continuous in $t \in \mathbb{R}$ for each fixed $x \in E$, then $L$ is $\mathbb{R}$-linear mapping.

Also, the topic of stability of functional equations has been studied by a number of mathematicians (see $[6,2,3,9,5]$ for more detailed information). Before giving the main results, we recall the definition of normed-binary operation and some examples and lemmas were used in [11].

Definition 1.3. ([11])A normed-binary operation is a mapping $\diamond:[0, \infty) \times$ $[0, \infty) \longrightarrow[0, \infty)$ which satisfies the following conditions:
$(i) \diamond$ is associative and commutative,
(ii) $\diamond$ is continuous,
(iii) $a \diamond 0=a$ for all $a \in[0, \infty)$,
(iv) $a \diamond b \leq c \diamond d$ whenever $a \leq c$ and $b \leq d$, for each $a, b, c, d \in[0, \infty)$.

Example 1.4. ([11])Let $a, b \in[0, \infty)$. Five typical examples of $\diamond$ are:
(a) $a \diamond_{1} b=\max \{a, b\}$
(b) $a \diamond_{2} b=\sqrt{a^{2}+b^{2}}$
(c) $a \diamond_{3} b=a+b$
(d) $a \diamond_{4} b=a b+a+b$
(e) $a \diamond_{5} b=(\sqrt{a}+\sqrt{b})^{2}$.

For $a, b \in[0, \infty)$, straight forward calculations lead to the following relations among normed binary operations giving above

$$
a \diamond_{1} b \leq a \diamond_{2} b \leq a \diamond_{3} b \leq a \diamond_{4} b,
$$

and

$$
a \diamond_{3} b \leq a \diamond_{5} b
$$

The follwing lemma defines a normed binary operation exploting some properties of a self map on $[0, \infty)$.

Lemma 1.5. ([11])Let $f:[0, \infty) \longrightarrow[0, \infty)$ be a continuous, onto, and increasing map. Let $\diamond:[0, \infty) \times[0, \infty) \longrightarrow[0, \infty)$ be defined by
$a \diamond b=f^{-1}(f(a)+f(b))$ for $a, b \in[0, \infty)$,
then $\diamond$ is a normed binary operation.
Example 1.6. ([11])Let $f:[0, \infty) \longrightarrow[0, \infty)$ defined by $f(x)=e^{x}-1$. Then $a \diamond b=\operatorname{Ln}\left(e^{a}+e^{b}-1\right)$ for $a, b \in[0, \infty)$ defines a normed binary operation.

We have the following simple observations about normed binary operation.
Lemma 1.7. ([11]) (i) If $r, r^{\prime} \geq 0$, then $r \leq r \diamond r^{\prime}$.
(ii) If $\delta \in(0, r)$, there exist $\delta^{\prime} \in(0, r)$ such that $\delta^{\prime} \diamond \delta<r$.
(iii) For every $\varepsilon>0$, there exists $\delta>0$ such that $\delta \diamond \delta<\varepsilon$.

In this paper all vector spaces are real.
Now we are set to generalize the concept of a normed space.
Definition 1.8. Let $X$ be vector space and $\diamond$ be a binary operation. A generalized norm on $X$ is a function: $N: X \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ that satisfies the following properties:
(1) $N(x) \geq 0$ for each $x$ in $X$,
(2) $N(x)=0$ if and only if $x=0$,
(3) $N(\alpha x)=|\alpha|^{t} N(x)$ for some $t \in(0, \infty)$, for each $x$ in $X$ and every $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$.
(4) $N(x+y) \leq N(x) \diamond N(y)$, for each $x, y \in X$.

The 3-tuple $(X, N, \diamond)$ is called a generalized normed space or a $G$-normed space.

Example 1.9. Let $(X,\|\|$.$) be a normed space, a, b \in[0, \infty)$, and $x \in X$. If we define $\diamond:[0, \infty) \times[0, \infty) \longrightarrow[0, \infty)$,
(i) $a \diamond b=a+b$, and $N$ is defind by $N(x)=\|x\|$, then $(X, N, \diamond)$ is a $G-$ normed space for $t=1$.
(ii) $a \diamond b=\sqrt{a^{2}+b^{2}}$, and $N$ is defined by $N(x)=\sqrt{\|x\|}$, then $(X, N, \diamond)$ is a $G$-normed space for $t=\frac{1}{2}$.
(iii) $a \diamond b=(\sqrt{a}+\sqrt{b})^{2}$, and $N$ is defined by $N(x)=\|x\|^{2}$, then $(X, N, \diamond)$ is a $G$-normed space for $t=2$.

Remark 1.10. From Example 1.9 ( $i$ ), we see that:
every normed space is a $G$ - normed space.
Remark 1.11. In (3) of Definition $1.8 t$ is unique.
Example 1.12. Let $X=\mathbb{R}^{2}$, if we define $\diamond:[0, \infty) \times[0, \infty) \longrightarrow[0, \infty)$ by $a \diamond b=(\sqrt[4]{a}+\sqrt[4]{b})^{4}$ for $a, b \in[0, \infty)$, and define $N: X \longrightarrow R$ by $N(x, y)=x^{4}+y^{4}$ for $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$, then $(X, N, \diamond)$ is a $G$ - normed space for $t=4$.

Definition 1.13. Let $(X, N, \diamond)$ be a $G$-normed space. For $r>0$, the ball $B_{N}(x, r)$ with center $x \in X$ and radius $r$ is defined by

$$
B_{N}(x, r)=\{y \in X: N(x-y)<r\} .
$$

Definition 1.14. Let $(X, N, \diamond)$ be a $G$-normed space. A subset $A \subseteq X$ is open if for every $x \in A$, there exists $r>0$ such that $B_{N}(x, r) \subseteq A$.

Let $\tau$ be the set of all open subsets $A \subseteq X$. It can be verified that $\tau$ is a topology on $X$, called a topology induced by generalized norme $N$.

Lemma 1.15. Let $(X, N, \diamond)$ be a $G$-normed space. Then
(i) $N(a x) \leq N(x)$ for all real scalars a with $|a| \leq 1$.
(ii) if $X$ is convex, then we get

$$
N(a x+(1-a) y) \leq N(x) \diamond N(y)
$$

for all $x, y \in X$ and every $a \in(0,1)$.
Proof. Proof immediately follows from Definition 1.8.
Definition 1.16. Let $(X, N, \diamond)$ be a $G$-normed space. A sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ in $X$ is said to be convergent to $x \in X$ if for each $\epsilon>0$ there exists $n_{0} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that
$n \geq n_{0} \Longrightarrow N\left(x_{n}-x\right)<\epsilon$.
We denote this by $N\left(x_{n}-x\right) \longrightarrow 0$ as $n \longrightarrow \infty$ or $\lim _{n \longrightarrow \infty} x_{n}=x$.
Definition 1.17. Let $(X, N, \diamond)$ be a $G$ - normed space. A sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ in $X$ is called a Cauchy sequence if for each $\epsilon>0$, there exists $n_{0} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $N\left(x_{n}-x_{m}\right)<\epsilon$ for each $n, m \geq n_{0}$.

The generalized normed space $(X, N, \diamond)$ is said to be generalized Banach space or $G$ - Banach space if every Cauchy sequence is convergent in $X$.

Now we prove the following basic lemmas needed in the sequel.
Lemma 1.18. Let $(X, N, \diamond)$ be a $G$-normed space. If $r>0$, then the ball $B_{N}(x, r)$ is open.

Proof. Let $y \in B_{N}(x, r)$, so that we have $N(x-y)<r$. Put, $N(x-y)=\delta$ then by Lemma 1.7 there exists $\delta^{\prime}>0$ such that $\delta^{\prime} \diamond \delta<r$. Now, we prove that $B_{N}\left(y, \delta^{\prime}\right) \subseteq B_{N}(x, r)$. For this, let $z \in B_{N}\left(y, \delta^{\prime}\right)$. By triangle inequality we have

$$
N(x-z) \leq N(x-y) \diamond N(y-z)<\delta \diamond \delta^{\prime}<r
$$

This implies that

$$
B_{N}\left(y, \delta^{\prime}\right) \subseteq B_{N}(x, r)
$$

Hence $B_{N}(x, r)$ is an open set.
Lemma 1.19. Every $G$ - normed space $(X, N, \diamond)$ is a Hausdorff space.

Proof. Let $x, y \in X$ and $x \neq y$. If we set $N(x-y)=r$ then for $0<\delta<r$ by Lemma 1.7 there exists $0<\delta^{\prime}<r$ such that $\delta^{\prime} \diamond \delta<r$. We prove that $B_{N}(x, \delta) \cap B_{N}\left(y, \delta^{\prime}\right)=\varnothing$. Let $z \in B_{N}(x, \delta) \cap B_{N}\left(y, \delta^{\prime}\right)$. Now, by triangle inequality, we get that

$$
r=N(x-y) \leq N(x-z) \diamond N(z-y)<\delta \diamond \delta^{\prime}<r
$$

which is a contradiction. Hence $(X, N, \diamond)$ is a Hausdorff space.
Lemma 1.20. Let $(X, N, \diamond)$ be a $G$-normed space, then every convergent sequence in $X$ is Cauchy in $X$.

Proof. Let $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ be a sequence in $X$ which converges to $x \in X$. For $\epsilon>0$, by Lemma 1.7 we can choose a $\delta>0$ such that $\delta \diamond \delta<\epsilon$. Since $x_{n} \longrightarrow x$, there exists $n_{0} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for every $n \geq n_{0}$, we obtain that $N\left(x_{n}-x\right)<\delta$.

Thus for every $n, m \geq n_{0}$, we have

$$
N\left(x_{n}-x_{m}\right) \leq N\left(x_{n}-x\right) \diamond N\left(x-x_{m}\right)<\delta \diamond \delta<\epsilon
$$

Hence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is a Cauchy sequence.
Lemma 1.21. Let $(X, N, \diamond)$ be a $G$-normed space, then
addition $+: X \times X \longrightarrow X$ defined by $+(x, y)=x+y$ and scalar multiplication $\cdot: \mathbb{R} \times X \longrightarrow X$ defined by $\cdot(\alpha, x)=\alpha \cdot x$ are continuous.

Proof. First we prove continuity of addition. Let $x_{n} \longrightarrow x, y_{n} \longrightarrow y$. By Lemma 1.7 for each $\epsilon>0$ there exists $\delta>0$ such that $\delta \diamond \delta<\epsilon$. Also, there exists $n_{0} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$
n \geq n_{0} \Longrightarrow N\left(x_{n}-x\right)<\delta
$$

and

$$
n \geq n_{0} \Longrightarrow N\left(y_{n}-y\right)<\delta
$$

By triangle inequality we have

$$
N\left(\left(x_{n}+y_{n}\right)-(x+y)\right) \leq N\left(x_{n}-x\right) \diamond N\left(y_{n}-y\right)<\delta \diamond \delta<\epsilon
$$

Now we prove that scalar multiplication is continuous. Let $\alpha_{n} \longrightarrow \alpha$, and $x_{n} \longrightarrow x\left(\right.$ which means that $\left.\lim _{n \longrightarrow \infty} N\left(x_{n}-x\right)=0\right)$.

Triangle inequality gives that
$N\left(\alpha_{n} \cdot x_{n}-\alpha \cdot x\right)=N\left(\alpha_{n} \cdot\left(x_{n}-x\right)+\left(\alpha_{n}-\alpha\right) \cdot x\right) \leq\left|\alpha_{n}\right|^{t} N\left(x_{n}-x\right) \diamond\left|\alpha_{n}-\alpha\right|^{t} N(x)$. and so

$$
\limsup _{n \longrightarrow \infty} N\left(\alpha_{n} \cdot x_{n}-\alpha \cdot x\right) \leq \lim _{n \longrightarrow \infty}\left|\alpha_{n}\right|^{t} N\left(x_{n}-x\right) \diamond \lim _{n \longrightarrow \infty}\left|\alpha_{n}-\alpha\right|^{t} N(x)=0 .
$$

Hence

$$
\lim _{n \longrightarrow \infty} \alpha_{n} \cdot x_{n}=\alpha \cdot x
$$

Example 1.22. Let $a \diamond b=\max \{a, b\}$, then there is not any $t \in(0, \infty)$ such that

$$
N(\alpha \cdot x)=|\alpha|^{t} \cdot N(x)
$$

Because If we assume that (on contrary), there exists $t \in(0, \infty)$ and $N(\alpha \cdot x)=$ $|\alpha|^{t} \cdot N(x)$. Then by taking $\alpha=2$ we obtain:

$$
|2|^{t} \cdot N(x)=N(2 x)=N(x+x) \leq N(x) \diamond N(x)=N(x),
$$

which is a contradiction.
Henceforth, we assume that the normed binary operation $\diamond$ on $[0, \infty) \times$ $[0, \infty)$ satisfy the following properties:
$(P I): \alpha \cdot(a \diamond b)=\alpha \cdot a \diamond \alpha \cdot b$ for every $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^{+}$and
$(P I I)$ : there exists $h \geq 0$ such that $1 \diamond 1 \diamond \cdots \diamond 1 \leq n^{h}$, for every $n \in N$.
In the following example, we give some normed binary operations $\diamond$ on $[0, \infty) \times[0, \infty)$ with properties $(P I)$ and $P(I I)$.

Example 1.23. Let $a \diamond b=\max \{a, b\}$ or $a \diamond b=\sqrt{a^{2}+b^{2}}$ or $a \diamond b=a+b$ or $a \diamond b=(\sqrt{a}+\sqrt{b})^{2}$
then in each case, $\diamond$ satisfies properties $(P I)$ and (PII).
The next example includes a normed binary operation $\diamond$ on $[0, \infty) \times[0, \infty)$ which does not satisfy $(P I)$ and $P(I I)$ properties.

Example 1.24. Define $\diamond:[0, \infty) \times[0, \infty) \longrightarrow[0, \infty)$ by $a \diamond b=a+b+a b$, for $a, b \in[0, \infty)$. Obviously $\diamond$ is not have $(P I)$ and $(P I I)$ properties.

## 2. Main Results

In the rest of this paper, we will assume that $\left(X, N^{\prime}, \diamond\right)$ is $G$ - normed space and $(Y, N, \diamond)$ is $G-$ Banach space.

Let $\phi$ be a function from $X \times X$ to $X$. A mapping $f: X \longrightarrow Y$ is called a $\phi$-approximately Cauchy function, if

$$
\begin{equation*}
N(f(x+y)-f(x)-f(y)) \leq N^{\prime}(\phi(x, y)) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y \in X$.
Example 2.1. Let $X=Y=\mathbb{R}$ and $N, N^{\prime}$ be usual norm. Let $\phi$ be a function from $X \times X \longrightarrow X$ defined by $\phi(x, y)=x y\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right)$.

Let mapping $f: \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is defined by $f(x)=\sin x$. Then one can easily see that $f$ is a $\phi$-approximately Cauchy function, because:

$$
\begin{aligned}
|f(x+y)-f(x)-f(y)| & =\left|-4 \sin \left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) \cdot \sin \frac{x}{2} \cdot \sin \frac{y}{2}\right| \\
& \leq 4\left|\frac{x+y}{2} \cdot \frac{x}{2} \cdot \frac{y}{2}\right| \\
& =\frac{|x+y||x y|}{2}=|\phi(x, y)|
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$.
Hence $f: \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a $\phi$-approximately Cauchy function.
In the sequel, all binary operation $\diamond$ satisfy $(P I)$ and $(P I I)$ properties.
Theorem 2.2. Let $\phi: X \times X \longrightarrow X$ be a function and $f: X \longrightarrow Y$ be a $\phi$ - approximately Cauchy function and for some $0<\alpha<\frac{1}{2}$ assume that,

$$
N^{\prime}\left(\phi\left(\frac{x}{2}, \frac{y}{2}\right)\right) \leq N^{\prime}(\alpha \phi(x, y))
$$

then there exists an additive mapping $T: X \longrightarrow Y$.
Morover, if $a \diamond b \leq a+b$ for every $a, b \in[0, \infty)$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
N(f(x)-T(x)) \leq \frac{\alpha^{t}}{1-(2 \alpha)^{t}} N^{\prime}(\phi(x, x)) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X$ and $T$ is unique.

Proof. Since f is $\phi$ - approximately Cauchy function, put $x=y$ in (2.1) to obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
N(f(2 x)-2 f(x)) \leq N^{\prime}(\phi(x, x)) \quad(x \in X) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Replacing $x$ by $2^{-n-1} x$ in inequality (2.3) we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
N\left(f\left(\frac{x}{2^{n}}\right)-2 f\left(\frac{x}{2^{n+1}}\right) \leq\right. & N^{\prime}\left(\phi\left(\frac{x}{2^{n+1}}, \frac{x}{2^{n+1}}\right)\right) \\
\leq & N^{\prime}\left(\alpha \phi\left(\frac{x}{2^{n}}, \frac{x}{2^{n}}\right)\right) \\
\leq & |\alpha|^{t} N^{\prime}\left(\phi\left(\frac{x}{2^{n}}, \frac{x}{2^{n}}\right)\right) \\
& \vdots \\
\leq & |\alpha|^{n t} N^{\prime}(\phi(x, x))
\end{aligned}
$$

If set $a_{n}(x)=2^{n} f\left(2^{-n} x\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
N\left(a_{n}(x)-a_{n+1}(x)\right) & =N\left(2^{n} f\left(2^{-n} x\right)-2^{n+1} f\left(2^{-n-1} x\right)\right) \\
& =2^{n t} N\left(f\left(2^{-n} x\right)-2 f\left(2^{-n-1} x\right)\right) \\
& \leq|2 \alpha|^{n t} N^{\prime}(\phi(x, x))
\end{aligned}
$$

Also for $n \leq m(n, m \in \mathbb{N})$

$$
\begin{aligned}
N\left(a_{m}(x)-a_{n}(x)\right) \leq & N\left(a_{n+1}(x)-a_{n}(x)\right) \diamond N\left(a_{n+2}(x)-a_{n+1}(x)\right) \\
& \diamond \cdots \diamond N\left(a_{m}(x)-a_{m-1}(x)\right) \\
\leq & |2 \alpha|^{n t} N^{\prime}(\phi(x, x)) \diamond|2 \alpha|^{(n+1) t} N^{\prime}(\phi(x, x)) \\
& \diamond \cdots \diamond|2 \alpha|^{(m-1) t} N^{\prime}(\phi(x, x)) \\
\leq & |2 \alpha|^{n t} N^{\prime}(\phi(x, x))(\underbrace{1 \diamond 1 \diamond \cdots 1}_{(m-1)-n}) \\
\leq & |2 \alpha|^{n t} N^{\prime}(\phi(x, x))(\underbrace{1 \diamond 1 \diamond \cdots 1}_{m}) \\
\leq & |2 \alpha|^{n t} N^{\prime}(\phi(x, x)) \cdot m^{h}
\end{aligned}
$$

It is easy to see that for every $m \geq n$, there exists $s>0$ such that $m \leq n^{s}$. Thus

$$
N\left(a_{m}(x)-a_{n}(x)\right) \leq|2 \alpha|^{n t} \cdot n^{s} \cdot N^{\prime}(\phi(x, x)) \longrightarrow 0
$$

Which implies that $a_{n}(x)=2^{n} f\left(2^{-n} x\right)$ is a Cauchy sequence. Since $Y$ is $G-$ Banach space, hence, for every $x \in X$ there exists $y_{v} \in Y$ such that $\lim _{n \longrightarrow \infty} a_{n}(x)=y_{v}(x)$. Indeed we can define a mapping $T: X \longrightarrow Y$ by $T(x)=\lim _{n \longrightarrow \infty} a_{n}(x)$. That is

$$
\lim _{n \longrightarrow \infty} N\left(2^{n} f\left(2^{-n} x\right)-T(x)\right)=0(x \in X) .
$$

Now, we show that $T$ is an additive mapping. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
N(T(x+y)-T x-T y) \leq & N\left(T(x+y)-2^{n} f\left(2^{-n}(x+y)\right)\right) \\
& \diamond N\left(2^{n} f\left(2^{-n} x\right)-T x\right) \diamond N\left(2^{n} f\left(2^{-n} y-T y\right)\right. \\
& \diamond N\left(2 ^ { n } f \left(2^{-n}(x+y)-2^{n} f\left(2^{-n} x\right)-2^{n} f\left(2^{-n} y\right)\right.\right. \\
\leq & N\left(T(x+y)-2^{n} f\left(2^{-n}(x+y)\right) \diamond N\left(2^{n} f\left(2^{-n} x\right)-T x\right)\right. \\
& \diamond N\left(2^{n} f\left(2^{-n} y-T y\right) \diamond|2 \alpha|^{n t} N^{\prime}(\phi(x, y)) .\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

As $n \longrightarrow \infty$ we get

$$
N(T(x+y)-T x-T y) \longrightarrow 0
$$

Hence $T(x+y)=T(x)+T(y)$. Now we show that the mapping $T$ satisfies in the inequality (2.2).

We have

$$
N(f(x)-T(x)) \leq N\left(f(x)-2^{n} f\left(2^{-n} x\right)\right) \diamond N\left(2^{n} f\left(2^{-n} x\right)-T(x)\right)
$$

Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
N\left(f(x)-2^{n} f\left(2^{-n} x\right)\right)= & N\left(\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} 2^{i} f\left(2^{-i} x\right)-2^{i+1} f\left(2^{-i-1} x\right)\right) \\
\leq & N\left(f(x)-2 f\left(2^{-1} x\right)\right) \diamond N\left(2 f\left(2^{-1} x\right)-2^{2} f\left(2^{-2} x\right)\right) \\
& \diamond N\left(2^{n-1} f\left(2^{n-1} x\right)-2^{n} f\left(2^{-n} x\right)\right) \\
\leq & N^{\prime}\left(\phi\left(\frac{x}{2}, \frac{x}{2}\right)\right) \diamond 2^{t} N^{\prime}\left(\phi\left(\frac{x}{4}, \frac{x}{4}\right)\right) \diamond 2^{2 t} N^{\prime}\left(\phi\left(\frac{x}{8}, \frac{x}{8}\right)\right) \\
& \diamond \cdots \diamond 2^{(n-1) t} N^{\prime}\left(\phi\left(\frac{x}{2^{n}}, \frac{x}{2^{n}}\right)\right) \\
\leq & \alpha^{t} N^{\prime}(\phi(x, x)) \diamond 2^{t} \alpha^{2 t} N^{\prime}(\phi(x, x)) \diamond 2^{2 t} \alpha^{3 t} N^{\prime}(\phi(x, x)) \\
& \diamond \cdots \diamond 2^{(n-1) t} \alpha^{n t} N^{\prime}(\phi(x, x)) \\
\leq & \alpha^{t} N^{\prime}(\phi(x, x))\left(1 \diamond(2 \alpha)^{t} \diamond(2 \alpha)^{2 t} \diamond \cdots \diamond(2 \alpha)^{(n-1) t}\right. \\
\leq & \alpha^{t} N^{\prime}(\phi(x, x))\left(1+(2 \alpha)^{t}+(2 \alpha)^{2 t}+\cdots+(2 \alpha)^{(n-1) t}\right) \\
\leq & \frac{\alpha^{t}}{1-(2 \alpha)^{t}} N^{\prime}(\phi(x, x)) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore

$$
N(f(x)-T(x)) \leq \frac{\alpha^{t}}{1-(2 \alpha)^{t}} N^{\prime}(\phi(x, x)) \diamond N\left(2^{n} f\left(2^{-n} x\right)-T(x)\right) .
$$

As $n$ tends to infinity we have

$$
N(f(x)-T(x)) \leq \frac{\alpha^{t}}{1-(2 \alpha)^{t}} N^{\prime}(\phi(x, x)) .
$$

For uniqueness, suppose $T^{\prime}: X \longrightarrow X$ is another additive mapping such that $T^{\prime} \neq T$ and

$$
N\left(f(x)-T^{\prime}(x)\right) \leq \frac{\alpha^{t}}{1-(2 \alpha)^{t}} N^{\prime}(\phi(x, x))
$$

for every $x \in X$.
Also, since $T$ and $T^{\prime}$ are additive we have

$$
T(x)=2^{n} T\left(2^{-n} x\right) \text { and } T^{\prime}(x)=2^{n} T^{\prime}\left(2^{-n} x\right)
$$

Hence we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
N\left(T x-T^{\prime} x\right) & \leq N\left(2^{n} T\left(2^{-n} x\right)-2^{n} f\left(2^{-n} x\right)\right) \diamond N\left(2^{n} f\left(2^{-n} x\right)-2^{n} T^{\prime}\left(2^{-n} x\right)\right) \\
& \leq 2^{n t} N\left(T\left(2^{-n} x\right)-f\left(2^{-n} x\right)\right) \diamond 2^{n t} N\left(f\left(2^{-n} x\right)-T^{\prime}\left(2^{-n} x\right)\right) \\
& \leq 2^{n t} \frac{\alpha^{t}}{1-(2 \alpha)^{t}} N^{\prime}\left(\phi\left(\frac{x}{2^{n}}, \frac{x}{2^{n}}\right)\right) \diamond 2^{n t} \frac{\alpha^{t}}{1-(2 \alpha)^{t}} N^{\prime}\left(\phi\left(\frac{x}{2^{n}}, \frac{x}{2^{n}}\right)\right) \\
& \leq 2^{n t} \frac{\alpha^{t}}{1-(2 \alpha)^{t}} \cdot \alpha^{n t} N^{\prime}(\phi(x, x)) \diamond 2^{n t} \frac{\alpha^{t}}{1-(2 \alpha)^{t}} \cdot \alpha^{n t} N^{\prime}(\phi(x, x)) \\
& \leq(2 \alpha)^{n t} \frac{\alpha^{t}}{1-(2 \alpha)^{t}} N^{\prime}(\phi(x, x))(1 \diamond 1) \longrightarrow 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

It follwes that $T=T^{\prime}$.
Corollary 2.3. Let $\left(X,\|\cdot\|_{2}\right)$ be normed space and $\left(Y,\|\cdot\|_{1}\right)$ be Banach Space. Let $\phi$ be a function from $X \times X$ to $X$, and mapping $f: X \longrightarrow Y$ be a $\phi$-approximately Cauchy function. If for some $0<\alpha<\frac{1}{2}$ assume that,

$$
\left\|\phi\left(\frac{x}{2}, \frac{y}{2}\right)\right\|_{2} \leq\|\alpha \phi(x, y)\|_{2}
$$

then there exists a unique additive mapping $T: X \longrightarrow Y$ such that

$$
\|f(x)-T(x)\|_{1} \leq \frac{\alpha}{1-2 \alpha}\|\phi(x, x)\|_{2}
$$

for all $x \in X$.
Proof. If we take $\left(X,\|\cdot\|_{2}\right)=\left(X, N^{\prime}, \diamond\right)$ and $\left(Y,\|\cdot\|_{1}\right)=(Y, N, \diamond)$ we get the proof by Theorem 2.2 and Remark 1.10.

Example 2.4. Let $X=Y=\mathbb{R}$ and $N, N^{\prime}$ be usual norm. Let $\phi$ be a function from $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by $\phi(x, y)=x y\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right)$. Let $f: \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is defind by $f(x)=\sin x$. By Example $2.1 f$ is a $\phi$-approximately Cauchy function and

$$
\left|\phi\left(\frac{x}{2}, \frac{y}{2}\right)\right| \leq|\alpha \phi(x, y)| \quad \text { for } \frac{1}{8} \leq \alpha<\frac{1}{2} .
$$

Hence all conditions of Corollary 2.3 are hold. So there exists a unique additive mapping $T: \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$
|f(x)-T(x)| \leq \frac{\alpha}{1-2 \alpha}\left|x^{3}\right|
$$

where

$$
T(x)=\lim _{n \longrightarrow \infty} 2^{n} f\left(2^{-n} x\right)=\lim _{n \longrightarrow \infty} 2^{n} \sin \left(\frac{x}{2^{n}}\right)=x
$$

And

$$
|f(x)-T(x)|=|\sin x-x| \leq \frac{\alpha}{1-2 \alpha}\left|x^{3}\right|
$$

We show that corollary (2.3) extends the theorems 1.1 ([8]) and 1.2 ([7]).
Corollary 2.5. Let $X, X^{\prime}$ be two Banach spaces and let $\theta \in[0, \infty)$. If a function $f: X \longrightarrow X^{\prime}$ satisfies the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f(x+y)-f(x)-f(y)\| \leq \theta\left[\|x\|^{p}+\|y\|^{p}\right] \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Then there exists a unique additive mapping $T: X \longrightarrow X^{\prime}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f(x)-T(x)\| \leq \frac{2 \theta}{2^{p}-2}\|x\|^{p}, \text { for } p>1 \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

And

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f(x)-T(x)\| \leq \frac{2 \theta}{2-2^{p}}\|x\|^{p}, \quad \text { for } 0<p<1 \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. We show that, if set

$$
\phi(x, y)= \begin{cases}0 & (x, y)=(0,0) \\ \frac{\theta}{\|x\|+\|y\|} \cdot\left(\|x\|^{p}+\|y\|^{p}\right)(x+y) & (x, y) \neq(0,0)\end{cases}
$$

Then, all conditions of corollary (2.3) are established. Case of $(x, y)=$ $(0,0)$ is obviously. In case of $(x, y) \neq(0,0)$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|f(x+y)-f(x)-f(y)\| & \leq\|\phi(x, y)\| \\
& =\frac{\theta}{\|x\|+\|y\|} \cdot\left(\|x\|^{p}+\|y\|^{p}\right)\|x+y\| \\
& \leq \theta \cdot\left(\|x\|^{p}+\|y\|^{p}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Also we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\phi\left(\frac{x}{2}, \frac{y}{2}\right)\right\| & =\frac{\theta}{\|x\|+\|y\|} \cdot\left(\|x\|^{p}+\|y\|^{p}\right) \cdot\|x+y\| \cdot \frac{1}{2^{p}} \\
& =\theta \cdot\left(\|x\|^{p}+\|y\|^{p}\right) \cdot\|x+y\| \cdot \frac{1}{2^{p}} \\
& =\frac{1}{2^{p}}\|\phi(x, y)\|
\end{aligned}
$$

i) Let $p>1$. If set $\alpha=\frac{1}{2^{p}}$, then $\alpha<\frac{1}{2}$ and by corollary (2.3) there exists an additive mapping $T: X \longrightarrow Y$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|f(x)-T(x)\| & \leq \frac{\alpha}{1-2 \alpha}\|\phi(x, x)\| \\
& =\frac{\frac{1}{2^{p}}}{1-\frac{2}{2^{p}}} \cdot \frac{\theta}{2\|x\|} \cdot 2\|x\|^{p} \cdot\|2 x\| \\
& =\frac{2 \theta}{2^{p}-2}\|x\|^{p}
\end{aligned}
$$

ii) Let $0<p<1$. If set $\alpha=\frac{2^{p}}{4}$, then $\alpha<\frac{1}{2}$ and by corollary (2.3) there exists an additive mapping $T: X \longrightarrow Y$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|f(x)-T(x)\| & \leq \frac{\alpha}{1-2 \alpha}\|\phi(x, x)\| \\
& =\frac{\frac{2^{p}}{4}}{1-2 \cdot \frac{2^{p}}{4}} \cdot \frac{\theta}{2\|x\|} \cdot 2\|x\|^{p} \cdot\|2 x\| \\
& =\frac{2^{p}}{4-2.2^{p}} \cdot 2 \theta\|x\|^{p} \\
& =\frac{2^{p-1}}{2-2^{p}} \cdot 2 \theta\|x\|^{p} \leq \frac{2 \theta}{2-2^{p}}\|x\|^{p} . \quad(\text { By considering } p<1 .)
\end{aligned}
$$

Corollary 2.6. Let $f: X \longrightarrow X^{\prime}$ be a mapping from a normed vector space $X$ into a Banach space $X^{\prime}$ subject to the inequality

$$
\|f(x+y)-f(x)-f(y)\| \leq \epsilon\|x\|^{p}\|y\|^{p}
$$

for all $x, y \in X$, where $\epsilon>0$.
If $p>\frac{1}{2}$, then there exists $T: X \longrightarrow X^{\prime}$ such that

$$
T(x)=\lim _{n \longrightarrow \infty} 2^{n} f\left(\frac{x}{2^{n}}\right)
$$

for all $x \in X$ and $T: X \longrightarrow X^{\prime}$ is the unique additive mapping which satisfies

$$
\|f(x)-T(x)\| \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2^{2 p}-2}\|x\|^{2 p}
$$

If $0<p<\frac{1}{2}$, then there exists $T: X \longrightarrow X^{\prime}$ such that

$$
T(x)=\lim _{n \longrightarrow \infty} 2^{n} f\left(\frac{x}{2^{n}}\right)
$$

for all $x \in X$ and $T: X \longrightarrow X^{\prime}$ is the unique additive mapping which satisfies

$$
\|f(x)-T(x)\| \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2-2^{2 p}}\|x\|^{2 p}
$$

Proof. We show that if set

$$
\phi(x, y)= \begin{cases}0 & (x, y)=(0,0) \\ \frac{\epsilon}{\|x\|^{1-p}+\|y\|^{1-p}}\left(x\|y\|^{p}+y\|x\|^{p}\right) & (x, y) \neq(0,0)\end{cases}
$$

Then all conditions of corollary (2.3) are established.
Case of $(x, y)=(0,0)$ is obviously. In case of $(x, y) \neq(0,0)$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|f(x+y)-f(x)-f(y)\| & \leq\|\phi(x, y)\| \\
& \leq \frac{\epsilon}{\|x\|^{1-p}+\|y\|^{1-p}} \cdot\left\|\left(x\|y\|^{p}+y\|x\|^{p}\right)\right\| \\
& \leq \frac{\epsilon}{\|x\|^{1-p}+\|y\|^{1-p}} \cdot\|x\|^{p}\|y\|^{p} \cdot\left(\|x\|^{1-p}+\|y\|^{1-p}\right) \\
& \leq \epsilon\|x\|^{p}\|y\|^{p} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\phi\left(\frac{x}{2}, \frac{y}{2}\right)\right\| & =\frac{\epsilon 2^{1-p}}{\|x\|^{1-p}+\|y\|^{1-p}} \cdot\left\|\left(x\|y\|^{p}+y\|x\|^{p}\right)\right\| \cdot \frac{1}{2^{p+1}} \\
& =\frac{1}{2^{2 p}} \cdot \frac{\epsilon}{\|x\|^{1-p}+\|y\|^{1-p}} \cdot\left\|\left(x\|y\|^{p}+y\|x\|^{p}\right)\right\| \\
& =\frac{1}{2^{2 p}} \cdot\|\phi(x, y)\| .
\end{aligned}
$$

i) Let $p>\frac{1}{2}$. If set $\alpha=\frac{1}{2^{2 p}}$, then $\alpha<\frac{1}{2}$ and by corollary (2.3) there exists an additive mapping $T: X \longrightarrow Y$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|f(x)-T(x)\| & \leq \frac{\alpha}{1-2 \alpha}\|\phi(x, x)\| \\
& =\frac{\frac{1}{2^{2 p}}}{1-\frac{1}{2^{2 p}}} \cdot \frac{\epsilon}{2\|x\|^{1-p}} \cdot 2\|x\|^{1+p} \\
& =\frac{\epsilon}{2^{2 p}-2}\|x\|^{2 p}
\end{aligned}
$$

ii) Let $0<p<\frac{1}{2}$. If set $\alpha=\frac{2^{2 p}}{4}$, then $\alpha<\frac{1}{2}$ and by corollary (2.3) there exists an additive mapping $T: X \longrightarrow Y$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|f(x)-T(x)\| & \leq \frac{\alpha}{1-2 \alpha}\|\phi(x, x)\| \\
& =\frac{\frac{2^{2 p}}{4}}{1-\frac{2^{2 p}}{4}} \cdot \epsilon\|x\|^{2 p} \\
& =\frac{2^{2 p}}{4-2.2^{2 p}} \cdot \epsilon\|x\|^{2 p} \\
& =\frac{2^{2 p-1}}{2-2^{2 p}} \cdot \epsilon\|x\|^{2 p} \\
& \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2-2^{2 p}}\|x\|^{2 p} \quad\left(\text { By considering } p<\frac{1}{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$
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