

Distortion Inequalities for the Dziok-Srivastava Linear Operator Involving the Generalized Hypergeometric Function*

S. P. Goyal[†] and Manita Bhagtani[‡]

*Department of Mathematics, University of Rajasthan
Jaipur 302004, Rajasthan, India*

Received December 4, 2006, Accepted January 31, 2007.

Abstract

The object of the present paper is to discuss the coefficient estimates for multivalent functions belonging to the starlike and convex classes. Further, by using the Dziok-Srivastava linear operator, several distortion inequalities for these classes are also established.

Keywords and Phrases: *Analytic functions, Multivalent functions, Dziok-Srivastava linear operator, Starlike functions, Convex functions, Generalized hypergeometric functions, Distortion inequalities, Hadamard product (or Convolution).*

1. Introduction

Let A_p denote the class of functions of the form:

$$f(z) = z^p + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{p+n} z^{p+n} \quad (p \in \mathbb{N} := \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}) \quad (1.1)$$

which are *analytic* and *p-valent* in the open unit disk

$$U = \{ z : z \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and } |z| < 1 \}.$$

* 2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 30C45; Secondary 33C20.

[†] E-mail: spgoyal1949@rediffmail.com

[‡] E-mail: manitabhagtani@rediffmail.com

A function $f \in A_p$ is said to be p -valent *starlike* function of order α ($0 \leq \alpha < p$), if and only if

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{z f'(z)}{f(z)} \right\} > \alpha \quad (z \in U). \quad (1.2)$$

We denote this class by $S_p^*(\alpha)$. On the other hand a function $f \in A_p$ is called p -valent *convex* function of order α ($0 \leq \alpha < p$), if and only if

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ 1 + \frac{z f''(z)}{f'(z)} \right\} > \alpha \quad (z \in U). \quad (1.3)$$

We shall denote this class by $C_p(\alpha)$.

Further, we suppose that

$$\begin{aligned} h_p[(a_s);(b_t);z] &= z^p {}_sF_t(a_1, \dots, a_s; b_1, \dots, b_t; z) \\ &= z^p + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} B_{p,n}^{(a_s),(b_t)} z^{p+n} \end{aligned} \quad (1.4)$$

$$(s \leq t+1; a_i \in \mathbb{R}; b_j \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_0^-; \mathbb{Z}_0^- = \{0, -1, -2, \dots\}; i=1, \dots, s; j=1, \dots, t; z \in U)$$

where ${}_sF_t$ is the well-known generalized hypergeometric function and

$$B_{p,n}^{(a_s),(b_t)} = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^s (a_i)_n}{\prod_{j=1}^t (b_j)_n (n)}. \quad (1.5)$$

Corresponding to the function $h_p[(a_s);(b_t);z]$, Dziok and Srivastava [1, p.3, Eq.(3)] introduced what is now well-known as the Dziok-Srivastava linear operator $H_p[(a_s);(b_t)]$ defined by the convolution

$$H_p[(a_s);(b_t)]f(z) = h_p[(a_s);(b_t);z] * f(z) \quad (f \in A_p), \quad (1.6)$$

or, equivalently, by

$$H_p [(a_s); (b_t)] f(z) = z^p + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} B_{p,n}^{(a_s), (b_t)} a_{p+n} z^{p+n} \quad (z \in U), \quad (1.7)$$

where the Hadamard product (convolution) of two analytic multivalent functions $f(z)$ given by (1.1) and

$$g(z) = z^p + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_{p+n} z^{p+n},$$

is denoted by $(f * g)(z)$ and is defined by

$$(f * g)(z) = z^p + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{p+n} b_{p+n} z^{p+n}. \quad (1.8)$$

2. Coefficient Estimates

Our result for the coefficient estimates of functions $f \in S_p^*(\alpha)$ is contained in

Theorem 1. *If $f \in S_p^*(\alpha)$, then*

$$|a_{p+n}| \leq \frac{1}{(n)!} \left[\prod_{j=2p}^{2p+n-1} (j - 2\alpha) \right] \quad (n \geq 1). \quad (2.1)$$

Proof. Let us define the function $q(z)$ by

$$q(z) = \frac{1}{(p-\alpha)} \left[\frac{z f'(z)}{f(z)} - \alpha \right] \quad (0 \leq \alpha < p) \quad (2.2)$$

for $f \in S_p^*(\alpha)$. Then $q(z)$ is analytic in U , $q(0) = 1$ and $\operatorname{Re}\{q(z)\} > 0$ ($z \in U$).

On substituting the value of $f(z)$ from (1.1) in (2.2), we find that

$$q(z) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} q_n z^n. \quad (2.3)$$

Obviously, $|q_n| \leq 2$ ($n \geq 1$). (2.4)

Since $z f'(z) - \alpha f(z) = (p - \alpha)q(z)f(z)$,

therefore

$$n a_{p+n} = (p - \alpha)[q_n + a_{p+1} q_{n-1} + a_{p+2} q_{n-2} + \dots + a_{p+n-1} q_1] \quad (2.5)$$

Now we apply the principle of mathematical induction to prove our result (2.1).

If $n = 1$ in (2.5), then $a_{p+1} = (p - \alpha)q_1$. Now using (2.4), we find that

$$|a_{p+1}| \leq 2(p - \alpha). \quad (2.6)$$

Thus the coefficient estimate (2.1) holds true for $n = 1$. Next, suppose that the coefficient estimate (2.1) is true for $n = k$ (a fixed positive integer) i.e.

$$|a_{p+k}| \leq \frac{1}{(k)!} \left[\prod_{j=2p}^{2p+k-1} (j - 2\alpha) \right]. \quad (2.7)$$

Taking $n = k+1$ in (2.5) we get

$$(k+1) a_{p+k+1} = (p - \alpha) [q_{k+1} + a_{p+1} q_k + a_{p+2} q_{k-1} + \dots + a_{p+k} q_1],$$

$$\Rightarrow (k+1)|a_{p+k+1}|$$

$$\leq (2p - 2\alpha) [1 + |a_{p+1}| + |a_{p+2}| + \dots + |a_{p+k}|] \quad \{\text{by (2.4)}\}$$

$$\leq (2p - 2\alpha) \left[1 + (2p - 2\alpha) + \frac{1}{2!} \{(2p - 2\alpha)(2p - 2\alpha + 1)\} \right.$$

$$\left. + \dots + \frac{1}{(k)!} \left\{ \prod_{j=2p}^{2p+k-1} (j - 2\alpha) \right\} \right] \quad \{\text{by (2.1)}\}$$

$$= (2p - 2\alpha) \left[\frac{1}{(k-1)!} \{(2p - 2\alpha + 1) \dots (2p - 2\alpha + k - 1)\} + \frac{1}{(k)!} \left\{ \prod_{j=2p}^{2p+k-1} (j - 2\alpha) \right\} \right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{(k)!} \left[\prod_{j=2p}^{2p+k} (j - 2\alpha) \right].$$

Hence, the coefficient estimate (2.1) holds true for $n = k + 1$ also. Thus by the principle of mathematical induction the result (2.1) for coefficient estimate is true for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. This completes the proof of the Theorem 1.

For the functions $f(z)$ belonging to the class $C_p(\alpha)$, we similarly have

Theorem 2. *Let $f \in C_p(\alpha)$, then*

$$|a_{p+n}| \leq \frac{p}{(p+n)n!} \left[\prod_{j=2p}^{2p+n-1} (j-2\alpha) \right] \quad (n \geq 1). \quad (2.8)$$

By taking $p = 1$ in Theorems 1 and 2 we get the well-known results due to Robertson [3].

3. Distortion Inequalities for Starlike Functions and Convex Functions

Our first distortion inequality is contained in

Theorem 3. *If a function $f(z)$ given by (1.1) belongs to the class $S_p^*(\alpha)$, then*

$$\begin{aligned} & |H_p[(a_s);(b_t)]f(z)| \\ & \leq M_p[k, (a_s), (b_t), \alpha; |z|] + B_{p,k-1}^{(a_s), (b_t)} \frac{(2p-2\alpha)_{k-1}}{(k-1)!} |z|^{p+k-1}. \\ & {}_{s+2}F_{t+2} \left[\begin{matrix} k+(a_s)-1, k+2p-2\alpha-1, 1 \\ k+(b_t)-1, k, k \end{matrix}; |z| \right] \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

where $k \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1, 2\}$, $a_i > 0$, $b_j > 0$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, s$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, t$,

$$M_p[k, (a_s), (b_t), \alpha; |z|] = |z|^p + \sum_{n=1}^{k-2} B_{p,n}^{(a_s), (b_t)} \frac{(2p-2\alpha)_n}{(n)!} |z|^{p+n} \quad (3.2)$$

and $B_{p,n}^{(a_s), (b_t)}$ is as defined in (1.5).

Proof. We begin by noting that

$$|a_{p+n}| \leq \frac{\prod_{j=2p}^{2p+n-1} (j-2\alpha)}{(n)!} = \frac{(2p-2\alpha)_n}{(n)!} \quad (3.3)$$

By virtue of (1.5), (1.7) and (3.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & |H_p[(a_s);(b_t)]f(z)| \\ & \leq |z|^p + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} B_{p,n}^{(a_s),(b_t)} |a_{p+n}| |z|^{p+n} \\ & \leq |z|^p + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\prod_{i=1}^s (a_i)_n (2p-2\alpha)_n}{\prod_{j=1}^t (b_j)_n \{(n)!\}^2} |z|^{p+n} \\ & = \left\{ |z|^p + \sum_{n=1}^{k-2} \frac{\prod_{i=1}^s (a_i)_n (2p-2\alpha)_n}{\prod_{j=1}^t (b_j)_n \{(n)!\}^2} |z|^{p+n} \right\} + \sum_{n=k-1}^{\infty} \frac{\prod_{i=1}^s (a_i)_n (2p-2\alpha)_n}{\prod_{j=1}^t (b_j)_n \{(n)!\}^2} |z|^{p+n} \\ & = M_p[k, (a_s), (b_t), \alpha; |z|] + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\prod_{i=1}^s (a_i)_{k+n-1} (2p-2\alpha)_{k+n-1}}{\prod_{j=1}^t (b_j)_{k+n-1} \{(k+n-1)!\}^2} |z|^{p+n+k-1} \quad (3.4) \end{aligned}$$

Since $(a)_{k+n-1} = (a)_{k-1} (k+a-1)_n$ and $(k+n-1)! = (k-1)! (k)_n$ therefore (3.4) reduces to

$$\begin{aligned} & |H_p[(a_s);(b_t)]f(z)| \\ & \leq M_p[k, (a_s), (b_t), \alpha; |z|] + B_{p,k-1}^{(a_s),(b_t)} \frac{(2p-2\alpha)_{k-1}}{(k-1)!} |z|^{p+k-1}. \end{aligned}$$

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\prod_{i=1}^s (k + a_i - 1)_n (k + 2p - 2\alpha - 1)_n}{\prod_{j=1}^t (k + b_j - 1)_n \{(k)_n\}^2} |z|^n$$

$$= M_p [k, (a_s), (b_t), \alpha; |z|]$$

$$+ B_{p,k-1}^{(a_s),(b_t)} \frac{(2p - 2\alpha)_{k-1}}{(k - 1)!} |z|^{p+k-1} {}_{s+2}F_{t+2} \left[\begin{matrix} k+(a_s)-1, k+2p-2\alpha-1, 1; \\ k+(b_t)-1, k, k; \end{matrix} \middle| z \right]$$

which completes the proof of Theorem 3.

Corollary 1. *If a function $f(z)$ given by (1.1) belongs to the class $S_p^* \left(\frac{2p-1}{2} \right)$, then*

$$|H_p [(a_s); (b_t)] f(z)|$$

$$\leq |z|^p + \sum_{n=1}^{k-2} B_{p,n}^{(a_s),(b_t)} |z|^{p+n} + B_{p,k-1}^{(a_s),(b_t)} |z|^{p+k-1} {}_{s+1}F_{t+1} \left[\begin{matrix} k+(a_s)-1, 1; \\ k+(b_t)-1, k; \end{matrix} \middle| z \right]. \tag{3.5}$$

Further taking $\alpha = 0$ in Theorem 3, we have

Corollary 2. *If a function $f(z)$ given by (1.1) belongs to the class S_p^* , then*

$$|H_p [(a_s); (b_t)] f(z)|$$

$$\leq |z|^p + \sum_{n=1}^{k-2} B_{p,n}^{(a_s),(b_t)} \frac{(2p)_n}{(n)!} |z|^{p+n}$$

$$+ B_{p,k-1}^{(a_s),(b_t)} \frac{(2p)_{k-1}}{(k - 1)!} |z|^{p+k-1} {}_{s+2}F_{t+2} \left[\begin{matrix} k+(a_s)-1, k+2p-1, 1; \\ k+(b_t)-1, k, k; \end{matrix} \middle| z \right]. \tag{3.6}$$

Theorem 4. If a function $f(z)$ given by (1.1) belongs to the class $C_p(\alpha)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} & |H_p[(a_s);(b_t)]f(z)| \\ & \leq |z|^p + \sum_{n=1}^{k-2} B_{p,n}^{(a_s),(b_t)} \frac{p(2p-2\alpha)_n}{(p+n)(n)!} |z|^{p+n} \\ & \quad + B_{p,k-1}^{(a_s),(b_t)} \frac{p(2p-2\alpha)_{k-1}}{(k+p-1)(k-1)!} |z|^{p+k-1} {}_{s+3}F_{t+3} \left[\begin{matrix} k+(a_s)-1, k+2p-2\alpha-1, k+p-1, 1 ; \\ k+(b_t)-1, k, k+p, k ; \end{matrix} \middle| z \right] \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

where $k \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1, 2\}$, $a_i > 0$, $b_j > 0$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, s$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, t$, and $B_{p,n}^{(a_s),(b_t)}$ is as defined in (1.5).

Proof. Using the fact that

$$|a_{p+n}| \leq \frac{p \left[\prod_{j=2p}^{2p+n-1} (j-2\alpha) \right]}{(p+n)n!} = \frac{p[(2p-2\alpha)_n]}{(p+n)(n)!} \quad (3.8)$$

and proceeding on the similar lines as that in Theorem 3, we readily arrive at the inequality (3.7).

Corollary 3. If a function $f(z)$ given by (1.1) belongs to the class $C_p\left(\frac{2p-1}{2}\right)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} & |H_p[(a_s);(b_t)]f(z)| \\ & \leq |z|^p + \sum_{n=1}^{k-2} B_{p,n}^{(a_s),(b_t)} \frac{p}{(p+n)} |z|^{p+n} \\ & \quad + B_{p,k-1}^{(a_s),(b_t)} \frac{p}{k+p-1} |z|^{p+k-1} {}_{s+2}F_{t+2} \left[\begin{matrix} k+(a_s)-1, k+p-1, 1 ; \\ k+(b_t)-1, k+p, k ; \end{matrix} \middle| z \right] \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

Further, taking $\alpha = 0$ in Theorem 4, we have

Corollary 4. If a function $f(z)$ given by (1.1) belongs to the class C_p , then

$$\begin{aligned}
 & |H_p [(a_s);(b_t)]f(z)| \\
 & \leq |z|^p + \sum_{n=1}^{k-2} B_{p,n}^{(a_s),(b_t)} \frac{p(2p)_n}{(p+n)(n)!} |z|^{p+n} \\
 & + B_{p,k-1}^{(a_s),(b_t)} \frac{p(2p)_{k-1}}{(k+p-1)(k-1)!} |z|^{p+k-1} {}_{s+3}F_{t+3} \left[\begin{matrix} k+(a_s)-1, k+2p-1, k+p-1, 1 ; \\ k+(b_t)-1, k, k+p, k; \end{matrix} \middle| z \right].
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{3.10}$$

Setting $p = s = 1, t = 0, a_1 = \lambda+1$ in Theorems 3 and 4, the results obtained by Owa and Srivastava become particular cases of our investigation [2, pp. 241 and 244, Theorems 1 and 2].

Acknowledgements

The authors are thankful to Professor H. M. Srivastava (University of Victoria, Canada) for his kind help and useful suggestions during the preparation of this work.

References

- [1] J. Dziok and H. M. Srivastava, Classes of analytic functions associated with the generalized hypergeometric function, *Appl. Math. Comput.* **103** (1999), 1-13.
- [2] S. Owa and H. M. Srivastava, Distortion inequalities for Ruscheweyh derivatives, *Math. Inequal. Appl.* **1** (1998), 239-246.
- [3] M. S. Robertson, On the theory of univalent functions, *Ann. of Math.* **37** (1936), 374-408.